2/22/2018 Coversheet



Cherokee County, Georgia Agenda Request

Item#: 12.10.

SUBJECT:

Voluntary Benefits Consultant.

MEETING DATE: 2/20/2018

SUBMITTED BY: Lori H. Thompson

COMMISSION ACTION REQUESTED:

Consider awarding the County's standard agreement to Insurance Offices of America to recommend, service and administer the voluntary benefits plans offered to County employees.

FACTS AND ISSUES:

On behalf of the Human Resources Department, the Procurement Department issued an RFP seeking proposals for a Voluntary Benefits Provider and Consultant to provide, service and administer Voluntary Benefits Plans for County employees. The plans requested were: Critical Illness, Cancer, Accident, Permanent Life Insurance, and an additional Hospital option. The County currently does not have a contracted provider for group voluntary benefits; the current voluntary benefits program being offered to employees are individual policies. Transitioning to group policies will provide a cost savings to employees, if they desire to change providers.

The Consultant/administrator will be involved in monthly new employee enrollment as well as during open enrollment during the last week of October through the first week of November and throughout the entire length of the contract.

Seven (7) proposals were received and evaluated. Insurance Offices of America was the top scoring proposal and offers the most cost effective policies.

This agreement is at no cost to the County, this is an optional benefit that employees can purchase.

BUDGET:

Budgeted Amount:

Account Name:

Amount Encumbered:

Account #:

Amount Spent to Date:

Remaining Budget:

Amount Requested:

ADMINISTRATIVE RECOMMENDATION:

Approve awarding the County's standard agreement to Insurance Offices of America to recommend, service and administer the voluntary benefits plans offered to County employees.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Type

Procurement Summary IOA

Backup Material

Memorandum Vol Benefits Source Selection

Backup Material

Voluntary Evaluation Scoring

Backup Material

D Agreement

Backup Material

Procurement Summary

Date Submit	tted:	2/14/20	18			Contract	Informati	on	
Submitted b	y:	Dale Jore	dan				Contract		
PSA Number	r:						7	Professional Services Agreement	
Initial Term		\$			1,560,000.00				
Period of Performance: Initial Term of 3 Years (2021) with 5 Year Option (2026)					_	1	exceptions Approved by Counsel		
					1 (2026)	-	1	greement Approved by Counsel	
			Office of America				Standard I	Purchase Order	
			Benefit Brokerage Services						
Source of Fu	inds:	Employee	Payroll Deduction	n (no cost to the Cou	nty)				
Formal Advert	ised Procuremen	t:	Yes		No*				
Number of Bid	iders Contacted /	Number of	Bids Received:		8	_			
Supplier Select	tion Based on:			Only On	e Bidder		Weight	Evaluation Criteria	
				Lowest B			30%	Portfolio/Prev. Experience	
					valuated Bidder		30%	Pricing/Financial Strength	
				Other*	Proposal Scoring	-	30% 10%	Customer Service References	
				*		_	1076	References	
	ard to Non-Count	y Business:			okee County Business sub				
	(If Applicable)		CC Business bid/proposal was						
						s not within 5% of Low Bid (for consideration) s Total Evaluated Score Inadequate (for considera		ation)	
Summary of A	nalysis / Scoring			CC DUSIN	ess Total Evaluated Score	madequate (i	or consider	aciony	
Total Score*	Evaluated I	Price*	Bidder		Location	Rep Brand			
80,30 60.90				s of America/Various	Atlanta, GA			ious	
59.10			Insurance Logic/ EPIC/Colonial	All State				ious	
Fair Price Dete	rmination:	Method	Price Analysis Ty	/pe					
			Lowest Bidder						
			Lowest Evaluate						
				ong Comparable Feat	ures / Suppliers				
				or Market Pricing					
			State Contract P						
			State Contract P	ricing					
Import	tant Price Evalua		State Contract Po Other, see attack For source select	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing	was scored in proportion				
Import	tant Price Evalua	tion Notes:	State Contract Pother, see attack For source select Each price was si	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the	other bids to evaluate over	erall pricing, se	e scoring su	ummary.	
Import	tant Price Evalua	tion Notes:	State Contract P Other, see attack For source select Each price was so The current cost	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit	other bids to evaluate over s run at about \$520,000 a	erall pricing, se annually and th	e scoring su ne estimate	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Import	tant Price Evalua	tion Notes:	State Contract P Other, see attack For source select Each price was so The current cost	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve	other bids to evaluate over s run at about \$520,000 a	erall pricing, se annually and th	e scoring su ne estimate	ummary.	
Import	tant Price Evalua	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve	other bids to evaluate over s run at about \$520,000 a	erall pricing, se annually and th	e scoring su ne estimate	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Why Other	tant Price Evalua r Than Low Bidde (If Applicable)	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve	other bids to evaluate over s run at about \$520,000 a	erall pricing, se annually and th	e scoring su ne estimate	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Why Other	r Than Low Bidde	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve	other bids to evaluate over s run at about \$520,000 a	erall pricing, se annually and th	e scoring su ne estimate	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Why Other	r Than Low Bidde	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve sing them.	other bids to evaluate over is run at about \$520,000 and of the standard of spend since this is a find of spend since this is a find of the standard of the st	erall pricing, se annually and the function of the	e scoring si ne estimate strength of	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Why Other	r Than Low Bidde	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve sing them.	other bids to evaluate over s run at about \$520,000 a	erall pricing, se annually and the function of the	e scoring si ne estimate strength of	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Why Other	r Than Low Bidde	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve sing them.	other bids to evaluate over is run at about \$520,000 and of the standard of spend since this is a find of spend since this is a find of the standard of the st	erall pricing, se annually and the function of the	e scoring si ne estimate strength of	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Why Other	r Than Low Bidde	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve sing them.	other bids to evaluate over is run at about \$520,000 and of the standard of spend since this is a find of spend since this is a find of the standard of the st	erall pricing, se annually and the function of the	e scoring si ne estimate strength of	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	
Why Other	r Than Low Bidde	tion Notes:	State Contract Protection of the Contract Protec	ricing hed price analysis. tion purposes pricing cored against all the for voluntary benefit the same overall leve sing them.	other bids to evaluate over is run at about \$520,000 and of the standard of spend since this is a find of spend since this is a find of the standard of the st	erall pricing, se annually and the function of the	e scoring si ne estimate strength of	ummary. d value of the contract for the new	

MEMORANDUM TO FILE

13-Feb-18

Subject: Source Selection

Author: Dale Jordan

The RFP broke down the selection evaluation criteria into four categories:

Product Portfolio/Experience	30%
Financial Proposal	30%
Customer Service/Acct Mgt	30%
Reference	10%

The purpose of the RFP was two-fold, to identify a Broker/Partner to provide services related to the management of Voluntary Benefits and to also select replacement programs for the ones currently being provided. The evaluation team performed a detailed review of each aspect of each program to develop the portfolio scoring. The financial proposal was scored by assigning the points five points available to the lowest cost proposal for each program. One point was assigned to the highest price producer and the range between the highest price and the lowest price was then proportionally scored. Customer service and Account Management were evaluated taking into account the entire proposal. The scores were then totaled and it was determined that IOA was in a position where the 10 points References were not required to be selected as the highest scoring organization.

	Score Before Reference Cks	Final Scores
IOA	70.5	80.3
Allstate	51.5	60.9
EPIC / Colonial	49.4	59.1
		*Best Possible
Hodges-Mace	49.1	59.1
TransAmerica	48	58
EPIC/Various	39.7	49.7
Lincoln	38.4	48.4
NY Life	16.6	26.6

With the possibility that reference checks could potentially render the IOA relationship as undesirable and result in non-responsible proposal, the second and third place Brokers were also included in the reference evaluations. Rather than add cost to the evaluation phase of the project when the other five proposals that were mathematically removed from susceptibility of winning the award, the five proposals under the line were not evaluated for references. When the three reference checks were completed, the highest scoring candidate to become the voluntary benefits broker/consultant was IOA. See attached high level scoring summary.

^{*}Best Possible is the would be score with perfect 10 reference scores.

		BROKER A	BROKER B	I BROWER C	Tanaura a	Tanaura a T	Tanavia a	I annual a l	T
		BRUKER A	BROKER B	BROKER C	BROKER D	BROKER E	BROKER F	BROKER G	BROKER H
	Broker			Lincoln Financial Life Insurance	Insure	Jamie Bendall	Offices of		New York Life Insurance
		EPIC Consultants Colonial Life &	EPIC Consultants	Lincoln Financial	Logic/AllState	TransAmerica	America Colonial for Cancer, Critical illness & Hospital, Boston Mutual for Accident.	Hodges-Mace	Company
	Insurance Carrier	Accident Insurance Company	The Hartford & AFLAC	Illness includes	Insure Logic/AllState	TransAmerica	TrustMark for Life Ins.	MetLife	Permanent Lif
Available	modranice Conner	Company	Al Dic	Cancery	Logic/Allotate	Transamerica	Life iiis.	INIETETE	Unity
Points	1A) Portfolio Scoring							1	
5.0	Accident	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6	4.0	2.9	0.0
5.0	Cancer	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.6	3.0	3.1	0.9	0.0
5.0	Critical Illness	3.6	4.1	3.3	2.0	3.8	3.5	3.2	0.0
5.0	Hospital	3.1	2.7	0.0	2.3	2.4	3.1	3.1	0.0
5.0	Perm Life	1.8	1.8	0.0	1.2	2.0	1.9	1.5	3.0
25.0	Total Points	15.2	12.2	6.7	10.7	14.7	15.6	11.7	3.0
	1B) Previous Exp	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
30.0	Category 1 Score	20.2	17.2	11.7	15.7	19.7	20.6	16.7	8.0
		BROKER A	BROKER B	BROKER C	BROKER D	BROKER E	BROKER F	BROKER G	BROKER H
				Lincoln Financial			Insurance		New York L
Available		EPIC Consultants	EPIC Consultants	Life Insurance	Insure	Jamie Bendail	Offices of	Hodges-Mace	Insurance
Points	2B Pricing			Company	Logic/AllState	TransAmerica	America		Company
5.0	Accident	4.8	3.0	1.6	2.6	1.8	4.0	2.0	0.0
5.0	Cancer	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	4.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
5.0	Critical Illness	3.3	3.6	3.4	2.5	1.0	3.3	2.1	0.0
5.0	Hospital	3.6	4.1	0.0	4.8	5.0	3.6	1.0	0.0
5.0	Perm Life	1.0	1.0	0.0	4.6	3.2	5.0	4.4	1.2
25.0	Total Points	17.7	11.8	5.0	15.1	15.0	20.9	9.5	1.2
5.0	AM Best	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
30.0	Category 2 Score	22.7	16.3	10.0	20.1	20.0	25.9	13.5	6.2
30.0	Category 2 Score	22.7	10.3	10.0	20.1	20.0	25.5	13.3	0.2
Available				Lincoln Financial	Insure	Jamie Bendall	Insurance		New York L
Doints	Customer Service &	EPIC Consultants	EPIC Consultants	Life Insurance	Logic/AllState	TransAmerica	Offices of	Hodges-Mace	Insurance
	Account Management		-	Company			America	100	Company
30.0	Category 3 Score	6.5	6.2	16.8	15.7	8.3	23.9	18.9	2.4
90.0	Subtotals	49.4	39.7	38.4	51.5	48.0	70.5	49.1	16.6
[Ranking		6	7	2	5		4	8
		a die a alle		Lincoln Financial		Jamie Bendall	Insurance		New York L
Available		EPIC Consultants	EPIC Consultants	Life Insurance	Insure		Offices of	Hodges-Mace	Insurance
Points	Reference Checks	M. 75 C. STORY . 2.		Company	- Logic/AllState	TransAmerica	America		Company
10.0	Category 4 Score	9.7 sy "			9.4		p 1,7,98		
		production and the second design		Lincoln Financial	-		Imurance		New York L
Available Points		EPIC Consultants	EPIC Consultants	Lincoln Financial Life Insurance	Insure Logic/AllState	Jamie Bendall TransAmerica	Offices of	Hodges-Mace	Insurance
	Total Scores			Company		-	America		Company
100.0	Total Points	59.4			60.9		80.3		

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	For Total Scoring Assumed Averag	e of Reference Scoring Re	eceived to Adjust Balance of Proposals for Reference	es:	
Available Points	Average Reference Scores	EPIC Consultants	Lincoln Financial Life Insurance Company	Jamie Bendall TransAmerica	Hodges-Mace	New York Life Insurance Company
10.0	9.6	49.3	48.1	57.7	58.7	26.2

Available
Points
Total Scores
100.0 Total Points