

Professional Design Services
Solomon Street Intersection Improvements

FROM: PARAGON CONSULTING GROUP, INC.
350 AIRPORT ROAD
GRIFFIN, GA 30224

TO: INTERESTED PARTIES

RE: Addendum No. 3, dated June 26, 2020

This Addendum modifies the original RFP Documents dated May 2020.

This Addendum consists of three (3) pages.

Add 3.1 Sewer Utility

During review of the draft concept report, it was discovered that there was no reference or mention of the City of Griffin sewer within the project boundary. Attachment #1 to this addendum is a map of the City's sewer inventory within the project boundary. The design to relocate the sewer, if applicable, will be part of this scope of work. Relocation of the sewer will be handled by the City of Griffin.

Add 3.2 Questions and Responses

Q: Will the City consider an extension on the proposal deadline to allow more time to provide responses dependent on the answers to the questions asked before the question submittal deadline today at 2 eastern?

A: Yes, the deadline for submitting a response to the RFP will be extended.

Responses are due on or before **July 14th 2020 at 2:00pm (EST)**.

Q: Will you please clarify what all information requested in the rfp on pages 8-9 are considered by the City of Griffin to be "marketing information" and which information is considered to be information desired for the 10-page proposal? The RFP states on page 7 "Note that all "marketing information" that is included with your response must be separate from the submittal". In Addendum two it is stated that full list of principal officers and office locations are considered marketing information. However, these two items are listed on pages 8-9 within the contents requested for the proposal.

A: This principal officers and office locations asked for on pages 8-9 are those directly involved in the project. The full list of officers and locations referred to in addendum #2 are those not directly involved with the project.

Q: Is a signal warrant analysis necessary for E. Solomon Street & Jackson Lane or leave it as an all-way stop per Alternative 1 (preferred alternative).

A: If during design it is determined that the all-way stop from the preferred alternative might not be sufficient to handle the traffic flows safety, a signal warrant analysis will need to be completed. Signal warrant analysis has been added to the cost submittal sheet as an alternate, see Task 6 on Attachment #2, the revised cost submittal sheet, attached to the end of the addendum.

Q: If we are to conduct a signal warrant study (refer to previous question) and it is warranted then there would be a signal design, if not, then there would not?

A: If a signal warrant analysis is completed and a signal is warranted, design for the signal will need to be completed. Signal design has been added to the cost submittal sheet as an alternate, see Task 7 on Attachment #2, the revised cost submittal sheet, attached to the end of the addendum.

Q: Is the City wanting to put any of their utility adjustment and/or relocation work in the contract for the roadway contractor to perform?

A: Yes, utility adjustment/relocation is to be included in construction contract.

Q: If the City's franchise agreements with utility owners are applicable, do they require any relocation cost for those facilities within the public right of way to be borne by the utility owner?

A: City of Griffin Water will be relocated by the City of Griffin. Atlanta Gas Light and AT&T will be relocated by the utility owners. Relocation of Georgia Power's utilities will be part of project cost.

Q: The RFP requests on page 13 for several forms (where applicable). Should these forms be include in the separately sealed cost proposal envelope, the proposal or the separate marketing materials? If it is required in the proposal, will they be excluded from the 10 page limitation?

A: The required forms on page 13 do not count against the 10-page limit.

Add 3.3 Remaining Questions

GDOT is addressing remaining questions about the traffic study. Responses to those questions will be included in Addendum #4.

End of Addendum No. 3



RFP 20-014

**COST SUBMITTAL: PROFESSIONAL DESIGN SERVICES – SOLOMON STREET
INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS**

Company Name _____ Cost Valid Through _____

COST STRUCTURE – Complete the following and include any associated information specifics for the cost quoted.

Task 1 – Existing Conditions and Technical Analysis: _____

Task 2 – Utility Design Coordination: _____

Task 3 – Schematic Drawings. _____

Task 4 – Site Work Construction Drawings: _____

Task 5 – Bid Support: _____

Task 6 (Alternate) – Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis: _____

Task 7 (Alternate) – Traffic Signal Design: _____

Other add'l charges or fees not included above (please specify): _____

Total cost (without Alternates) \$ _____

Total cost (with Alternates) \$ _____

DELIVERY:

ANTICIPATED COMPLETION FROM NOTICE TO PROCEED: _____ DAYS

Additional comments/recommendations: _____

The City reserves the right to accept or reject any or all bids and to waive any technicalities and formalities in the bidding. The City reserves the right to accept the BEST-EVALUATED BID as deemed by the Evaluation Committee, which may or may not be the lowest monetary bid.

The undersigned understands that any conditions stated above, clarifications made to the above or information other than that requested should be under separate cover and shall be considered at the discretion of the City.

COMPLETED BY:

Company Name: _____

Contact Person: _____
(Signature) (Printed Name)