

VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY
AUDITOR SELECTION
TOTAL SCORES

	B Raducci	C Burkhalter	M Menendez	M Arteaga	Average Scores
Caballero	10	8	8	8	6.80
Marcum	9	9	8	9	7.00
Mauldin	8	9	7	9	6.60
Garcia	6	7	8	7	5.60

	B Raducci	C Burkhalter	M Menendez	M Arteaga	Qualifiaction Average Scores	Price	Total Score W/Price	Interview Average Scores	Total Score
Caballero	87	90	85	83	86.25	9	95.25	6.80	102.05
Marcum	84	90	85	85	86.00	8	94.00	7.00	101.00
Mauldin	75	85	80	88	82.00	10	92.00	6.60	98.60
Garcia	78	85	85	83	82.75	8	90.75	5.60	96.35



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Caballero, Fierman, Llerena + Garcia LLP

Committee Member: Marie Arteaga-Nariño (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>28</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>28</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>27</u>
Subtotal			<u>83</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>9</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>92</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: maria arteaga nariño



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Marcum LLP.

Committee Member: Marie Arteaga-Nariño (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>27</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>29</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>29</u>
Subtotal			<u>85</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>93</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Marie Arteaga-Nariño



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: MSL, P.A.

Committee Member: Marie Arteaga-Nariño (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= <u>27</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= <u>27</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= <u>28</u>
Subtotal		<u>82</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= <u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded		<u>89</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Marie Arteaga-Nariño



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba & Co. LLP

Committee Member: Marie Arteaga-Nariño (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>27</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>27</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>29</u>
Subtotal			<u>83</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>91</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: marie arteaga nariño



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Mauldin & Jenkins LLP.

Committee Member: Marie Arteaga-Nariño (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>29</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>29</u>
Subtotal			<u>88</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>98</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: maria arteaga nariño



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: GLSG & Co, PLLC.

Committee Member: Marie Arteaga-Nariño (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>28</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>27</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>28</u>
Subtotal			<u>83</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>93</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1-10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Marie Arteaga-Nariño



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Farra, LLC

Committee Member: Marie Arteaga-Nariño (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>28</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>28</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>28</u>
Subtotal			<u>84</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>91</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Marie Arteaga-Nariño



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Caballero, Fierman, Llerena + Garcia LLP

Committee Member: Matilde Merendez(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>85</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>9</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>94</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Matilde Merendez



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba & Co. LLP

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>85</u> /
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>93</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Matilde Menendez



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: GLSG & Co, PLLC.

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>28</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>22</u>
Subtotal			<u>80</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>90</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Matilde Menendez



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Marcum LLP.

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>85</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>93</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Matilde Menendez



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Mauldin & Jenkins LLP.

Committee Member: Matilde Merendez (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>25</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>80</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>90</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Matilde Merendez



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Farra, LLC

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>27</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>82</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>89</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Matilde Menendez



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: MSL, P.A.

Committee Member: Matilde Menendez (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>25</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>80</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>87</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Matilde Menendez



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: MSL, P.A.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>30</u>
Subtotal			<u>90</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>97</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Chad Burkhalter



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: GLSG & Co, PLLC.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>25</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>25</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>75</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>85</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Chad Burkhalter



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba & Co. LLP

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>25</u>
Subtotal			<u>85</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>93</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Chad Burkhalter



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Marcum LLP.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>30</u>
Subtotal			<u>90</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>98</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Chad Burkhalter



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Mauldin & Jenkins LLP.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>25</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>30</u>
Subtotal			<u>85</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>95</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30	(Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20	(Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10	(Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0	(Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Chad Burkhalter



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Caballero, Fierman, Llerena + Garcia LLP

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>30</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>30</u>
Subtotal			<u>90</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>9</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>99</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Chad Burkhalter



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Farra, LLC.

Committee Member: Chad Burkhalter(print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>15</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>25</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>30</u>
Subtotal			<u>70</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>77</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: _____

Chad Burkhalter



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Caballero, Fierman, Llerena + Garcia LLP

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= <u>29</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= <u>29</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= <u>29</u>
Subtotal		<u>87</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= <u>9</u>
Total Points Awarded		<u>96</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Brian K. Raducci



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Marcum LLP.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= <u>28</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= <u>28</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= <u>28</u>
Subtotal		<u>84</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= <u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded		<u>92</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Brian K. Raducci



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: GLSG & Co, PLLC.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= <u>27</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= <u>27</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= <u>27</u>
Subtotal		<u>81</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= <u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded		<u>91</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Brian K. Raducci



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba & Co. LLP
Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= <u>26</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= <u>26</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= <u>26</u>
Subtotal		<u>78</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= <u>8</u>
Total Points Awarded		<u>86</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Brian K. Raducci



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Mauldin & Jenkins LLP.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= <u>25</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= <u>25</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= <u>25</u>
Subtotal		<u>75</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= <u>10</u>
Total Points Awarded		<u>85</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Brian K. Raducci



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: MSL, P.A.

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>	<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	= <u>24</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	= <u>24</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	= <u>24</u>
Subtotal		<u>72</u> ✓
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		= <u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded		<u>79</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30 (Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20 (Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10 (Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0 (Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Brian K. Raducci



Auditing Committee Evaluation Sheet

Firm Evaluated: Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Farra, LLC

Committee Member: Brian K. Raducci (print)

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Points*</u>		<u>Total</u>
Firm's Qualifications	30pts. Max.	=	<u>20</u>
Qualifications of Key Personnel Assigned to the Engagement	30pts. Max.	=	<u>20</u>
Project Approach	30pts. Max	=	<u>20</u>
Subtotal			<u>60</u>
Cost to the Village (Provided by Procurement)		=	<u>7</u>
Total Points Awarded			<u>67</u>

Points*

Excellent	21-30	(Exceeds minimum requirements)
Good	11-20	(Meets minimum requirements)
Acceptable	1- 10	(Partially meets minimum requirements/unclear)
Unacceptable	0	(Does not meet requirements)

Committee Member Signature: Brian K. Raducci

Examiner Name (printed)_____ Matilde Menendez

Presentation:

Caballero, Fierman, Llerena and Garcia_____8

Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba and Company_____8

Marcum Accountants and Advisors_____8

Maulin and Jenkins CPA's and Advisors_____7

Scoring is from 1 (least favorable) to 10 (most favorable)

Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation

Examiner Name (printed)_____ Brian K. Raducci

Presentation:



Caballero, Fierman, Llerena and Garcia_____ 10

Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba and Company_____ 6

Marcum Accountants and Advisors_____ 9

Maulin and Jenkins CPA's and Advisors_____ 8

Scoring is from 1 (least favorable) to 10 (most favorable)

Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation

Examiner Name (printed)Chad Burkhalter

Presentation:

Caballero, Fierman, Llerena and Garcia_____ 8

Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba and Company_____ 7

Marcum Accountants and Advisors_____ 9

Mauldin and Jenkins CPA's and Advisors_____ 9

Scoring is from 1 (least favorable) to 10 (most favorable)

Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation

Examiner Name (printed)_____ Marie Arteaga-Nariño

Presentation:

Caballero, Fierman, Llerena and Garcia_____ 8

Garcia, Espinosa, Miyares, Rodriguez, Trueba and Company_____

Marcum Accountants and Advisors_____ 9

Maulin and Jenkins CPA's and Advisors_____ 9

Scoring is from 1 (least favorable) to 10 (most favorable)

Please send me your results immediately following the last presentation