



Oconee County Board of Commissioners

Addendum 3

DATE: December 16, 2019

TO: All Prospective Bidders/Offerors

FROM: Finance Director

RE: Addendum 3, RFP#20-11-010 Bishop Farms Parkway Connector Project

The following items shall take precedence over the specifications for the above named project and shall become a part of the contract documents.

Where any item called for in the specifications is supplemented hereby, the original requirements shall remain in effect.

Where any original item is amended, voided, or superseded hereby the provisions of such item not specifically amended, voided, or superseded shall remain in effect.

The following changes are to be incorporated into the solicitation documents dated **November 13, 2019**. All those receiving this addendum should modify their documents to show the below described changes.

1. Pre-Bid Meeting Question 12 Answer
2. Emailed Questions

All questions shall be directed to the Owner Contact, Wes Geddings, Finance Director, Email: ocbids@oconee.ga.us. RFP submittal date is **January 9, 2020 at 2 p.m.** Proposals will be received at the Oconee County Finance Department, 23 N. Main St. Suite 203, Watkinsville, GA 30677 until 2:00 p.m. EST. At that date and time, the proposals will be opened in Suite 205 and only the names of the Respondents will be announced / recorded.

The following question was submitted to Oconee County in reference to RFP#20-11-010 Bishop Farms Parkway Connector Project at a pre-submittal meeting held on December 3, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.

12. The bid form mentions a GM/GC Gross Profit Fee which includes "any and all expenses of the project not included and identified as a Cost of Work," what would this include?
 - a. The Gross Profit Fee will be a percentage of the cost of the work as proposed in the schedule of values. The General Conditions should be entered as a lump sum. Payment for General Conditions will be divided into monthly payments for the duration of

the project. The Pre-Construction Fee should be submitted as a lump sum and payment will be divided into monthly payments over the pre-construction phase of the project.

The following question was submitted to Oconee County in reference to RFP#20-11-010 Bishop Farms Parkway Connector Project through email.

1. There is not a barrel size given for the 4 barrel box culvert (sta. 19+50) under the roadway. The barrel size and height of cover (design class) will be needed to determine the approximate cost of the culvert. A barrel size that matches a GDOT published standard size / design class would be the most efficient to price.
 - a. Based on the currently available hydraulic analysis W&A Engineering anticipates the culvert being a 4-9'x6' barrels. Culvert design and construction will be consistent with GDOT published standard sizes and design classes.
2. Headwalls are not graphically shown on the drawings for the 6x5 box culvert @ approx. sta. 12+80. Are inlet / outlet headwalls intended for the 6x5 box culvert @ approx. sta. 12+80?
 - a. Yes. Inlet and outlet headwalls for the 6'x5' culvert will be required and designed consistent with GDOT published standards.
3. The assumed concrete islands and circle at the roundabout sta. 27+00 appear to be a herringbone pattern as depicted on the utility drawing.
 - Is this pattern intended to be "stamped" and Dyed concrete?
 - a. Yes. The pattern could be stamped, with integral colored concrete or a paver stone option. The selected contractor will be expected to provide the most cost effective solution to differentiate the splitter islands and truck apron from the travel lane.
 - What is the spec, concrete and GAB thickness of this concrete?
 - a. For the purpose of the preliminary pricing the offeror should anticipate the paving section of the splitter islands and truck aprons to be 8" of GAB with 10" of Integral Colored concrete.
 - Will the islands and circle be outlined with a header curb or is it to be a monolithic tp 7 face?
 - a. For the purpose of the preliminary pricing the offerer should anticipate 8" x 30", Type 7, curb and gutter along the circumference of the truck apron and the splitter islands. The offeror may offer an alternative that includes a monolithic Tp 7 face or header curb if they believe that it would offer a benefit to Oconee County.
4. Section 00200-5 paragraph I of the project manual specifies a pollution liability coverage of \$5m, which is more than the GL and umbrella required. In speaking with our insurance consultant, he indicated that commonly this limit is \$1m. We currently carry \$2m. Can the requirement of \$5m pollution liability coverage be reduced to \$1 million?
 - a. Yes. The pollution liability coverage can be reduced to \$1 million.
5. The project description mentions a multi-use trail and it graphically appears on the drawings (unlabeled). The typical section denotes it as "FUTURE" Multi-use trail.
 - Is the actual multi-use trail surface being constructed in this pricing?
 - a. Yes. Pricing should include construction of the multi-use trail. Oconee County will consider including the paving of the proposed trail as part of the scope of the work based on pricing and available funds.
 - If so, what is the surface concrete or asphalt and what specification?
 - a. For the purpose of the preliminary pricing the offeror should anticipate the paving section to be 6" GAB, 2 ½ in of 12.5 and 1. ½ in of 9.5 mm. Oconee County will consider alternative paving sections if it offers a benefit to the County.
6. Page 04-001 of the plans note #39 states that "All side drain pipe and flared end sections shall be HDPE with concrete FES (or) concrete pipe. Is this a typo, Should "or" be "for"? An HDPE

side drain generally requires a metal FES w/ tapered sleeve. A concrete FES is specifically made to the joint of a concrete pipe. Should the FES actually be Safety FES if aligned parallel with the direction of travel or CL?

- a. Sheet 22-001, of the 50% Plan Set shows that RCP is anticipated for the 3 side drains along the project. For the purpose of the preliminary pricing the offerer should anticipate concrete side drains and concrete safety end sections as shown on the provided drainage profiles. Oconee County will consider alternative materials if it offers a benefit to the County.
7. Is the earthwork on this project "unclassified" as defined by GDOT spec book? Can there be some allowances given for encountering mass rock, trench rock, unsuitable soils, etc.?
 - a. The earthwork for this project is considered "unclassified" as defined by GDOT Standard Specification. The offeror may provide allowances for rock excavation, unsuitable soils, borrow excavation, etc. in their preliminary pricing.
8. Section 00400-7 of the proposal has the GM/GC gross profit Fee and mentions "for any and all expenses of the project NOT included and identified as a cost of the work". Please clarify. Are we supposed to only include the actual cost of the work in the schedule of values pages 4-6 and then state on page 7 our fees expressed as a percentage of the actual cost total?
 - a. Yes.
9. The typical section shows a 10' wide future multi-use path. Should the bidders include pavement for the path in their price proposal?
 - a. Yes. See response to question Q5.
10. The typical sections show 2 – 12' wide travel lanes. Roadways of this nature typically also have a 2' wide paved shoulder on either side of the road. Should the bidders include a paved shoulder in their price proposal?
 - a. No. The total width paving will be 24' No additional paved shoulder is proposed at this time.
11. Bid Item 22 on the proposal schedule is for fence. There is no fence shown in the plans. What should the bidders price for this item?
 - a. The offeror should anticipate installing field fence along all frontages of Parcel 2, both sides of the proposed street.
12. There is a Quadruple box culvert on plan page 13-005 but no size given. Please provide the size of the culvert so that all bidders price the same size.
 - a. See response to Q1.
13. Does the County desire to have any sod placed on this project? Or should the bidders just assume standard permanent grassing?
 - a. The offeror should anticipate permanent grassing without sod.

(END OF ADDENDUM 3)

Please note Addendum 3 on the addenda acknowledgement form located in the RFP package