

HIGHLANDS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (HCBCC) PURCHASING DIVISION

DATE: 8/07/2017

RFP NO. 17-038 ADDENDUM No. 1

Project.: ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE HIGHLANDS

COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE DETENTION RECONFIGURATION

Owner: Highlands County BCC

Attn: Purchasing Department

4320 George Blvd; Sebring, FL 33875-5803

Please see the follow question and answers regarding RFP 17-038

1. Page 15 – TAB B, 4. Location/Accessibility: Indicates a maximum of 10 points. Can you provide additional detail of the breakdown of points – Is there a point breakdown by County, distance, etc?

There is no point break down, each evaluator must score individually and must have a reasonable, rational and consistent basis for their scores.

- 2. Have there been studies, preliminary programming or design work completed for this project? **NO** If "yes", please confirm the name(s) of the firms involved, and if possible, when their work was performed. Also, if "yes", can/will this information be provided prior to submittal?
- 3. Who was the Architect for the existing facility? The facility has been constructed in many phases over the last 60 years. The most recent additions have been designed by DLR Group, HOK, and Watson & Co.
- 4. Can you provide a list of the committee members evaluating the proposals and what entities they represent?

Olimpia Lonsdale – Highlands County Purchasing Department JD Langford, PE – Highlands County Engineering Department Bob Diefendorf – Highlands County Engineering Department Captain Bobby Green – Highlands County Sheriff's Office Major Tim Lethbridge – Highlands County Sheriff's Office

- 5. What is the Facilities Department's preference and/or typical negotiated frequency for site visits during the construction phase by the Architect? What do you prefer for this project, once a month, once a week? Once a month is typical.
- 6. Of the 10 points allotted for location scoring is there a mileage chart associated with corresponding point assignments used by the selection committee and if so may we have a copy? For example 0-100 miles away gets 10 points, 101-200 gets 9 points etc? There is no mileage chart, each evaluator must score individually and must have a reasonable, rational and consistent basis for their scores.

- 7. Does the 10 points allotted for MWE participation only apply to the prime firm so if you are a MWE firm you get 10 points and if you are not you get 0? Or if a non MWE firm is prime but does include MWE CONSULTANTS are they eligible to receive a portion of the 10 points? The prime firm must have the MWE certificate in order to receive the points for this criteria.
- 8. If two architects partner to pursue this project will the scoring of location points be only for the prime firm or for a combination of the two firms? This is up to each evaluator and they must score individually and must have a reasonable, rational and consistent basis for their scores.
- 9. Will site visits be allowed for the shortlisted firms? Yes, we will give the opportunity to have a site visit.
- 10. Who are the MEP engineers who have worked on the Highlands County Detention Facility, either original designers or anyone who has worked in/on the facility since? Watson & Co., HOK, TLC.
- 11. Who was the Civil engineers who have worked on the Highlands County Detention Facility, either original designers or anyone who has worked in/on the facility since? Watson & Co., Highlands County Engineering Department, PBS&J.
- 12. When was the facility last worked on and who did the work? 2002 (architect and engineers)? **DLR Group and TLC.**
- 13. Has any programming or feasibility studies been done for this project and if so may we have a copy? **No programming has been done.**
- 14. The RFQ references "additional housing space for female inmates." How many additional beds do you anticipate? 32
- 15. Can a tour be scheduled to visit the current facility? Tours will be permitted for short-listed firms.
- 16. In order to provide our understanding of the project and relevant experience, can additional information be given on the scope of renovated and new construction? Portions of the existing facility have recently been vacated due to the construction of a new law enforcement facility. It is anticipated that all of the expansion and relocation will occur within the existing facility and no new construction will be required.
- 17. Are there previous studies or master planning that can be provided? No
- 18. Has a project budget been established? The project budget is \$2,150,000, but this is total project budget including construction cost and professional fees and project management costs.
- 19. Page 14 Requirements under Tab A refers to a Local Preference Affidavit in Section VII. Is that in a separate attachment? we couldn't find that form in the RFQ. Local Preference is not applicable for the RFP, therefore, a Local Preference Affidavit is not required.
- 20. What is the delivery method for this project? **Design-Bid-Build**