VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY AUDITOR SELECTION TOTAL SCORES

	B Raducci	C Burkhalter	M Menendez	M Arteaga	Average Scores
Caballero	10	8	8	8	6.80
Marcum	9	9	8	9	7.00
Mauldin	8	9	7	9	6.60
Garcia	6	7	8	7	5.60

					Qualifiaction		Total Score	Interview	
	B Raducci	C Burkhalter	M Menendez	M Arteaga	Average Scores	Price	W/Price	Average Scores	Total Score
Caballero	87	90	85	83	86.25	9	95.25	6.80	102.05
Marcum	84	90	85	85	86.00	8	94.00	7.00	101.00
Mauldin	75	85	80	88	82.00	10	92.00	6.60	98.60
Garcia	78	85	85	83	82.75	8	90.75	5.60	96.35



Firm Evaluated	: Caballero,	Fierman, Llerena + Gar	cia LLP		
Committee Me	mber: <u>Mari</u>	e Arteaga-Nariño		(print)	
Criteria		Points*		Total	
Firm's Qualifica	tions	30 pts. Max.	= _	28	
Qualifications of Personnel Assig Engagement	•	30 pts. Max.	= _	28	
Project Approa	ch	30 pts. Max	= _	27	
Subtotal			_	83	
Cost to the Villa	age (P r ovid	ded by Procurement)	= _	9	
Total Points Aw	arded		_	92	
Points*					
Excellent Good Acceptable Unacceptable	11-20 (Meets 1-10 (Partiall	ls minimum requirements) minimum requirements) y meets minimum requirements) ot meet requirements)	ents/uncle	ear)	
Committee Me	mber Signat	ure: martiagodare	ño ^o		



Firm Evaluated	: Marcum LLI	Ρ.		
Committee Me		(print)		
Criteria		Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifica	ı tio n s	30 pts. Max.	= _	27
Qualifications Personnel Assig	-	30pts. Max.	= _	29
Engagement				
Project Approa	ach	30 pts. Max	= _	29
Subtotal			_	85
Cost to the Vill	age (P r ovid	ded by Procurement)	= _	8
Total Points Aw	arded		_	93
Points*				
Excellent Good Acceptable Unacceptable	11-20 (Meets 1-10 (Partial)	s minimum requirements) minimum requirements) y meets minimum requirem ot meet requirements)	ent s /uncle	ar)
Committee Me	am har Sianat	martiage	Marin	GG Cog



$\label{eq:Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet} Auditing \ Committee \ Evaluation \ Sheet$

Firm Evaluated	: MSL, P.A.			
Committee Me	ember: <u>Ma</u>	rie Arteaga-Nariño		(p r int)
<u>Criteria</u>		Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifica	ations	30pts. Max.	= _	27
Qualifications Personnel Assig Engagement		30 pts. Max.	= ~	27
Project Approa	a c h	30pts. Max	= _	28
Subtotal			_	82
Cost to the Vill	age (Provi	ded by Procurement)	= _	7
Total Points Aw	arded		-	29
Points*				
Excellent Good Acceptable Unacceptable	11-20 (Meets 1-10 (Partial	ds minimum requirements) minimum requirements) ly meets minimum requireme not meet requirements)	ents/uncle	ar)
Committee M	e m b er Sig n a t	ure:martiagon	niño	



$\label{eq:committee} \textbf{Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet}$

Firm Evaluated:	G arcia, Espi	nosa, Miyares, Rodrigu	ez, Tru e	ba & Co. LLP
Committee Men	nber: <u>Mari</u>	e Arteaga-Nariño		(p r int)
Criteria		<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualificati	ions	30 pts. Max.	= _	27
Qualifications of Personnel Assign Engagement	-	30 pts. Max.	= _	27
Project Approac	c h	30 pts. Max	= _	29
Subtotal				83 /
Cost to the Villa	ge (Provid	ed by Procurement)	= .	8
Total Points Awarded				91
Points*				
Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements) Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements) Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear) Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)				e ar)
Committee Mer	m b er Sian atı	ire: martiagod	arino)



Firm Evaluated	: Mauldin & .	Jenkins LLP.		
Committee Me	ember:M	arie Arteaga-Nariño		(p r int)
<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifica	ı tio ns	30 pts. Max.	= _	30
Qualifications Personnel Assig	•	30 pts. Max.	= .	29
<u>Engagement</u>				
Project Approa	a c h	30 pts. Max	= .	29
Subtotal				88
Cost to the Vill	age (P r ovi	ded by Procurement)	=	/O
Total Points Aw	arded			98
Points*				
Excellent Good Acceptable Unacceptable	11-20 (Meets 1-10 (Partial	ds minimum requirements) minimum requirements) ly meets minimum requireme not meet requirements)	nts/unc	le ar)
Committee Me	ember Signat	ure:martiagona	rino	



Firm Evaluated	: GLSG & Co	, PLLC.		
Committee Me	ember: <u>Ma</u> ı	rie Arteaga-Nariño		(print)
<u>Criteria</u>		Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifica	ntio n s	30 pts. Max.	= _	28
Qualifications of Personnel Assig	•	30 pts. Max.	= _	27
Project Approa	ach	30 pts. Max	= _	28
Subtotal			-	83
Cost to the Vill	age (Provi	ded by Procurement)	= _	10
Total Points Awarded93				
Points*				
Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements) Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements) Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear) Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)				
Committee Me	ember Signat	ure: marragoli	ariña	



Firm Evaluated	: Morrison, Br	own, Argiz & Farra, LLC		
Committee Me	m b e r:N	larie Arteaga-Nariño		(p r int)
Criteria		<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifica	tions	30 pts. Max.	= _	28
Qualifications of Personnel Assig Engagement	-	30 pts. Max.	=	28
Project Approa	ıch	30 pts. Max	= _	28
Subtotal			_	84
Cost to the Villa	age (P r ovi	ded by Procurement)	= _	7
Total Points Aw	arded		_	91
Points*				
Excellent Good Acceptable Unacceptable	11-20 (Meets 1-10 (Partial	ds minimum requirements) minimum requirements) ly meets minimum requirem not meet requirements)	ents/uncle	ar)
Committee Me	ember Signat	ure: martiago	narino	



Firm Evaluated: Caballero, Fierman, Llerena + Garcia LLP

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

Criteria	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= _	25
Subtotal			85 -
Cost to the Village (Providence	ded by Procurement)	= .	9
Total Points Awarded			94

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: MgN went



Firm Evaluated: Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba & Co. LLP Committee Member: Matilde Menedez print)

Criteria	Points*		Total
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= _	25
Subtotal			85 /
Cost to the Village (Providence	ded by Procurement)	=	8
Total Points Awarded			93

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: GLSG & Co, PLLC.

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= .	28
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	30
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	22
Subtotal			80 /
Cost to the Village (Providence	ded by Procurement)	=	
Total Points Awarded			90

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: Marcum LLP.

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

Criteria	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= _	25
Subtotal		_	85 /
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)			8
Total Points Awarded			93

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: Mauldin & Jenkins LLP.

Committee Member: Maride Menendez (print)

Criteria	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= _	25
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= _	25
Subtotal			80 /
Cost to the Village (Providence	ded by Procurement)	= .	10
Total Points Awarded		_	90

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Farra, LLC

Committee Member: Matilde Menenderprint)

Criteria	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	27
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= .	30
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	25
Subtotal			82 /
Cost to the Village (Providence	ded by Procurement)	=	
Total Points Awarded			89

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: MSL, P.A.

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

Criteria	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= .	30
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= ,	25
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	25
Subtotal			80 /
Cost to the Village (Providence)	ded by Procurement)	=	7
Total Points Awarded			87

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: MSL, P.A.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	30
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	30
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	30
Subtotal			90 /
Cost to the Village (Providence	ded by Procurement)	=	7
Total Points Awarded			97
Points*			

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements) Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements) Acceptable

1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Chall Bulliant



Firm Evaluated: GLSG & Co, PLLC.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

Criteria	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= _	25
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= _	25
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= .	25
Subtotal			75
Cost to the Village (Pro	vided by Procurement)	=	10
Total Points Awarded			25
Points*			

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Chall Berhalles



Firm Evaluated: Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba & Co. LLP

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

Criteria	Points*		<u>Total</u>		
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	30		
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	30		
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	25		
Subtotal 85 -					
Cost to the Village (Prov	=	8			
Total Points Awarded 93					
Points*					
Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements) Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements) Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear) Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)					



Firm Evaluated: Marcum LLP.

Unacceptable

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

Criteria		Points*		Total	
Firm's Qualific	cations	30pts. Max.	=	30	
Qualifications Personnel Assi Engagement		30pts. Max.	=	30	
Project Appro	pach	30pts. Max	= _	30	
Subtotal			_	90	
Cost to the Vi	llage (Provi	ded by Procurement)	= _	8	
Total Points A	warded		_	98	_
Points*					
Excellent Good Acceptable	11-20 (Meets	ds minimum requirements) minimum requirements)	ents/uncle	ar)	

0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: Mauldin & Jenkins LLP.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

Criteria	Points*	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=30
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=25
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=30
Subtotal		85
Cost to the Village (Provi	ded by Procurement)	= /0
Total Points Awarded		95
Points*		
Good 11-20 (Meets Acceptable 1-10 (Partia	ds minimum requirements) minimum requirements) lly meets minimum requireme not meet requirements)	nts/unclear)

Committee Member Signature: Chuld Richard



Firm Evaluated: Caballero, Fierman, Llerena + Garcia LLP

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

Criteria		Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifica	tions	30pts. Max.	= _	30
Qualifications of Personnel Assig Engagement		30pts. Max.	=	30
Project Approa	ch	30pts. Max	=	30
Subtotal				90 /
Cost to the Villo	ige (Provid	ded by Procurement)	****	9
Total Points Awa	arded			99
Points*				
Excellent Good Acceptable Unacceptable	11-20 (Meets) 1-10 (Partially	s minimum requirements) minimum requirements) y meets minimum requireme ot meet requirements)	ents/unc	ear)

Committee Member Signature: Chall Bakhlulde



Firm Evaluated: Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Farra, LLC.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

Criteria		Points*		Total	
Firm's Qualifica	tions	30pts. Max.	=	15	
Qualifications of Personnel Assig Engagement	,	30pts. Max.	=	25	
Project Approa	ich	30pts. Max	=	30	
Subtotal				70	
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement) =					
Total Points Awarded					
Points*					
Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements) Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements) Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear) Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)					
Committee Member Signature: Charle Buchubes					



Firm Evaluated: Caballero, Fierman, Llerena + Garcia LLP

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	Points*		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	29
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	29
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	29
Subtotal			87
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	9
Total Points Awarded			96
Points*			

Points*

21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements) Excellent 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements) Good Acceptable

1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: Marcum LLP.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

Criteria	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= .	28
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	28
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	28
Subtotal			84/
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	
Total Points Awarded			92
Points*			

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: GLSG & Co, PLLC.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= _	27
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= .	27
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	27
Subtotal			81
Cost to the Village (Providence)	ded by Procurement)	=	
Total Points Awarded			91

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1-10 (Meets minimum requirements)
1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba & Co. LLP Committee Member: <u>Brian K. Raducci</u> (print)

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifico	ations	30pts. Max.	= _	26
Qualifications Personnel Assig Engagement	,	30pts. Max.	=	26
Project Approd	ach	30pts. Max	= _	26
Subtotal			_	78
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= _		
Total Points Awarded			_	86
<u>Points*</u>				
Excellent Good Acceptable Unacceptable	11-20 (Meets 1-10 (Partiall	ds minimum requirements) minimum requirements) y meets minimum requirem not meet requirements)	ents/uncle	ear)



Firm Evaluated: Mauldin & Jenkins LLP.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	25
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	e 30pts. Max.	=	25
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	25
Subtotal			75
Cost to the Village (Pro	vided by Procurement)	=	/D
Total Points Awarded			85

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)



Firm Evaluated: MSL, P.A.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	24
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	24
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	24
Subtotal			72
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	7
Total Points Awarded			79
Points*			

Excellent	21-30	(Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20	(Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10	(Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0	(Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____ K. _ M. _ M. _ M.



Firm Evaluated: Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Farra, LLC

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	20
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	20
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	2 <u>0</u>
Subtotal			60
Cost to the Village (Providence	ded by Procurement)	=	7
Total Points Awarded			67

Points*

Excellent 21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)

Good 11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)

Acceptable 1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)

Unacceptable 0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: ______ K. M. M.

Examiner Name (printed) Matilde Menendez	
Presentation:	
Caballero, Fierman, Llerena and Garcia8	
Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba and Company	8
Marcum Accountants and Advisors8	
Maulin and Jenkins CPA's and Advisors	
Scoring is from 1 (least favorable) to 10 (most favorable)	
Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation	

Examiner Name (printea)	_ Brian K. Raducci
Presentation:	Bon K. Mlan
Caballero, Fierman, Llerena a	nd Garcia 10
Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Roc	driguez, Trueba and Company
Marcum Accountants and Ac	dvisors 9
Maulin and Jenkins CPA's and	d Advisors 8
Scoring is from 1 (least favoral	ble) to 10 (most favorable)

Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation

Examiner Name (printed) Chad Burkhalter

7

Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation

Examiner Name (printed) Marie Arteaga-Nariño		
Presentation:		
Caballero, Fierman, Llerena and Garcia8		
Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba and Company		
Marcum Accountants and Advisors9		
Maulin and Jenkins CPA's and Advisors 9		
Scoring is from 1 (least favorable) to 10 (most favorable)		
Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation		