

## Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee Final Scoring

Reviewer	Stantec Criteria No. 1	Stantec Criteria No. 2	Stantec Criteria No. 3	Stantec Total	WSP Criteria No. 1	WSP Criteria No. 2	WSP Criteria No. 3	WSP Total
Heidi Siegel	45	25	15	85	50	30	15	95
Alexander Adams	35	30	15	80	45	35	15	95
Fanny Carmona	46	34	15	95	47	35	15	97
Dionisio Torres	48	33	14	95	49	34	15	98
Andrea Candelaria	45	32	15	92	48	34	14	96
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>89.4</b>				<b>96.2</b>

Committee Chair:

Andrea Candelaria

Committee Chair Signature:




Date: 5/9/2023

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	WSP		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b></p> <p>This criterion measures the Project Team’s organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	47 <del>0</del>	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2· Project Team Technical Approach</b></p> <p>This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	35 <del>0</del>	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	15 <del>0</del>	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	97 <del>0</del>	

Reviewer Name: Fanny Carmona


Reviewer Signature: 

Date: 5-9-23

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	Stantec		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	46	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2: Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	34	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	15	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>95</b>	

Reviewer Name: Fanny Carmona

Reviewer Signature: 

Date: 5-9-23

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	Stantec		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	0 35	<i>Broad team too many indiu</i>
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2: Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	0 30	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	0 15	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	0 80	

Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
*Alexander Adams*  
 Reviewer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: *5/9/23*

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	WSP		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	0 45	<i>Miami-Dade Village previous work w/ subs</i>
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2- Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	0 35	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	0 15	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	0 95	

Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	WSP		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	<del>0</del> 49	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2- Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	<del>0</del> 34	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	<del>0</del> 15	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<del>0</del> 98	

Reviewer Name: Dionicio F. Torres

Reviewer Signature: [Signature]

Date: 05/09/2023

022

Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee

Organization	WSP		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>            This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	0 48	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2- Project Team Technical Approach</b>            This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	0 34	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	0 14	
		0	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	0 96	


Reviewer Name: Andrea Condellera

Reviewer Signature: 

Date: 5/9/23

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	Stantec		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team’s organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	45	team seems a little large for this project scope
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2: Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	25	timeline seems long.
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	15	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>85</b>	

Reviewer Name: Heidi Siegel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Reviewer Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: 5/9/2023 \_\_\_\_\_

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	WSP		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	50	experience with palmetto bay and related communities including Miami Dade County
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2· Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	30	timeline is good
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	15	very solid team.
		0	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>95</b>	

Reviewer Name: Heidi Siegel

Reviewer Signature:



Date: 5/9/2023

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	Stantec		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	0 45	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2: Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	0 32	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	0 15	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	0 92	

Reviewer Name: Andrea Candelaria

Reviewer Signature: AC

Date: 5/9/23

**Resilience Action Plan Bid Evaluation Committee**

Organization	Stantec		
Criteria	Points Allowed	Points Allotted	Notes
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 1 : Project Team Qualifications and Experience</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team's organization, and the level of experience of the Proposer and subconsultant/subcontractor personnel in relation to content and criteria described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria indicates the Village's confidence in the Proposer's ability to deliver the project in conformance to requirements associated with the project disciplines. This section shows how well the team collaborates, communicates, is organized, and is resourced to meet all of the professional and specialty requirements to properly provide necessary services. It shows the overall level of the team's qualifications to successfully complete the project based on the goals established by the Village.</p>	50	<del>0</del> 48	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No 2: Project Team Technical Approach</b>                      This criterion measures the Project Team Approach, evaluation, and understanding of the various elements and constraints of the project as described in this RFQ. Measurement of this criteria reflects the Village's confidence that the team can deliver sound approaches to meeting project goals and resolving the critical issues. It shows how well the team considers and presents innovative approaches to project implementation.</p>	35	<del>0</del> 33	
<p><b>Evaluation Criteria No. 3: Qualifications of Key Personnel</b></p>	15	<del>0</del> 14	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<del>0</del> 95	

Reviewer Name: Diego E. Torres

Reviewer Signature: *Diego Torres*

Date: 05/09/2023