ADDENDUM NO. II

DATE: February 18, 2022

TO: All Potential Proposers

FROM: James McKeehan, Assistant Purchasing Agent, City of Knoxville

SUBJECT: Addendum No. II to RFQ - Stormwater Asset Management

PROPOSALS TO BE OPENED: February 28, 2022 at 11:00 am Eastern Time

This addendum is being published to provide clarification regarding the above referenced ITB. This addendum becomes a part of the contract documents and modifies the original specifications as follows:

Item 1: General: Will the City provide existing stormwater data to assist field crews in the location of stormwater structures?

Response: Yes. The existing KGIS stormwater database will be available.

Item 2: General: Should we use an existing Identification numbering system for stormwater structures or will new ID numbers be assigned by the selector contractor from scratch?

Response: There is not currently an existing identification numbering system.

Item 3: Page 5 – The structure condition described in Section V.c.c will be assigned by the surveyor and does not require an engineer's assessment of each structure…correct?

Response: The structure condition may be assessed by the surveyor using the criteria in Section V of the RFQ.

Item 4: Page 6 – Section V.c.f asks for separate pricing for survey grade elevations. Are we required to submit any pricing with our proposal or is this section talking about pricing discussions after a firm/team has been selected?

Response: This is for after the firm/team has been selected.

 Item 5: Page 6 - Section V.e of the RFP addresses pipe conditions:
    a. Does the NASSCO condition assessment apply to all pipes or only to the 48" and larger pipes that are being inspected?

    Response: Paragraph V(e) should be removed from the RFQ and all inspections should be based on paragraph V(c) and (d).
    b. The NASSCO assessment normally requires CCTV video in order to assign a NASSCO condition rating based on CCTV Video. Is that standard approach to be applied here?

    Response: Paragraph V(e) should be removed from the RFQ and all inspections should be based on paragraph V(c) and (d).

Item 6: Is there a defined schedule for the completion of this entire project or a schedule broken down by priority watersheds?

Response: The City is anticipating a 12-18 month timeline.

Item 7: Is there a security protocol to be followed such as…background check by TBI, badges with picture ID, and advance notification for entering school properties?

Response: Public schools and the land they are on are owned by the County and therefore will not be included in this project.

Item 8: Are the guidelines listed in the Scope of Work Section d) "Culvert Condition Inspection Guidelines" intended to apply only to assets described in the prior Section f. "GPS Field Collection" (located on Page 6 of 28)?

Response: Yes, that is correct.

Item 9: Please clarify what pipe and or structures will need to be inspected using CCTV (Section e. on Page 6 of 28).

Response: Paragraph V(e) should be removed from the RFQ and all inspections should be based on paragraph V(c) and (d).

Item 10: Are there any timeframes or deadlines associated with the performance of the work described in the RFQ?

Response: The City anticipates a 12-18 month timeline.

Item 11: Is there an estimated quantity for any of the assets the City has detailed in the RFQ such as length of pipe network or number of known outfalls that will need to be assessed in major drainageways?

Response: There is not an estimate at this time.

Item 12: Are drainage & piping networks serving State Routes to be included in the data collection efforts as required by the RFQ?

Response: If the routes are located within the City limits, then yes.

Item 13: Is the project area the watersheds of First, Second, Third and Fourth Creeks only?

Response: No. The City would hope to have the entire City completed.

Item 14: Does the City plan to move to ArcPRO?

Response: We do not anticipate that move within the limits of this contract

Item 15: What kind of CCTV platform does the City use, e.g., Granite, ITPipes, WinCan, etc.?

Response: Paragraph V(e) should be removed from the RFQ and all inspections should be based on paragraph V(c) and (d).

Item 16: Does the City also need to perform inspections on small bridges that may not qualify for larger bridge inspection program?

Response: Not at this time. This is only for Stormwater Assets.

Item 17: We understand that the City is requesting Esri desktop compatibility and Esri geodatabase format, but could you provide a listing of what specific Esri and other GIS software licenses the City currently uses?

Response: The City currently uses ESRI's ArcMap and ArcCatalog software to create, edit, and manage ArcSDE and file geodatabases. We utilize a license server to allow users to "check-out" licenses and have licenses for Desktop, Network Analyst, 3D Analyst, Spatial Analyst, and Publisher. We are currently licensed at the ArcGIS Desktop for Advanced level.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. II