Procurement Summary

Date Submitted	:	8-Jan-19)			Propose	ed Contra	act Type			
Submitted by:		Amand	Amanda Lam			X	Standard Professional Services Agreement				
Contract Number	er:	PSA-20	19-009				Standard Construction Services Agreement				
				329,473.40 per year			1	A with Exceptions Approved by Counsel			
			***********						oved by Couns		
Supplier Name:		ProLogic I	TSIIC				• • • •	urchase Order	over by cours	-	
General Descrip				hicle Equipment			Other - See				
Source of Funds		SPLOST &					Other - See	Delow			
Source of Funds	··	5FLO51 &	General r	una	_						
Formal Advertised	l Procuren	nent:	Yes	No N/A	Debarred/Sc	Suspende reen Prin		Yes Yes	No No	N/A N/A	
E-Verify Required	/Obtained	1:	Yes	No N/A		Emerg	ency Procu	arement:	Yes	No	
Number of Bidder	s Contact	ed / Numl	ber of Bi	ds Received: 15	3	=		,			
	D 1			T	_		Weight	· —	ation Criter	<u>ia</u>	
Supplier Selection	Based on	ı :		Only One Bidder			60%	Price			
			X	Single/Sole Source Lowest Evaluated Bidder			20%	Quality of Equ	Gervice Experier	200	
				Highest Proposal Scoring			100%	Total	service Experier	ice	
				Other*			100 /0	Total			
								1			
Cherokee County Ba	ased Prefe	rence Rest	ılte	X Cherokee County	Vandor Salacta	od.					
(>\$25K, IFB Only, Re				No <u>County</u> Vendo			posal non-re	esponsive or no	ot-responsible*		
(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,		CC Vendor not wi			•	-r			
			CC Vendor Total Evaluated Score				e Inadequate (for consideration)				
				CC Vendor Not Lo	ow Bid, or No	Preference P	er Grant or	>\$100K Constr	uction (GA Lav	7)	
				* if CC bid/proposal was non-respon	nsive or not respon	nsible explanat	ion provided in	"Other Notes" belo	ow.		
Cremmany of Amala	7010 / C 204	•									
Summary of Analy				D111							
Total Score	<u>Pr</u>	<u>ice</u>	D. I.	<u>Bidder</u>		's Place of	Business				
Total Score 98.25	<u>Pr</u> \$	ice 31,241.50	ProLogic	ITS, LLC	Acworth, 0	Georgia					
Total Score 98.25 77.45	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48	Sound Of	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety	Acworth, G Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigai	n				
Total Score 98.25	<u>Pr</u> \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48	Sound Of	ITS, LLC	Acworth, G Hudsonvil	Georgia	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48	Sound Of	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety	Acworth, G Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigai	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48	Sound Of	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety	Acworth, G Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigai	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32	Sound Of Sound Of	ITS, LLC if Signal - Dana Safety if Signal - 144th Marketing	Acworth, G Hudsonvil Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigai	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method	Sound Of Sound Of	ITS, LLC if Signal - Dana Safety if Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis	Acworth, (Hudsonvil Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigar le, Michigar	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32	Sound Of Sound Of Adequate	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest E	Acworth, (Hudsonvil Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigar le, Michigar	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method	Sound Of Sound Of Adequate	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing	Acworth, (Hudsonvil Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigar le, Michigar	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method	Sound Of Sound Of Adequate Market A Cost Anal	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing	Acworth, (Hudsonvil Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigar le, Michigar	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25	<u>Pr</u> \$ \$ \$	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method	Sound Of Sound Of Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing lysis	Acworth, (Hudsonvil Hudsonvil	Georgia le, Michigar le, Michigar	n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination	Pr \$ \$ \$ nation:	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X	Sound Of Sound Of Sound Of Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing lysis Itract Pricing Price analysis below	Acworth, 6 Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Fype Valuated Bidd	Georgia le, Michigan le, Michigan	n n				
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination	Pr \$ \$ \$ nation:	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X	Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Price Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Charge	Acworth, Charles Acwort	Georgia le, Michigan le, Michigan er) Guard syste	n	d Interceptor w	vith Watch		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination	Pr \$ \$ \$ nation:	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X	Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing lysis Itract Pricing Price analysis below	Acworth, Charles Acwort	Georgia le, Michigan le, Michigan er) Guard syste	n	d Interceptor w	vith Watch		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination	Pr \$ \$ \$ nation:	ice 31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X	Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Price Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Charge	Acworth, Charles Acwort	Georgia le, Michigan le, Michigan er) Guard syste	n	d Interceptor w	vith Watch		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination	\$ \$ \$ nation:	Method X Steel above Em. The pri	Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see is the total	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Price Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Charge	Acworth, GHudsonvil Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Type valuated Bidd er with Watch Gquip the Charg	Georgia le, Michigan le, Michigan er) Guard syste ger and Force	n	d Interceptor w	vith Watch		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination: Not Low Bid:	\$ \$ \$ nation:	1,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X	Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see is the total	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Plysis Petract Pricing Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Chargetion was based on the cost to e	Acworth, GHudsonvil Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Type valuated Bidd er with Watch Gquip the Charg	Georgia le, Michigan le, Michigan er) Guard syste ger and Force	n	d Interceptor w	vith Watch		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination: Not Low Bid: (If Applicable)	\$ \$ \$ nation:	1,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X	Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see is the total	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Plysis Petract Pricing Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Chargetion was based on the cost to e	Acworth, GHudsonvil Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Type valuated Bidd er with Watch Gquip the Charg	Georgia le, Michigan le, Michigan er) Guard syste ger and Force	n	d Interceptor w	vith Watch		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination: Not Low Bid:	Pr \$ \$ \$ mation:	31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X sted above iem. The pri	Sound Of Sound Of Sound Of Sound Of Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see is the total ce evaluati vendor v	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Charge ion was based on the cost to experience was also the highest scor	Acworth, 6 Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Type valuated Bidd er with Watch 6 quip the Charg ing proposa	Georgia lle, Michigan lle, Michigan er) Guard syste ger and Force ll.	n n n and 1 Ford diseparately.	. An estimated	1 not-to-exceed		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination: Not Low Bid: (If Applicable)	Pr \$ \$ \$ mation:	31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X sted above iem. The pri	Sound Of Sound Of Sound Of Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see is the total ce evaluati vendor v	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Chargetion was based on the cost to edward to experience of the cost to edward and the cost to edward the	Acworth, 6 Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Type valuated Bidd er with Watch 6 quip the Charg ing proposa	Georgia lle, Michigan lle, Michigan er) Guard syste ger and Force ll.	n n n and 1 Ford diseparately.	. An estimated	1 not-to-exceed		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination: Not Low Bid: (If Applicable)	Pr \$ \$ \$ mation:	31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X sted above iem. The pri	Sound Of Sound Of Sound Of Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see is the total ce evaluati vendor v	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Charge ion was based on the cost to experience was also the highest scor	Acworth, 6 Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Type valuated Bidd er with Watch 6 quip the Charg ing proposa	Georgia lle, Michigan lle, Michigan er) Guard syste ger and Force ll.	n n n and 1 Ford diseparately.	. An estimated	1 not-to-exceed		
Total Score 98.25 77.45 56.25 Fair Price Determination: Not Low Bid: (If Applicable)	Pr \$ \$ \$ mation:	31,241.50 33,967.48 47,331.32 Method X sted above iem. The pri	Sound Of Sound Of Sound Of Adequate Market A Cost Anal State Con Other, see is the total ce evaluati vendor v	ITS, LLC If Signal - Dana Safety If Signal - 144th Marketing Price Analysis Price Competition (Lowest Enalysis or Market Pricing Price analysis below cost to equip 1 Dodge Charge ion was based on the cost to experience was also the highest scor	Acworth, 6 Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Hudsonvil Type valuated Bidd er with Watch 6 quip the Charg ing proposa	Georgia lle, Michigan lle, Michigan er) Guard syste ger and Force ll.	n n n and 1 Ford diseparately.	. An estimated	1 not-to-exceed		

PRICING ANALYSIS AND SCORING Ford Interceptor

ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCE FROM LOW BID:

2

\$

4,067

When purchasing items through manufacturers or distributors or purchasing items that are standardized or very mature services, the best method is the percentage of the low bid compared to the difference of each bidders price to the low bid. This takes maximum advantage of the points assigned to price and has the impact of providing no points for pricing greater than two times the low bid.

Ford Interceptor									
Ford Interceptor									
Points A	Available	ProLogic	Sound Off - Dana	Sound Off - 144th					
30		\$ 16,474	\$ 17,624	\$ 24,023					
Low Bid:	\$ 16,474	Under Average	Under Average						
Difference to Low	Bid	\$ -	\$ 1,151	\$ 7,549					
Comparison to Lo	w Bid	\$ 16,474	\$ 15,323	\$ 8,924					
Percentage of Points Earned		100%	93%	54%					
Initial Points Calculation		30.0	27.9	16.3					
Number of Points Earned		30.0	27.9	16.3					
I D' 1									
Low Bid	High Bid	Average	Range	# of Bids					
\$ 16,474	\$ 24,023	\$ 19,374	\$ 7,549	3					
# Under Average	Standard Dev.	Process Savings							

2,900

PRICING ANALYSIS AND SCORING Dodge Chargers

The highest three scores are in GREEN for easy identification.

ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCE FROM LOW BID:

When purchasing items through manufacturers or distributors or purchasing items that are standardized or very mature services, the best method is the percentage of the low bid compared to the difference of each bidders price to the low bid. This takes maximum advantage of the points assigned to price and has the impact of providing no points for pricing greater than two times the low bid.

Dodge Chargers

Points Available	ProLogic	Sound Off - Dana	Sound Off - 144th		
30	\$ 14,768	\$ 16,343	\$ 23,308		
Low Bid: \$ 14,768	Under Average	Under Average			
Difference to Low Bid	\$ -	\$ 1,575	\$ 8,540		
Comparison to Low Bid	\$ 14,768	\$ 13,193	\$ 6,227		
Percentage of Points Earned	100%	89%	42%		
Initial Points Calculation	30.0	26.8	12.7		
Number of Points Earned	30.0	26.8	12.7		

Low Bid H		igh Bid	Average		Range		# of Bids	
\$	14,768	\$	23,308	\$	18,140	\$	8,540	3
# Under Average Standard Dev.		Pro	cess Savings					
	2	\$	4,545	\$	3,372			

Vehicle Equipment Scoring

Scoring Criteria	Total Points	Prologic	Sound Off - Dana Safety Supply	Sound Off - 144th Marketing
Price	60	60	54.7	29
Quality of Equipment	20	19.75	12.5	13.75
Installation/Service Experience	20	18.5	10.25	13.5
Total	100	98.25	77.45	56.25

rice Summary				
Ford Interceptors	\$	11,303.67	\$ 11,739.42	\$ 17,498.08
Dodge Chargers	\$	9,597.83	\$ 10,458.06	\$ 16,783.24
Watch Guard	\$	5,170.00	\$ 5,885.00	\$ 6,525.00
	•			
Total - Ford Interceptor (equipment and Watch Guard)	\$	16,473.67	\$ 17,624.42	\$ 24,023.08
Total - Dodge Charger (equipment and Watch Guard)	\$	14,767.83	\$ 16,343.06	\$ 23,308.24
	•			
Total (Ford and Dodge)	\$	31,241.50	\$ 33,967.48	\$ 47,331.32