



Paul R. Nobles/Purchasing Manager  
Office of Purchasing

January 8, 2019

To: All Known Prospective Bidders

**ADDENDUM NUMBER 2:**

Re: PD 18-19.002 Southwest Greenway 1<sup>st</sup> Extension

All:

The following questions have been received and responses (in red) provided:

- 1) The scope of work summary on page 20 of the ITB says there are two ramps at 5+00. Please clarify- **One ramp goes up to the Observation Deck, the other to the elevated boardwalk.**
- 2) Will the Gravel path finish at the same elevation of the existing boardwalk?- **It will finish at the same elevation as the bottom of the ramps.**
- 3) What type of hurricane clips are to be used to connect the floor joist to the main beams? – **like in kind replacement only reuse existing hardware, see plans.**
- 4) Who is responsible for the demo in the area where the county will be installing the gravel path. – **The demolition does not include the area to be removed and replaced by the Parks Department.**
- 5) If the general contractor is the responsible for the demo at the gravel path are the existing piling to be removed in its entirety or can they be cut at the bottom? – **see response above.**
- 6) Are we bidding this to your spread sheet? – **Who's bid tab are you going to use???????????**
- 7) What happens if the material on your spread sheet is the wrong size, you show 2x6x8 as the decking what if it is longer? – **The contractor is responsible for bidding the removal and replacement of the bid tab items. If the Contractor feels the need for contingencies, then they should bid accordingly.**
- 8) There is no allowance for fasteners is there one? – **Item #16 reads, " Boardwalk installation includes but not limited to; stringers, joists, railing, boardwalk deck". This should be included in this line item.**
- 9) Our work is between the county installed gravel path and South Old Corry Field Road. What is the elevation of the board walk at the "switchback". – **The existing elevation. This is part of Line Item Number (8) Coordination of switchback connection.**
- 10) The project description calls for the removal and replacement of the observation deck and the ramp. The Glynn Ford plan says it stays, which is it? – **The project Narrative DOES NOT call for the removal and replacement of the observation deck. It states, " The proposed project will remove and replace the existing Southwest Greenway boardwalk west of Old Corry Field Road. Construction will include removal and replacement of approximately 900 linear feet of elevated boardwalk, (2) accessible ramps at station**

5+00 and the observation deck." This statement merely relates the locations of the (2) proposed ramps.

- 11) The Bid specs call for S1S2E grade 1 lumber, the spread sheet calls for #2, which is it? - Like in kind replacement, specs are for guideline purposes only.
- 12) The spec calls for the treated lumber to be treated with "ACQ", the chemicals in "ACQ" tends to rust the galvanized metals, is "MCA" treatment acceptable? - As far as the County is concerned, there is only one kind of treatment available today and that is copper sulfate. Arsenic is no longer available so that specification would not apply.
- 13) "Can MoistureShield Composite Decking be used as the 2x6 composite decking top rail on the boardwalk portion of the Southwest Greenway 1st Extension project?"  
This is an acceptable alternative.
- 14) What hardware is required to bolt crossties and side stringers to piles, there is no detail in the drawings? - The existing hardware is bolt/nut connection and may be reused.
- 15) Clarify bid Item 8, coordination of switchback - South old Corry Field road project involves replacing the 85'+/- of decking connection the road to this section. The roadway will be elevated due to the bridge design and thus a switchback will be built with that project. The tie-back elevation will be the existing elevation where the deck now reduces in width from 8' to 4'. Coordination is needed make sure this connection is smooth.
- 16) Clarify bid item 6, joist and stringer inspection, demo and removal. Is all the framing being replaced? - As the existing deck is only about ten years old, it was thought that inspection and reuse of some of the joists and stringers could be reused. After discussion with the Parks department, they feel we should replace all so that the lumber is the same age throughout.
- 17) What is the county's forecast cost for building permit - This is a remove and replace only. The cost should be less than \$500.00.
- 18) The Timber Boardwalk specification attachment states "timber decking shall be cut S1S2E with rough face placed upward to improve traction." This is not typical lumber for boardwalks and is not readily available. May we use s4s? - The specifications are for guidelines only, remove and replace like-in-kind is acceptable.
- 19) The Timber Boardwalk specification attachment states 3 screws per board per stringer, typically 2x6 is fastened with 2 and other phases only use 2 screws per stringer connection. 3 screws can would cause a 2x6 to split. Is this correct? - The specifications are for guidelines only, remove and replace like-in-kind is acceptable.
- 20) The Timber Boardwalk specification attachment states "Payment shall be made on a unit rate per linear foot of timber boardwalk and/or railing installed." Why is the bid tab broken out into material and a LS item for installation? How will we be paid per LF if the bid tab was created in this manner? The thought is that if the materials would be paid by the County at a tax-free rate, we would need to know the materials list. It appears the Contractors can receive better pricing than the tax-free County price, therefore the award winner will coordinate the materials cost and labor completed with the County's inspector prior to issuing a pay request. Requesting that the demo and installation items be changed to LF for payment purposes - The award winner will coordinate percentage complete with the County's Inspector prior to invoicing.
- 21) Why are helical piers mentioned in the spec. There is no line item for these? - Specifications are for general construction guidelines only the same as the County's Technical Specifications are for infrastructure projects. This does not mean that every specification is used.
- 22) Item 9 has 150 crossties listed. 91 bents X 2 boards/bent= 182 2x12x10 - Approximately 150lf of this project is only 4' wide instead of the 8' wide. The 2x12's will be cut in half through this section.

- 23) Item 9 has 800 joists listed.  $90 \text{ spans} \times 9 \text{ joists/span} = 810$  – I believe this is item #10. Approximately 150lf of this project is only 4' wide instead of the 8' wide. Only (6) 2x10s are used through this section.
- 24) Item 11 has 1770 boards listed.  $900\text{LF} \times 2 \text{ boards/ft} = 1800 \text{ 2x6x10}$  – Approximately 150lf of this project is only 4' wide instead of the 8' wide. The 2x8's will be cut in half and used as (2) planks in this section.
- 25) The Bid specs call for S1S2E grade 1 lumber, the spread sheet calls for #2, which is it? – Specifications are for guidelines only. Use bid tab.
- 26) Our work between the county installed gravel path and the South Old Cory Field road. What is the elevation of the board walk using Old Cory Field road as Datum – Not sure of the question. The boardwalk is elevated from Station 5+00 to the "switchback" connection. This is called out in the bid tab under coordination with switchback. Elevations will remain the same as existing prior to demolition.

This Addendum Number 2 is furnished to all known prospective bidders. Please sign and return one copy of this Addendum, with original signature, with your submitted bid as an acknowledgement of your having received same. You may photo copy this form for your records.

Sincerely,



Buzz Roggenbuck  
Sr. Purchasing Coordinator

Acknowledgement of Receipt of Addendum:

SIGNED: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPANY: \_\_\_\_\_

ABR