EMPORIA STATE U N I V E R S I T Y

ADDENDUM

Addendum Date: October 27, 2022

Addendum Number: 1

RFP Number: 003-23

Questions Deadline: October 26, 2022 (2:00 pm CST)
Closing Date: November 30, 2022 (2:00 pm CST)

Procurement Director: Ashley Brandt

Mailing Address: Emporia State University Purchasing Office

1 Kellogg Circle, Box 4021, Plumb Hall 103M, Emporia, KS 66801

Phone: 620-341-5137

E-Mail Address: purchaseorders@emporia.edu

Item: Video Surveillance

Agency: Emporia State University (ESU)

Location(s): Emporia, KS 66801

Below are the answers to vendor questions submitted prior to the RFP questions deadline. If you have already submitted a proposal, you can update your proposal prior to the closing date/time listed above.

1. Is ONVIF a requirement, or will a cloud managed solution be considered? (Cloud-managed, by nature of the architecture, is not ONVIF.)

ONVIF is not a requirement, we would entertain a cloud solution.

2. The RFP calls out VMS must be able to integrate Emporia State's building access software, Gallagher Command Centre. Is this a hard requirement?

Yes, this must be able to integrate with our door access platform.

3. Do we need to re-purpose existing cameras to integrate into a new system?

Yes, all cameras currently running on our VMS platform must be able to integrate with any new VMS platform proposed by bidding vendors.

4. Will a solution with a lower frames per second, but a higher resolution, be accepted? Or is 30FPS a hard requirement?

15fps would be the lowest we could accept. 3-5MP cameras would be sufficient for watching the inside entrances.

5. Would the university to be open to solutions that do not support expandable storage via SD card, but instead are expandable via other storage mechanisms?

We are currently in the process of implementing a new NETAPP that will be tied to the virtual machines to take care of the storage.

6. Is NEMA 4X-Rated cameras a hard requirement? Or will cameras that are IK10+ and IP67 be sufficient?

Yes, IK10+/IP67 is sufficient.

7. Is Motion JPEG a hard requirement? Or would H.264 encoding be sufficient?

Yes, H.264/H.265 is sufficient.

8. Is Camera Tampering altering a hard requirement? Or would notifications of the camera being unreachable by the system be sufficient?

Yes, notifications of the camera being unreachable is sufficient.

9. For event functionality with triggers, what type of event needs to occur if the local storage is full? If sending a notification is the requirement, is this a hard requirement?

We would prefer email notification. Yes, it would be a hard requirement to know this information.

10. Do the cameras have to support every transport protocol listed on Page 25? Or would it be sufficient to support a subset of said protocols?

Yes, it would be sufficient to support the subset of the said protocols.

11. Can you further explain the use of dual streaming of video? What is the day to day use case for using this feature?

N/A.

12. For personalized layouts, would an administrator-defined custom video walls be sufficient?

Yes, that would be sufficient.

13. Would audio via MP4 be sufficient for audio recording formats?

Yes, that is sufficient.

14. Is the ability to digitally zoom in an exported file a hard requirement? Would the ability to digitally zoom on a recorded, but not export the file be sufficient?

No, the ability to digitally zoom in an exported file is not a hard requirement. Our current VMS can enhance both recorded and exported video.

15. Does the solution need to have a natively supported password protection for exported files? Or would 3rd party tools, such as Microsoft File encryption with password, be sufficient?

No, but natively supported password protection would be a plus. Yes, 3rd party tools like those mentioned would be sufficient.

16. Is the ability to add encrypted comments a hard requirement?

No, the ability to add encrypted comments is not a hard requirement.