



Terry McKee, IT & Procurement Director  
 901 N. Broadway • Knoxville, TN 37917-6699  
 865.403.1133 • Fax 865.594.8858  
 procurementinfo@kcdc.org  
 www.kcdc.org

**Addendum**

<b>Solicitation Name</b>	Construction of a Head Start Building at Western Heights C22001	<b>Addendum Number</b>	1	<b>Date</b>	07-14-21
--------------------------	---	------------------------	---	-------------	----------

This addendum answers questions raised about this solicitation. Questions are generally verbatim as received. To aid in readability, the questions are in black, the answers are in **bolded blue** and the answers follow immediately below.

Q1	Due to the current long lead times for materials (such as bar joists, currently not available until March 2022), can a longer project duration be approved, such as 14-16 months?
	<b>For the time of completion, the Owner agrees to 395 calendar days from the issuance of the Notice-To-Proceed (NTP). The anticipated time to issue the NTP is mid-August 2021.</b>
Q2	If a duration increase cannot be considered, can alternate materials (such as cold-formed trusses) be approved to possibly minimize project delays?
	<b>See the answer to question one.</b>
Q3	Due to the parameters required for bidding the project, can the bid date be extended to at least July 22, but preferably July 29?
	<b>The Owner will extend the bid date by one additional day to Wednesday July 21, 2021. The bid time remains unchanged as 2:00 p.m..</b>
Q4	Is there a Geotechnical Report available for this project?
	<b>Yes – Please refer to the document placed on KCDC’s webpage.</b>
Q5	To be clear-we are to submit our bids in person, correct?
	<b>Yes. See the solicitation document coversheet for details.</b>
Q6	Is the retainage 5% or 10%? I.e., standard retainage policies or are there special requirements on this one?
	<b>Retainage is 5%.</b>
Q7	I have printed the specs for this project that are available on BXTN. I could not find any information on the wage rate.
	<b>This project does not include federal funds and thus there is not a wage rate requirement.</b>
Q8	What is the status of permitting? We would not expect a notice to proceed to be issued until permitting is in place.
	<b>The State of Tennessee Fire Marshal’s Office approved the building’s plan as of July 8, 2021. Their approval letter is posted to KCDC’s website with the solicitation document. City of Knoxville permitting is still on-going. It is hoped a City of Knoxville permit will be issued within the next 2-3 weeks.</b>
Q9	Please confirm all playground equipment will be furnished and installed by the Owner not the GC.
	<b>Correct, all playground equipment is Owner-Furnished, Owner-Installed.</b>



Q10	Please confirm all utility fees are to be paid for by the Owner not the GC.
	<b>Correct – all utility fees, including permanent tap fees, will be paid for directly by the Owner.</b>
Q11	Appendix A - Insurance Requirements (D): Please confirm the GC is to include Pollution Liability Insurance with a limit of liability not less than \$1,000,000 each occurrence and \$2,000,000 annual aggregate with a 3-year discovery in their base bid amount.
	<b>That is correct.</b>
Q12	Appendix A - Insurance Requirements (E): Please confirm the GC is to include Builder's Risk Insurance in their base bid amount.
	<b>That is correct.</b>
Q13	Is a temporary fence required around the full perimeter of the project site?
	<b>Yes, a 5' high chain link fence with a single pair of vehicle gates is required.</b>
Q14	Please confirm this is a classified site. We assume this is a classified site per the unit prices listed on the bid form.
	<b>Yes – Please refer to Solicitation Document B – Bid Sheet, for required unit prices.</b>
Q15	Drawing A201 (South elevation): Can windows F and E be fiberglass in lieu storefront? The lines and colors would match that of window B.
	<b>Yes, window Types 'E' and 'F' shall be fiberglass, dark bronze in color, in lieu of aluminum. Revised architectural drawing(s) will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q16	Drawing A201 (Exterior Elevation East}, I believe 3 type G windows are drawn as type I, and 3 type I windows are drawn as type G. Please verify.
	<b>Correct – the window types referenced were inadvertently swapped/transposed. Revised architectural drawing(s) will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q17	Drawing A710: Can window heights of G, H, K be standardized to 7'-2", as they are next to each other in the building? K is spec'd at 7'-2", but G and H are 7'-13/8".
	<b>Window Types 'G', 'H', and 'K' shall all be 7'-2" in height. Revised architectural drawing(s) will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q18	Drawing A710 Please clarify "Low iron glass" in Glazing Type Legend.
	<b>The reference to "Low Iron Glass" was in error and is to be ignored. Revised architectural drawing(s) will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q19	Drawing A710, only window type H has an operable casement, but on A201, window types H and Kare drawn as casements, so I assume they are both supposed to be casements. Please advise if any other combinations, such as windows D or C should also have an operable casement. Also, the casement direction is juxtaposed from A201 and A710 for window H, please advise which direction of swing to follow.
	<b>Revised architectural drawing(s) will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q20	Drawing 5002 Steel Notes (Fabrication) - Can the AISC certification requirement for Fabricators be waived for this project? The AISC requirement will limit the number of local steel Fabricators who can price this project and increase the overall project cost.
	<b>Per the Structural Engineer, in lieu of the AISC certification, a fabricator shall submit proof of being in business for a period of 5 consecutive years and that they have fabricated a minimum of five jobs of size and complexity equal to that indicated in the structural drawings.</b>

Q21	Drawing 5002 Steel Notes (Erection) - Can the AISC certification requirement for Erectors be waived for this project? The AISC requirement will limit the number of local steel Erectors who can price this project and increase the overall project cost.
	<b>Per the Structural Engineer, in lieu of the AISC certification, an erector shall submit proof of being in business for a period of 5 consecutive years and that they have erected a minimum of five jobs of size and complexity equal to that indicated in the structural drawings.</b>
Q22	Specification 01.40.00-4 (1.09) - Please confirm the GC is to include paying for Independent Testing.
	<b>Owner will pay for the specified third-party testing. Revised specification(s) to modify language will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q23	Specification 08.54.13-3 Standard Impervia windows have an OITC of 23, although an OITC of 34 is specified. I can get it as high as 31, however there is almost a 50% increase in cost. Please clarify.
	<b>Responses to Questions 23, 24, 25 and 26 are pending, and will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q24	Specification 08.54.13-3 2.03 Impervia Fiberglass windows do not offer impact resistant glass. Please clarify.
	<b>Responses to Questions 23, 24, 25 and 26 are pending, and will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q25	Specification 08.54.13-3 2.048- sills are standard thickness for windows, and a jamb extension would be provided by others in field.
	<b>Responses to Questions 23, 24, 25 and 26 are pending, and will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q26	Specification 08.54.13-3 2.02A- please clarify "vision glass".
	<b>Responses to Questions 23, 24, 25 and 26 are pending, and will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q27	Specification 11.40.00: Please confirm the Food Service Equipment is to be furnished and installed by the GC.
	<b>Confirmed – All food service equipment is to be provided and installed as a part of the Base Bid scope of work.</b>
Q28	Specification 12.32.00-2 (1.07): Can the AWI certification for the Casework manufacturers be waived for this project? The AWI requirement will limit the number of local Casework manufacturers who can price this project and increase the overall project cost.
	<b>Please submit the names of potential millwork subcontractors who are not AWI certified for Owner and Architect consideration – a full response will follow in the next addendum.</b>
Q29	Specification 14.24.00 2.02 A. 1. Elevator specification calls for Hydraulic cylinder in buried casing. Please confirm this is correct.
	<b>Responses to Questions 29, 30 and 31 are pending, and will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q30	Specification 14.24.00 2.02 A. 7. Elevator specifications lists a 2,500 lb. capacity. Drawing A601 indicates a 4,000 lbs. car with the hoistway size at 9' 4-5/8" x 6' 11-1/8". Please clarify which capacity size is correct.
	<b>Responses to Questions 29, 30 and 31 are pending, and will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q31	Specification 14.24.00-, 2.02 A. 9. Hoistway size: As indicated on drawings. Drawing A106 shows hoistway at 9' 4-5/8" x 6' 11-1/8" indicating a 4,000 lbs. car. Is this what KCDC wants?
	<b>Responses to Questions 29, 30 and 31 are pending, and will be issued via the next Addendum.</b>
Q32	The specifications for the canopies indicate a structural steel frame with steel decking while the notes on the architectural drawings call out aluminum. I cannot find canopy details in either the architectural or structural drawings except a few footing details on page S201. I believe the intent would be for engineered aluminum canopies per Sheet A121, but the specification does not support that. Please clarify the framing materials for the canopies.

	<p><b>Specification Section 10.73.16.13, paragraph 2.01 lists three possible manufacturers for an engineered canopy system. Paragraph 2.02, sub-paragraph 2.02.A.1 reads “Pre-engineered system complying with ASTM E2950.” We feel the intent is clear for the canopy to be pre-engineered and substantially shop manufactured/ fabricated. The notes related to the canopy on the architectural drawings will be revisited and revised to be consistent with the requirements and materials call outs of the specification section.</b></p>
Q33	<p>The structural drawings specify wood framed 2x6 walls for level two. Architectural drawing A102 lists a partition wall type OA3A which is a 3-5/8" metal stud partition wall per the Partition Identification schedule on drawing AGOOL. Please clarify if we are to include both wood and metal stud framing as shown below for level two. If not, please confirm all partition walls are to be wood studs for level two.</p>
	<p><b>All wall framing at the second floor is to be wood 2x6 framing.</b></p>
Q34	<p>Architectural drawing A101 list a partition wall type OA3A/1A3A which is a 3-5/8" metal stud partition and wall type OAGA which could be either 6" wood studs or 6" metal studs per the Partition Identification schedule on drawing AGOOL. Please clarify if the level one partition walls are metal studs or wood studs.</p>
	<p><b>All wall framing at the second floor is to be wood 2x6 framing.</b></p>