

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

<b>DISTRICT</b>	Alamogordo Public Schools	<b>PROJECT #</b>	P20-001	<b>RFP #</b>	013-2021	<b>DATE:</b>	3/11/2021
<b>PROJECT</b>	Design Professional Chaparral Middle School						
<b>Weighting:</b>	Technical - 100		Interview - 50		Total - 150		

# Offerors:	ASA Architects	Desert Peak	DPS	Greer Stafford	FBT Architects	Jon Anderson	New Republic	Orcutt/Winslow	Studio SW	WRA
<b>Resident Preference Type (Hover to View Key)</b>	NR ▼	R ▼	R ▼	R ▼	R ▼	NR ▼	NR ▼	NR ▼	R ▼	NR ▼
<b>Percentage of Joint Venture Performed by Resident</b>	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
<b>Percentage of Joint Venture Performed by Resident Veteran &lt;\$1M</b>	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
<b>Percentage of Joint Venture Performed by Resident Veteran &lt;\$5M</b>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Percentage of Joint Venture Performed by Resident Veteran &gt;\$5M</b>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Percentage of Joint Venture Performed by Non-Resident</b>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Preference Factor For Technical</b>	0.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00

**Rater #1**

Technical Proposal	95.00	85.00	97.00	97.00	85.00	93.00	56.00	90.00	97.00	86.00
Subtotal:	95.00	85.00	97.00	97.00	85.00	93.00	56.00	90.00	97.00	86.00
Preference Adjustment	95.00	90.00	102.00	102.00	90.00	93.00	56.00	90.00	102.00	86.00
<b>Rank #1</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>

**Rater #2**

Technical Proposal	87.00	64.00	87.00	88.00	65.00	68.00	39.00	70.00	86.00	79.00
Subtotal:	87.00	64.00	87.00	88.00	65.00	68.00	39.00	70.00	86.00	79.00
Preference Adjustment	87.00	69.00	92.00	93.00	70.00	68.00	39.00	70.00	91.00	79.00
<b>Rank #2</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>

**Rater #3**

Technical Proposal	96.00	76.00	97.00	96.00	91.00	86.00	46.00	96.00	97.00	82.00
Subtotal:	96.00	76.00	97.00	96.00	91.00	86.00	46.00	96.00	97.00	82.00
Preference Adjustment	96.00	81.00	102.00	101.00	96.00	86.00	46.00	96.00	102.00	82.00
<b>Rank #3</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>

**Rater #4**

Technical Proposal	88.00	74.00	96.00	92.00	80.00	46.00	34.00	69.00	94.00	69.00
Subtotal:	88.00	74.00	96.00	92.00	80.00	46.00	34.00	69.00	94.00	69.00
Preference Adjustment	88.00	79.00	101.00	97.00	85.00	46.00	34.00	69.00	99.00	69.00
<b>Rank #4</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>

**Rater #5**

Technical Proposal	98.00	71.00	98.00	98.00	93.00	64.00	51.00	75.00	98.00	79.00
Subtotal:	98.00	71.00	98.00	98.00	93.00	64.00	51.00	75.00	98.00	79.00
Preference Adjustment	98.00	76.00	103.00	103.00	98.00	64.00	51.00	75.00	103.00	79.00
<b>Rank #5</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>

# Raters: 5

Summary of Technical and Evaluations:

Rater 1	4.00	6.00	1.00	1.00	6.00	5.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	9.00
Rater 2	4.00	8.00	2.00	1.00	6.00	9.00	10.00	6.00	3.00	5.00
Rater 3	4.00	9.00	1.00	3.00	4.00	7.00	10.00	4.00	1.00	8.00
Rater 4	4.00	6.00	1.00	3.00	5.00	9.00	10.00	7.00	2.00	7.00
Rater 5	4.00	7.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	9.00	10.00	8.00	1.00	6.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>39.00</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>31.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>35.00</b>

<b>Overall Rank:</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>
----------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

(this ranking is used to determine the slate of firms to be interviewed.)

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

SELECTED FOR INTERVIEW  
(Yes if Checked)

YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES

**NOT USED FOR FINAL SELECTION - For Comparison Purposes Only**

Total Score	464.00	395.00	500.00	496.00	439.00	357.00	226.00	400.00	497.00	326.00
Rank based on Score	4.00	7.00	1.00	3.00	5.00	8.00	10.00	6.00	2.00	9.00
Avg Score	92.80	79.00	100.00	99.20	87.80	71.40	45.20	80.00	99.40	65.20
Rank based on Avg Score	4.00	7.00	1.00	3.00	5.00	8.00	10.00	6.00	2.00	9.00

**INTERVIEWS:**

	ASA Architects	N/A	DPS	Greer Stafford	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Studio SW	N/A
<b>Rater #1</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Score	45.00	0.00	43.00	39.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.00	0.00
Rank	2.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
<b>Rater #2</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Score	41.00	0.00	43.00	41.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.00	0.00
Rank	3.00	5.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
<b>Rater #3</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Score	43.00	0.00	48.00	43.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00
Rank	3.00	5.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
<b>Rater #4</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Score	28.00	0.00	34.00	37.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.00	0.00
Rank	4.00	5.00	3.00	2.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
<b>Rater #5</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
Score	48.00	0.00	48.00	41.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.00	0.00
Rank	2.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00

# Raters: 5

**Summary of Interviews:**

Rater #1	2.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
Rater #2	3.00	5.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
Rater #3	3.00	5.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
Rater #4	4.00	5.00	3.00	2.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
Rater #5	2.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>14.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>12.00</b>	<b>16.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>
<b>Overall Interview Rank:</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

NOT USED FOR FINAL SELECTION - For Interview Comparison Purposes Only										
Total Score	205.00	0.00	216.00	201.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	243.00	0.00
Rank based on Score	3.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00
Avg Score	41.00	0.00	43.20	40.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.60	0.00
Rank based on Avg Score	3.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00

NOT USED FOR FINAL SELECTION - For Final Award Comparison Purposes Only										
Total Score	669.00	395.00	716.00	697.00	439.00	357.00	226.00	400.00	740.00	326.00
Rank based on Score	4.00	7.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	8.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	9.00
Avg Score	133.80	79.00	143.20	139.40	87.80	71.40	45.20	80.00	148.00	65.20
Rank based on Avg Score	4.00	7.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	8.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	9.00

Final Selection Based on Combined Scores from Technical Proposal, Price and Interview										
	ASA Architects	N/A	DPS	Greer Stafford	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Studio SW	N/A
<b>Rater #1</b>										
Score	140.00	90.00	145.00	141.00	90.00	93.00	56.00	90.00	150.00	86.00
Rank	4.00	6.00	2.00	3.00	6.00	5.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	9.00
<b>Rater #2</b>										
Score	128.00	69.00	135.00	134.00	70.00	68.00	39.00	70.00	138.00	79.00
Rank	4.00	8.00	2.00	3.00	6.00	9.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	5.00
<b>Rater #3</b>										
Score	139.00	81.00	150.00	144.00	96.00	86.00	46.00	96.00	152.00	82.00
Rank	4.00	9.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	7.00	10.00	5.00	1.00	8.00
<b>Rater #4</b>										
Score	116.00	79.00	135.00	134.00	85.00	46.00	34.00	69.00	148.00	69.00
Rank	4.00	6.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	9.00	10.00	7.00	1.00	7.00
<b>Rater #5</b>										
Score	146.00	76.00	151.00	144.00	98.00	64.00	51.00	75.00	152.00	79.00
Rank	3.00	7.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	9.00	10.00	8.00	1.00	6.00
<b>Rater #1</b>	4.00	6.00	2.00	3.00	6.00	5.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	9.00
<b>Rater #2</b>	4.00	8.00	2.00	3.00	6.00	9.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	5.00
<b>Rater #3</b>	4.00	9.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	7.00	10.00	5.00	1.00	8.00
<b>Rater #4</b>	4.00	6.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	9.00	10.00	7.00	1.00	7.00
<b>Rater #5</b>	3.00	7.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	9.00	10.00	8.00	1.00	6.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>19.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>16.00</b>	<b>27.00</b>	<b>39.00</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>32.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>35.00</b>
<b>Overall Final Rank:</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

<b>DISTRICT</b>	Alamogordo Public Schools												
<b>PROJECT</b>	Design Professional Chaparral Middle School			<b>PROJECT #</b>	P20-001		<b>RFP #</b>	013-2021		<b>DATE:</b>	3/11/2021		
<b>Weighting:</b>	Technical - 100			Interview - 50			Total - 150						

Rater #1

# Offerors:		ASA Architects	Desert Peak	DPS	Greer Stafford	FBT Architects	Jon Anderson	New Republic	Orcutt/Winslow	Studio SW	WRA	
1	Design and technical competence of the business, including, a joint venture or association regarding the type of services required	15	15.00	12.00	15.00	15.00	13.00	15.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	13.00
2	Capacity and capability of the business to perform the work, including and specialized services, within the time limitations	15	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00	15.00	13.00	8.00	13.00	15.00	15.00
3	Past record of performance on contracts with government agencies and private industry with respect to such factors as control of cost, quality of work, and ability to meet schedules.	10	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	6.00	8.00	9.00	8.00
4	Technical approach to visioning, presentation of design phases	15	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	12.00	15.00	7.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
5	The amount of design work that will be produced by a New Mexico business within the state	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	3.00
6	Design experience for projects of similar size and scope. Describe specialized design concepts and out-of-the-box thinking that has been implemented on previous architectural design projects	25	23.00	20.00	23.00	22.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	24.00	20.00
7	Evidence of understanding of scope of work, the site, and existing conditions	15	15.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	10.00	15.00	1.00	13.00	15.00	12.00
<b>TOTAL TECHNICAL</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>95.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>97.00</b>	<b>97.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>93.00</b>	<b>56.00</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>97.00</b>	<b>86.00</b>
<b>TECHNICAL RANK</b>			<b>4.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>11.00</b>

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

INTERVIEW		50	45.00	0.00	43.00	39.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.00	0.00
1	Provide a synopsis of your firm, including your experience and past accomplishments that relate to this project. Explain why it would be advantageous to the Owners for your firm to be selected to design this project	10	10.00		10.00	8.00					10.00	
2	Explain in detail how your design for Chaparral Middle School will align with District's vision and expectations for this project as they were communicated in the pre-proposal conference.	10	10.00		7.00	8.00					10.00	
3	Please discuss your process for coordination and review of design documents that minimizes any potential for errors. Describe your firm's policy if design errors should occur which may have cost implications to the Owners.	10	9.00		8.00	9.00					10.00	
4	Discuss your understanding of the elements involved with a community-connected middle school. Describe some of the challenges that may be involved in achieving these elements for this project, and how you foresee overcoming these challenges.	10	7.00		8.00	6.00					10.00	
5	Explain your firm's strategy for maintaining firm control of the project budget and schedule throughout the design and construction phases.	10	9.00		10.00	8.00					8.00	
INTERVIEW RANK			2.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

<b>DISTRICT</b>	Alamogordo Public Schools											
<b>PROJECT</b>	Design Professional Chaparral Middle School			<b>PROJECT #</b>	P20-001		<b>RFP #</b>	013-2021		<b>DATE:</b>	3/11/2021	
<b>Weighting:</b>	Technical - 100			Interview - 50			Total - 150					

**Rater #2**

# Offerors:		ASA Architects	Desert Peak	DPS	Greer Stafford	FBT Architects	Jon Anderson	New Republic	Orcutt/Winslow	Studio SW	WRA	
1	Design and technical competence of the business, including, a joint venture or association regarding the type of services required	15	13.00	11.00	14.00	13.00	12.00	12.00	5.00	12.00	13.00	13.00
2	Capacity and capability of the business to perform the work, including and specialized services, within the time limitations	15	14.00	11.00	13.00	14.00	10.00	12.00	5.00	12.00	12.00	14.00
3	Past record of performance on contracts with government agencies and private industry with respect to such factors as control of cost, quality of work, and ability to meet schedules.	10	7.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	7.00	7.00	5.00	8.00	7.00	7.00
4	Technical approach to visioning, presentation of design phases	15	13.00	10.00	14.00	14.00	13.00	12.00	5.00	12.00	14.00	13.00
5	The amount of design work that will be produced by a New Mexico business within the state	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	4.00
6	Design experience for projects of similar size and scope. Describe specialized design concepts and out-of-the-box thinking that has been implemented on previous architectural design projects	25	20.00	10.00	20.00	20.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	15.00	22.00	15.00
7	Evidence of understanding of scope of work, the site, and existing conditions	15	15.00	10.00	13.00	13.00	8.00	5.00	5.00	10.00	14.00	13.00
<b>TOTAL TECHNICAL</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>87.00</b>	<b>64.00</b>	<b>87.00</b>	<b>88.00</b>	<b>65.00</b>	<b>68.00</b>	<b>39.00</b>	<b>70.00</b>	<b>86.00</b>	<b>79.00</b>
<b>TECHNICAL RANK</b>			<b>2.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>11.00</b>

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

INTERVIEW		50	41.00	0.00	43.00	41.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.00	0.00
1	Provide a synopsis of your firm, including your experience and past accomplishments that relate to this project. Explain why it would be advantageous to the Owners for your firm to be selected to design this project	10	8.00		9.00	8.00					9.00	
2	Explain in detail how your design for Chaparral Middle School will align with District's vision and expectations for this project as they were communicated in the pre-proposal conference.	10	8.00		8.00	8.00					10.00	
3	Please discuss your process for coordination and review of design documents that minimizes any potential for errors. Describe your firm's policy if design errors should occur which may have cost implications to the Owners.	10	8.00		9.00	9.00					9.00	
4	Discuss your understanding of the elements involved with a community-connected middle school. Describe some of the challenges that may be involved in achieving these elements for this project, and how you foresee overcoming these challenges.	10	9.00		8.00	8.00					10.00	
5	Explain your firm's strategy for maintaining firm control of the project budget and schedule throughout the design and construction phases.	10	8.00		9.00	8.00					9.00	
INTERVIEW RANK			3.00	5.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

<b>DISTRICT</b>	Alamogordo Public Schools											
<b>PROJECT</b>	Design Professional Chaparral Middle School			<b>PROJECT #</b>	P20-001		<b>RFP #</b>	013-2021		<b>DATE:</b>	3/11/2021	
<b>Weighting:</b>	Technical - 100			Interview - 50			Total - 150					

**Rater #3**

# Offerors:		ASA Architects	Desert Peak	DPS	Greer Stafford	FBT Architects	Jon Anderson	New Republic	Orcutt/Winslow	Studio SW	WRA	
1	Design and technical competence of the business, including, a joint venture or association regarding the type of services required	15	15.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	14.00	13.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
2	Capacity and capability of the business to perform the work, including and specialized services, within the time limitations	15	13.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	14.00	14.00	5.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
3	Past record of performance on contracts with government agencies and private industry with respect to such factors as control of cost, quality of work, and ability to meet schedules.	10	8.00	8.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	4.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
4	Technical approach to visioning, presentation of design phases	15	15.00	13.00	15.00	13.00	15.00	13.00	8.00	15.00	15.00	10.00
5	The amount of design work that will be produced by a New Mexico business within the state	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	2.00
6	Design experience for projects of similar size and scope. Describe specialized design concepts and out-of-the-box thinking that has been implemented on previous architectural design projects	25	25.00	10.00	24.00	23.00	20.00	23.00	10.00	25.00	23.00	20.00
7	Evidence of understanding of scope of work, the site, and existing conditions	15	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	13.00	10.00	5.00	15.00	15.00	10.00
<b>TOTAL TECHNICAL</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>76.00</b>	<b>97.00</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>91.00</b>	<b>86.00</b>	<b>46.00</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>97.00</b>	<b>82.00</b>
<b>TECHNICAL RANK</b>			<b>3.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>11.00</b>



RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

INTERVIEW		50	43.00	0.00	48.00	43.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00
1	Provide a synopsis of your firm, including your experience and past accomplishments that relate to this project. Explain why it would be advantageous to the Owners for your firm to be selected to design this project	10	10.00		10.00	10.00					10.00	
2	Explain in detail how your design for Chaparral Middle School will align with District's vision and expectations for this project as they were communicated in the pre-proposal conference.	10	9.00		10.00	9.00					10.00	
3	Please discuss your process for coordination and review of design documents that minimizes any potential for errors. Describe your firm's policy if design errors should occur which may have cost implications to the Owners.	10	8.00		9.00	8.00					10.00	
4	Discuss your understanding of the elements involved with a community-connected middle school. Describe some of the challenges that may be involved in achieving these elements for this project, and how you foresee overcoming these challenges.	10	8.00		9.00	8.00					10.00	
5	Explain your firm's strategy for maintaining firm control of the project budget and schedule throughout the design and construction phases.	10	8.00		10.00	8.00					10.00	
INTERVIEW RANK			3.00	5.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

<b>DISTRICT</b>	Alamogordo Public Schools												
<b>PROJECT</b>	Design Professional Chaparral Middle School			<b>PROJECT #</b>	P20-001		<b>RFP #</b>	013-2021		<b>DATE:</b>	3/11/2021		
<b>Weighting:</b>	Technical - 100			Interview - 50			Total - 150						

**Rater #4**

# Offerors:		ASA Architects	Desert Peak	DPS	Greer Stafford	FBT Architects	Jon Anderson	New Republic	Orcutt/Winslow	Studio SW	WRA	
1	Design and technical competence of the business, including, a joint venture or association regarding the type of services required	15	13.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	10.00	9.00	8.00	14.00	15.00	13.00
2	Capacity and capability of the business to perform the work, including and specialized services, within the time limitations	15	13.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	14.00	7.00	5.00	14.00	15.00	15.00
3	Past record of performance on contracts with government agencies and private industry with respect to such factors as control of cost, quality of work, and ability to meet schedules.	10	8.00	7.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	8.00	8.00
4	Technical approach to visioning, presentation of design phases	15	14.00	14.00	13.00	13.00	12.00	9.00	5.00	8.00	15.00	12.00
5	The amount of design work that will be produced by a New Mexico business within the state	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	2.00
6	Design experience for projects of similar size and scope. Describe specialized design concepts and out-of-the-box thinking that has been implemented on previous architectural design projects	25	20.00	17.00	23.00	21.00	18.00	10.00	8.00	18.00	22.00	12.00
7	Evidence of understanding of scope of work, the site, and existing conditions	15	15.00	11.00	15.00	13.00	13.00	3.00	0.00	11.00	15.00	7.00
<b>TOTAL TECHNICAL</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>88.00</b>	<b>74.00</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>92.00</b>	<b>80.00</b>	<b>46.00</b>	<b>34.00</b>	<b>69.00</b>	<b>94.00</b>	<b>69.00</b>
<b>TECHNICAL RANK</b>			<b>4.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>11.00</b>

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

INTERVIEW		50	28.00	0.00	34.00	37.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.00	0.00
1	Provide a synopsis of your firm, including your experience and past accomplishments that relate to this project. Explain why it would be advantageous to the Owners for your firm to be selected to design this project	10	8.00		9.00	10.00					10.00	
2	Explain in detail how your design for Chaparral Middle School will align with District's vision and expectations for this project as they were communicated in the pre-proposal conference.	10	3.00		4.00	5.00					10.00	
3	Please discuss your process for coordination and review of design documents that minimizes any potential for errors. Describe your firm's policy if design errors should occur which may have cost implications to the Owners.	10	6.00		9.00	10.00					9.00	
4	Discuss your understanding of the elements involved with a community-connected middle school. Describe some of the challenges that may be involved in achieving these elements for this project, and how you foresee overcoming these challenges.	10	4.00		4.00	4.00					10.00	
5	Explain your firm's strategy for maintaining firm control of the project budget and schedule throughout the design and construction phases.	10	7.00		8.00	8.00					10.00	
INTERVIEW RANK			4.00	5.00	3.00	2.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

<b>DISTRICT</b>	Alamogordo Public Schools											
<b>PROJECT</b>	Design Professional Chaparral Middle School			<b>PROJECT #</b>	P20-001		<b>RFP #</b>	013-2021		<b>DATE:</b>	3/11/2021	
<b>Weighting:</b>	Technical - 100			Interview - 50			Total - 150					

Rater #5

# Offerors:		ASA Architects	Desert Peak	DPS	Greer Stafford	FBT Architects	Jon Anderson	New Republic	Orcutt/Winslow	Studio SW	WRA	
1	Design and technical competence of the business, including, a joint venture or association regarding the type of services required	15	15.00	10.00	14.00	15.00	14.00	10.00	8.00	12.00	15.00	10.00
2	Capacity and capability of the business to perform the work, including and specialized services, within the time limitations	15	15.00	8.00	15.00	15.00	14.00	8.00	8.00	12.00	15.00	12.00
3	Past record of performance on contracts with government agencies and private industry with respect to such factors as control of cost, quality of work, and ability to meet schedules.	10	8.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	8.00
4	Technical approach to visioning, presentation of design phases	15	15.00	10.00	14.00	15.00	12.00	8.00	4.00	4.00	15.00	14.00
5	The amount of design work that will be produced by a New Mexico business within the state	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00
6	Design experience for projects of similar size and scope. Describe specialized design concepts and out-of-the-box thinking that has been implemented on previous architectural design projects	25	25.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	24.00	15.00	15.00	22.00	25.00	22.00
7	Evidence of understanding of scope of work, the site, and existing conditions	15	15.00	10.00	15.00	13.00	14.00	10.00	5.00	14.00	14.00	10.00
<b>TOTAL TECHNICAL</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>98.00</b>	<b>71.00</b>	<b>98.00</b>	<b>98.00</b>	<b>93.00</b>	<b>64.00</b>	<b>51.00</b>	<b>75.00</b>	<b>98.00</b>	<b>79.00</b>
<b>TECHNICAL RANK</b>			<b>1.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>11.00</b>

RFP EVALUATION SCORESHEET

INTERVIEW		50	48.00	0.00	48.00	41.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.00	0.00
1	Provide a synopsis of your firm, including your experience and past accomplishments that relate to this project. Explain why it would be advantageous to the Owners for your firm to be selected to design this project	10	10.00		10.00	8.00					10.00	
2	Explain in detail how your design for Chaparral Middle School will align with District's vision and expectations for this project as they were communicated in the pre-proposal conference.	10	10.00		10.00	8.00					10.00	
3	Please discuss your process for coordination and review of design documents that minimizes any potential for errors. Describe your firm's policy if design errors should occur which may have cost implications to the Owners.	10	9.00		9.00	8.00					9.00	
4	Discuss your understanding of the elements involved with a community-connected middle school. Describe some of the challenges that may be involved in achieving these elements for this project, and how you foresee overcoming these challenges.	10	9.00		9.00	8.00					10.00	
5	Explain your firm's strategy for maintaining firm control of the project budget and schedule throughout the design and construction phases.	10	10.00		10.00	9.00					10.00	
INTERVIEW RANK			2.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00