FY 2018-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM – SUBALLOCATED FUNDS (STP-S) NEW PROJECT APPLICATION

PROJECT RECORD NUMBER: 624435

BOEUF CREEK ROAD BRIDGE REPLACMENT

PRESENTED TO:

EAST-WEST GATEWAY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING DEPT. – STP-S
GATEWAY TOWER
ONE MEMORIAL DR – STE 1600
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

SPONSORING AGENCY:

FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO JOHN GRIESHEIMER, PRESIDING COMMISSIONER 400 EAST LOCUST ST – ROOM 206 UNION, MO 63084

PREPARED BY:

BFA, INC. 103 ELM ST WASHINGTON, MO 63090 800-455-4751

MARCH 2, 2017

FY 2018-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM – SUBALLOCATED FUNDS (STP-S) NEW PROJECT APPLICATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COMPLETED PROJECT CHECKLIST

PRINTED COPY OF ONLINE APPLICATION (with appropriate signature pages included)

COST ESTIMATE

APPENDIX A - PROJECT LOCATION MAPS

APPENDIX B - SITE PHOTOS

APPENDIX C - MODOT REPORTS

APPENDIX D – ADOPTED PLANS

APPENDIX E - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FORM

Project Sponsor Checklist – Submit with application. Project applicant must initial or mark n/a and sign bottom of page. Attach to front of application.

All project applications

| | The state of the s | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Initial | | | | |
| W | One (1) paper copy of TIP application delivered to East-West Gateway (binder clips only, no | | | |
| Wi | staples, no ring binding) | | | |
| LAM | One (1) electronic copy of application delivered to East-West Gateway | | | |
| 101 | (adobe acrobat file .pdf) – may be emailed, delivered on CD/DVD, etc. | | | |
| W | Online application marked 'final' | | | |
| w | Project Location map (8 ½ x 11 preferred) | | | |
| W | Estimate of project costs spreadsheet – found on TIP application page | | | |
| | Letter of permission from owner of facility (required if sponsor does not own roadway | | | |
| | Letter of project support from individual, business, local public agency or other third party | | | |
| | provide matching funds or be requested to provide matching funds in the future for project (if | | | |
| | necessary) | | | |
| | Signature Pages – required for all sponsors | | | |
| | Financial certification of matching funds | | | |
| W | Person(s) of responsible charge | | | |
| | Title VI certification | | | |
| | Right-of-way Acquisition Statement (Missouri only) | | | |
| W | Reasonable Progress (Missouri only) | | | |
| | Application fee equal to ½% of federal funds requested for the project. Make checks payable to | | | |
| | "East-West Gateway Council of Governments"; or "East-West Gateway COG" - required for all | | | |
| | sponsors | | | |
| W | Operations and Maintenance Form - required for all sponsors (one per sponsor) | | | |
| | | | | |

STP-S Project Applications Typical section (cross-section) showing before and after improvements (required for Calculations of PASER rating AND map showing where pavement was inspected (required for NIA) Typical section (cross-section) showing before and after improvements (required) Calculations of sidewalk PSR rating (required for sidewalk preservation projects) Bridge inspection report from state DOT (required for bridge projects) Sketch of proposed bridge replacement and realigned road (required for bridge projects that have associated road work beyond the touchdown point - for example vertical or horizontal road realignment) Completed Crash Summary Form and/or Crash Safety Form (.xls file) (required to justify safety priority condition for road/intersection projects) Level of Service Calculations (required to justify congestion priority condition) Congestion Management Study (required only if project would add one or more through lanes on an arterial or expressway for at least 1 mile or for the entire distance between major intersections) -Complete ITS consistency statement if ITS component to project Map showing transit route(s) in relationship to project (if applicable) Pages from adopted plans where project is referenced - Not the entire plan (required for sustainable W development priority condition

Application Contact or Project Contact Signature and date

Project Record Number 624435

FY 2018-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM - SUBALLOCATED FUNDS (STP-S) **NEW PROJECT APPLICATION**

Clear Form and Create New Project Retrieve Existing Project

Update/Save Project PROJECT RECORD NUMBER 624435 Clear All Fields

Before starting new applications, select "Clear Form and Create New Project".

| | be saved. The project number will be needed it if you wish to retrieve/edit/print the application at a later time. |
|----------------------|---|
| Select | one: |
| × | Application withdrawn Preliminary complete (ready for comments)- Due January 26, 2017 - Optional Final complete - Due March 2, 2017 Signatures, Supplemental Information, and Application Fee - Due March 2, 2017 |
| Α. | SPONSOR INFORMATION |
| Sponso | oring Agency: Franklin County Highway Department |
| Chief E | Elected Official: John Griescheimer |
| Addres | ss: 400 E Locust |
| City: | Union State: MO Zip: 63084 |
| E-Mail | : jgriesheimer@franklinmo.net |
| Project | Contact: Ron Williams Title: Highway Administrator/County Engineer |
| Addres | ss:400 E Locust |
| | Room 003A |
| City: | Union State: MO Zip 63084 |
| Phone: | 636-583-6361 Fax: 636-584-0902 |
| E-mail: | rjwilliams@franklinmo.net |
| Applica | ation Contact: Wes Theissen |
| E-Mail: | : wtheissen@bfaeng.com Phone: 636-231-4328 |
| В. | PROJECT INFORMATION |
| Project | Title: Boeuf Creek Road Bridge Replacement |
| Project | Limits (i.e., Taylor Ave to Moss St or over Moss Creek - include map): |
| Replace of the in | e bridge and approaches at branch of Boeuf Creek on Boeuf Creek Road - location is approximately 1.25 miles west tersection of Boeuf Creek Road and Highway 185. |

| None known. | |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Has your agency previously competed for funds for | this specific project? If so, when? |
| No. | p cjobb 2200, mezz |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Does your agency own and maintain this facility? [facility owner. | Yes If no, a letter of support is required from the |
| Project Priority Area: Preservation <01> | |
| Type of Improvement: Replace Bridge(s) <33> | |
| Roadway Improvements <80> | > |
| | |
| Type of project: Bridge Reconstruction/Replacement | <13> |
| Project Length (Miles): 0.10 | |
| Estimated date of completion (MO/YEAR): 09/2020 | |
| | |
| Usage (Average Daily Traffic, Ridership, etc.): | Currently Proposed |
| | ADT 100.00 |
| | Year 2013.00 |
| Vehicle Occupancy Rate (Regional Average=1.25): | Currently 1.25 Proposed 1.25 |
| Federal Functional Roadway Classification (per Eas | st-West Gateway): Local/Rural Minor Collector <06> |
| BRIDGE PROJECTS ONLY - Complete next four q | questions |
| Bridge Identification Number (Per state inventory): | 04700181 |
| Bridge Sufficiency Rating (Per state inventory): 37. | .8 |
| s bridge listed on state inventory as deficient? Yes | |
| Will there be any realignment of the connecting road eplacement? No If yes, include sketch of | dway (vertical or horizontal) as part of the bridge proposed bridge replacement and realigned road. |

| Number of through traffic lanes: | Currently 1 | Proposed 2 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Number of turn lanes: | Currently 0 | Proposed 0 | | |
| Are two-way left turn lanes proposed as part of this | project? No If yes, | give details below: | | |
| Is the terrain flat or rolling? | | | | |
| If the terrain is rolling, describe what measures left turn lanes are proposed: | have been taken to maximize the | sight distance where the two-way | | |
| | | | | |
| Speed limit: | Currently 40 | Proposed 40 | | |
| Lane width: | Currently 9.5 | Proposed 12.0 | | |
| Shoulder width: | Currently 0.0 | Proposed 4.0 | | |
| Bridge width (gutterline to gutterline): | Currently 19.2 | Proposed 32.0 | | |
| Curb & gutter?: | Currently None | Proposed None | | |
| Sidewalks?: | Currently None | Proposed None | | |
| Sidewalk Width: | Currently 0.0 | Proposed 0.0 | | |
| Parking allowed: | Currently No | Proposed No | | |
| Will additional right of way, TSCL or easement be acquired? Unknown | | | | |
| If yes, | | | | |
| - Estimated additional right of way (in acres) | needed: None anticipated | | | |
| - Estimated permanent easements (in acres) n | None anticipated | | | |
| - Estimated temporary easements (in acres) n | eeded: None anticipated | | | |
| - Any residential or commercial displacements anticipated? If yes, give details on how many and if they are residential and/or commercial. | | | | |
| Small temporary construction easments are pos- | sible, but not expected. | | | |
| Right of way acquisition by: Local Agency | | | | |
| Right of way condemnation by: Local Agency | | | | |

- Please attach the following items, if available.

 → Traffic Flow diagram for more than 2 lane improvement

 → Scope of engineering services

UTILITY COORDINATION

| will coordination with utility. Then give the nathe design process. | | the required? Yes If yes, check the appropriate box to select the type of f the utility companies. Utilities must be notified of proposed improvements early in | 1 |
|---|--------------|---|---|
| Electric | \checkmark | Ameren | |
| Phone | \checkmark | Fidelity | |
| Gas | | | |
| Water | | | |
| Cable TV | | | |
| Storm Sewer | | | |
| Sanitary Sewer | | | |
| Other | | | |
| Please give detail concer | rning p | otential utility conflicts / problems / issues: | |
| utilities or conduits on the | e bridge | structure. | |
| Utility coordination com | pleted | by: Consultant | _ |
| Designed by: Consultar | nt | | |
| Inspection by: Consulta | int | | |

RAILROAD COORDINATION

| | ne following questions: | |
|--------------------------|--|--------|
| Name of railroad cross | ed: | |
| N/A | | |
| | | |
| Number of crossings in | npacted? | |
| N/A | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Are crossings active? | | |
| What is the crossing ty | pe? | |
| Timber | | |
| | | |
| Rubberized | | |
| Asphalt | | |
| Concrete | | |
| Other (describe | | |
| What is the width of the | e crossing? N/A | |
| Are there pedestrian or | bicycle facilities impacted (within limits or within 500 feet of project limits) | 2 No. |
| | approve pedestrian or bicycle facilities at the crossing? | . [140 |
| N/A | iprove pedestrial of breyele facilities at the crossing? | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT TRANSITION PLAN

All applicants are required to comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990. The ADA requires any public agency with more than 50 employees to make a transition plan setting forth the steps necessary to make its facilities accessible to persons with disabilities. 28 CFR §35.150(d).

| More information can be found here: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/programs/ada_sect504qa.cfm#q10 |
|---|
| Does your local public agency have more than 50 employees? Yes |
| If yes, please answer the following questions: |
| Does your agency have an adopted ADA transition plan? ¹ Yes |
| If no plan adopted, when is one expected to be adopted? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

¹ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): Attach pages of ADA transition plan only if it relates to proposed project. Do NOT attach entire plan.

C. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION (Application will not be reviewed if Section C is not complete)

Please describe 1.) the proposed improvement, 2.) the transportation problem the improvement will address, 3.) the effect the improvement will have on the problem, and 4.) any Transportation System Management or Transportation Demand Management strategies (as described in Appendix B included in the workbook).

If the project is proposing to add capacity for single-occupant vehicles by adding lanes or by constructing a new facility, a Congestion Management Study (CMS) report may be required. The CMS requirements are described in Appendix A included in the workbook. If you are unsure if a CMS is needed, please contact Jason Lange at MO: (314) 421-4220 or IL: (618) 274-1750.

Projects must be based upon the ten principles/strategies of Connected 2040, the St. Louis region's Long Range Transportation Plan. See page 7-9 of the STP-S workbook for more information.

Be as specific as possible. Attach additional sheets as needed.

The project proposes to replace a structurally deficient bridge (supporting MoDOT documentation provided) with a new structure.

- 1) The proposed improvement consists of demolition and removal of the existing, structurally deficient, single-lane bridge with a new 2-lane structure.
- 2) The improvement addresses the transportation problem of preserving existing infrastructure and improves safety.
- 3) The improvement will preserve infrastructure by maintaining and improving a usable, existing transportation route in the area and improves safety by replacing an unsafe single lane bridge with new structure.
- 4) TSM and TDM are not applicable at this location.

The structure is on MoDOT's bridge inventory, listed as structurally deficient with a sufficiency rating of 37.8%. The existing structure is a one-lane structure carrying 2-way traffic.

The site has a curve to the right when crossing the bridge, traveling North.

The proposed project will provide for 2-lane traffic and improved wider lanes at the bridge.

In March 2010 the US DOT issued its Policy Statement on Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodation Regulations and Recommendations. The policy is to incorporate safe and convenient walking and bicycling facilities into transportation projects. Every transportation agency, including DOT, has the responsibility to improve conditions and opportunities for walking and bicycling and to integrate walking and bicycling into their transportation systems. Because of the numerous individual and community benefits that walking and bicycling provide — including health, safety, environmental, transportation, and quality of life — transportation agencies are encouraged to go beyond minimum standards to provide safe and convenient facilities for these modes.

Bicycle and pedestrian legislation in 23 USC 217(g) states:

| There are no bic | ycle-specific facilitie | s located in the vicinity | . This project is along a rural roadway. | |
|---------------------|---|----------------------------|--|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| If pedestrian facil | lities currently exist | along the project limit | s, please answer the following questions: | |
| EXISTING PED | ESTRIAN FACIL | ITY | | |
| Are the pedestrian | n facilities along the | project limits currentl | y ADA compliant? Unknown | |
| existing sidewalk | estrian facilities that (for example: Side ctions with no pushl | walk on north side of r | he project limits. Include the width and length oad, 5 feet wide, 0.5 miles long. Crosswalks a | of the t 3 |
| There are no ped | estrian-specific facil | ities in the vicinity. Thi | is project is along a rural roadway. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

 $^{^2}$ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): PSR score, map showing evaluation locations, calculations, and pictures at each evaluation location.

If bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities are included in the project scope, please answer the remaining questions in the bicycle and pedestrian facilities section:

PROPOSED BICYCLE AND/OR PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

| Does the proposed project provide a connection that reduces a barrier to use and functionality (i.e., natural or man-made barriers, including interstates, railroads, rivers, etc.)? Unknown |
|---|
| If yes, identify the barrier(s): |
| Wider bridge will provide for safer use of pedestrians or cyclist that happen to cross the structure, but that is not a specific project intention. |
| |
| Identify the connectivity of the bicycle/pedestrian facility resulting from the project ³ : (check all that apply) |
| Project fills in a gap between existing bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
| Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility |
| Project intersects an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility |
| Project is adjacent to an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility |
| Project is a new isolated bicycle/pedestrian segment |
| Does the project incorporate any of the following traffic calming and/or design improvements? (check all that apply) |
| Pedestrian safety |
| Speed control |
| Volume control |
| ✓ None |

³ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): Facility map showing existing bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities and their connections to the proposed project.

If the project incorporates any traffic calming or design improvements, describe the improvements (i.e., bulb-outs, median barriers, center islands, roadway markings, improved signage and signals). Also, explain how this improvement will reinforce a safe environment for bicyclists and/or pedestrians:

| Wider lane widths on the bridge will provide some degree of improved safety for bicyclists and pedestrians that happen to cross the structure. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| PROPOSED BICYCLE FACILITY |
| |
| Does the proposed project incorporate any of the following bicycle-related improvements? (check all that apply) |
| Separated bike lane/cycle track/protected bike lane |
| Shared-use path/trail |
| Arterial sidepath |
| Bike lane with no buffer |
| Shared-lane markings ("sharrow") |
| ✓ Wide outside lane |
| ✓ Paved shoulder |
| Share the Road signage |
| Bikes May Use Full Lane signage |
| Wayfinding/bicycle racks or parking/or other end of trip facilities |
| Other |
| None |
| Describe other bicycle-related improvements: |
| None - items checked above are not improvements specifically to facilitate bicycle use, they are coincidental benefits of the project. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Proposed bicycle facility length: N/A |
|--|
| Width of proposed bicycle facility: N/A |
| Proposed bicycle facility surface (i.e. asphalt, concrete, crushed limestone, dirt, etc): |
| N/A |
| If there is an intersection along the project limits, describe any bicycle treatments at that intersection: |
| N/A |
| |
| |
| How many residential/commercial driveways are along the proposed bicycle segment? N/A |
| How many streets/alleys does the proposed bicycle facility cross? N/A |
| PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN FACILITY |
| Does the proposed project incorporate any of the following pedestrian-related improvements? (check all that apply) |
| Sidewalks |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation |
| Curb ramps |
| Pedestrian signal heads and push buttons |
| Marked crosswalks |
| Midblock crossings |
| Wayfinding/furniture/or other end of trip facilities |
| Pedestrian-scale lighting |
| Other |
| ✓ None |
| Describe other pedestrian-related improvements: |
| N/A |
| Proposed pedestrian facility length: N/A |

| Width of proposed pedestrian facility: N/A |
|--|
| Proposed pedestrian facility surface (i.e. concrete, asphalt): |
| N/A |
| If there is an intersection along the project limits, describe any pedestrian treatments at that intersection: |
| N/A |
| How many residential/commercial driveways are along the proposed pedestrian facility? N/A |
| How many streets/alleys does the proposed pedestrian facility cross? N/A |
| PLANNING |
| Is the proposed project identified in an approved or adopted plan, policy, or ordinance? ⁴ Yes |
| Name of adopted plan, policy, or ordinance: |
| Franklin County Master Plan |
| Adoption date of plan, policy, or ordinance: |
| 2010 |
| Is the proposed project located in St. Charles County, St. Louis County or the City of St. Louis and will it construct a bicycle facility? |
| If yes, please answer the following questions: |
| Does the project provide a connection to or located on the Great Rivers Greenway River Ring? N/A |
| Is the project on the Gateway Bike Plan network? – www.stlbikeplan.org No |
| Is the proposed project located in Madison or St. Clair County and will it construct a bicycle facility? No |
| If yes, please answer the following question: |
| Does the project provide a connection to projects in the Metro East Parks and Recreation District Long Range plan? - http://bit.ly/MEPRDPLAN N/A |

⁴ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): Documentation from approved or adopted plan, policy, or ordinance – do not included entire plan documents, only include the necessary pages.

SCHOOLS/COMMUNITY RESOURCES

| Does t | he project provide direct access to a school? ⁵ No |
|---------|--|
| | If yes, please identify the school(s) and explain how the project will serve and enhance access to the school(s). |
| | N/A |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Does th | ne project provide direct access to a community resource? ⁵ Unknown |
| | If yes, please identify the community resource(s) and explain how the project will serve and enhance access to the community resource(s). Community resources include: hospitals, community centers, YMCAs, gyms, parks. |
| | Project is located along a rural roadway. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

⁵ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): Add schools within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of project, and community resources along the project limits to the bicycle/pedestrian facility connections map(see page 10).

GREAT STREETS (This section is intended to be completed only for projects that are utilizing concepts from the Great Streets Initiative)

Road construction does not just apply to moving cars and trucks faster. It's really about accommodating people, which can include such things as: traffic calming, bicycle/pedestrian accommodations, compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, landscaping, access management, architectural design standards, and zoning changes to encourage specified land uses and promote economic development. East-West Gateway's Great Streets Initiative helps local sponsors create a complete street. A toolbox has been created that guides sponsors to use the Great Streets template that applies to their place. Place types include: downtown main street, mixed-use district, small town downtown, residential neighborhood, office employment area, civic/educational corridor, neighborhood shops, and commercial/service corridor.

Detailed information can be found at: http://www.ewgateway.org/greatstreets/greatstreets.htm. If you have any questions about Great Streets, contact Paul Hubbman at: MO: (314) 421-4220 or IL: (618) 274-2750.

A Great Streets project is required to address these eight characteristics:

- 1. Great Streets are great places
- 2. Great Streets integrate land use and transportation planning
- 3. Great Streets are economically vibrant
- 4. Great Streets accommodate all users and all modes
- 5. Great Streets are environmentally responsible
- 6. Great Streets rely on current thinking
- 7. Great Streets are measurable
- 8. Great Streets develop collaboratively

Please describe below how this project incorporates each of the seven criteria. Attach additional sheets as needed.

N/A

D. PROJECT COMPOSITION

Please indicate the approximate percentage of the project that covers each of the elements below:

| MODAL ELEMENTS | | Total Cost | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---|
| Roadway elements | | 100.00 | % |
| Transit elements | | | % |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian elements | | | % |
| Port and Freight Facility elements | | | % |
| 7 | OTAL (100%) | 100.00 | % |

| ACTIVITY TYPE | Total Cost |
|---|------------|
| Replace/Rehabilitation of existing facilities | 100.00 % |
| Expansion/Enhancement - new or expanded facilities and assets (not replacement) | % |
| Planning Studies - such as general program evaluation, corridor studies, MTIA or environmental analysis (not preliminary or construction engineering) | % |
| TOTAL (100%) | 100.00 % |

| PROJECT FUNCTIONS | Total Cost |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| Preservation elements | 75.00 % |
| Safety elements | 25.00 % |
| Congestion elements | % |
| Access to Opportunity elements | % |
| Sustainable Development elements | % |
| Goods Movement elements | % |
| | |
| TOTAL (100%) | 100.00 % |

E. IMPROVEMENT EVALUATION CRITERIA (Application will not be reviewed if Section E is not complete)

Select a priority condition that is based on the primary focus area of the project. The priority condition should be the same for each focus area on pages 9-14 of this application. Pages 7-10 of the STP-S workbook details what is required supporting information for each condition.

PRESERVATION

Preservation of the existing infrastructure will be achieved by managing and maintaining current roadway, bridge, transit and intermodal assets. Check the one priority condition box, using the measures described below, that best represents the project being considered. <u>Attach relevant documentation</u>, <u>calculations</u>, <u>photos or additional information</u>. Points will be assigned only if project will improve deficient condition and documentation of condition is provided with project application.

| Priority Condition | Road/Bridge | High (5 pts) |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | riigir (o pto) |

System Condition (describe condition and measure used)

Bridge Sufficiency Rating

Current Bridge Rating is 37.8 and project will remove and replace said structure.

Additionally, the existing structure is a one-lane bridge on a route carrying two-way traffic.

| PRESERVATION MEASURES | High Priority Condition | Medium Priority Condition | Lower Priority Condition |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| Road | Pavement Condition 2.0-5.6 on PASER Scale AND project will improve deficient condition. | Pavement Condition less than 2.0 or 5.7-7.5 on PASER Scale AND project will improve deficient condition. | Pavement Condition greater than 7.5 on PASER Scale AND project will improve deficient condition. |
| Bridge | Bridge Sufficiency Rating less than 40 on Scale of 100 AND project will improve deficient condition. | Bridge Sufficiency Rating of 40-79.9 on Scale of 100 AND project will improve deficient condition. | Bridge Sufficiency Rating greater than 80 on Scale of 100 AND project will improve deficient condition. |
| Signal | Project will replace equipment older than 20 years, and equipment is outdated, not repairable | Project will replace equipment 10 to 20 years old and not compatible with coordinated systems | Project will replace equipment in good condition, as per industry standard |
| Transit | Project will replace equipment at normal replacement cycle age in FTA Circular 9030 | Project will replace equipment that is non-operational /unreliable/beyond normal replacement cycle age in FTA Circular 9030 | Project will replace equipment earlier than normal replacement cycle age in FTA Circular 9030 |
| Port/Freight | Poor condition as per standard AND project will improve deficient condition. | Very poor or fair condition as per standard AND project will improve deficient condition. | Good condition as per standard AND project will improve deficient condition. |
| Bike/Ped | Average PSR rating of sidewalk 0-1 (see App F or workbook for how to rate) AND project located within ½ mile of PUI grid 3-5 | Average PSR rating of sidewalk 1-2 (see App F or workbook for how to rate) AND project located within ½ mile of PUI grid 3-5 | Average PSR rating of sidewalk 0-3 (see App F or workbook for how to rate) AND project located in any area |

^{*}NOTE: Only projects that propose to replace, rehabilitate, or repair a facility or equipment can receive points in this category. Projects that propose to construct an entirely new facility receive 0 points (N/A). Systematic preventive maintenance activities (i.e., activities that are part of a planned strategy or program) intended to extend the life of the facility are eligible for funding, provided the DOT has approved the systematic strategy or program.

PRESERVATION

| ROAD/BRIDGE Is this a road/bridge preservation project? Yes |
|--|
| If yes, what is the PASER rating or bridge sufficiency rating? ⁶ 37.8% (Bridge Sufficiency Rating) |
| Timely application of a pavement treatment can increase the life of the roadway. An effective pavement management system is a systematic process that provides information for use in implementing cost-effective pavement reconstruction, rehabilitation, and preventative maintenance programs. The pavement management plan (PMP) involves the evaluation of pavements on a regular basis which allows jurisdictions to accommodate current and forecasted traffic in a safe, durable, and cost-effective manner. |
| Is this roadway part of the local public agency's PMP? ⁶ No |
| If yes, please answer the following questions: |
| When was the last surface preservation treatment completed on this facility? |
| What type of treatment? |
| N/A |
| According to the PMP, when is the next scheduled treatment proposed and the type of improvement needed? ⁶ |
| N/A |

⁶ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): PASER calculation score (including map showing locations of pavement evaluations and, photos at each location), bridge sufficiency rating sheet (from DOT), and/or supplementation documentation from PMP showing past and future maintenance plans of proposed road.

Safety and Security in Travel will be achieved by decreasing the risk of personal injury and property damage on, in, and around transportation facilities. Check the one priority condition box, using the measures described below, that best represents the project being considered. To gain points the Crash Summary form must be included in the final application. Points only gained if countermeasure is consistent with the project scope. The Crash Summary form is found on the TIP application web page.

| Total number of crashes from 2012-2014: 0 |
|--|
| Number of crashes by type: Fatal 0 Serious Injury 0 Property Damage Only 0 Minor Injury 0 |
| Crash Rate for the proposed project location (use formula below): To compute crashes per million vehicle miles use the formula: Average Number of Crashes per year 2012-2014 X 1,000,000 Average Daily Traffic X 365 X length of project in miles |
| Priority Condition Bridge Medium (3 pts) |

System Condition / Problem Addressed

Bridge Sufficiency Rating of 37.8%

Project will remove a one-lane bridge structure on a route carrying two-way traffic and replace it with a two-lane bridge.

| SAFETY MEASURES | High Priority Condition | Medium Priority Condition | Lower Priority Condition |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Road/ Intersection | Crash rate per million vehicle miles is 6.0 or higher AND project addresses specific safety issues(s) related to crashes on Crash Summary form OR improves problems identified in road safety audit OR addresses fatal/serious injury crash(es) | Crash rate per million vehicle miles is 3.0 to 5.9 AND project addresses specific safety issues(s) related to crashes documented on Crash Summary form. | Accident rate per million vehicle miles is less than 3.0 AND project addresses specific safety issue(s) related to crashes documented on Crash Summary form. |
| Bridge | Bridge sufficiency rating less than 20 on scale of 100 AND project will improve deficient condition. | Bridge sufficiency rating 20-49.9 on scale of 100 AND project will improve deficient condition. | Bridge sufficiency rating greater than 50 on scale of 100 AND project will improve deficient condition. |
| Transit/Other | Poor condition as per standard AND project addresses specific safety or security issues (e.g., improves security for facility users, addresses bicycle or pedestrian safety concerns, etc.) | Fair condition as per standard AND project addresses specific safety or security issues (e.g., improves security for facility users, addresses bicycle or pedestrian safety concerns, etc.) | Good condition as per standard AND project addresses specific safety or security issues (e.g., improves security for facility users, addresses bicycle or pedestrian safety concerns, etc.) |
| Bike/Ped | New bike/ped facility: Sidewalks on both side of road (at least 5' wide) or dedicated multi-use path (at least 10' wide) | New bike/ped facility: Sidewalk on one side of road (at least 5' wide) or on-road bike lane OR new bike/ped facility: Sidewalks on both side of road (4' to 5' wide) or dedicated multi-use path (8'-10' wide) | Improvements to existing facility or shared lane traffic markers |

EXISTING CONDITION

| Describe the existing non-pavement safety components along the project limits (i.e. guardrail, signage, etc): |
|--|
| Bridge structure sufficiency rating of 37.8% There was no crash information provided for this application submittal. |
| |
| |
| CRASH RATE |
| Please complete the following crash rate questions. The Crash Calculation Form ⁷ must be used to calculate the crash rate. The Crash Summary Form ⁷ must be used to log a summary of individual crashes. |
| What are the total number of crashes from 2010-2014? 0 |
| Total number of crashes by crash type: |
| Fatal (K on KABCO scale) ⁷ 0.00 |
| Serious injury (A on KABCO scale) ⁷ 0.00 |
| Minor injury (B and C on KABCO scale) 0.00 |
| Property damage only (O on KABCO scale) 0.00 |
| Complete the crash rate for the type of project (road segment or intersection): |
| 2010-2014 Crash Rate – Road Segment |
| What is the total crash rate? 0.00 |
| What is the fatal and serious injury crash rate? 0.00 |
| OR |
| 2010-2014 Crash Rate – Intersection |
| What is the total crash rate? 0.00 |
| What is the fatal and serious injury crash rate? 0.00 |

⁷ Include the following in the project application submittal: **Crash Calculation Form** and **Crash Summary Form** (insert within application and attach excel files with electronic submittal). If applicable include fatal and serious injury crash reports (entire report – other vehicle crash reports optional).

COUNTERMEASURES

What safety countermeasures are being used for the proposed project and what is its Crash Modification Factor (CMF)?8 -List the countermeasure that best fits the project. For example: Conversion of intersection into low-speed roundabout – CMF 1.099 Increase lane width to 12' - CMF 0.95 CMF of .95 represents a 5% reduction in crashes. Are the proposed countermeasures listed in the State or County Strategic Highway Safety Plan? Unknown If yes, list the plan(s):8 Was a safety study completed for this project? 8 No **BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN** Are there crashes involving bicylists, and/or pedestrians along the project limits? No If yes, please answer the following questions: What is the total number of crashes involving bicylists?⁹ What is the total number of crashes involving pedestrians?9 What is the proposed countermeasure and how would the crashes be addressed? N/A

⁸ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): CMF sheet(s) (screen capture) from the CMF Clearinghouse website www.cmfclearinghouse.org, copy of pages from relevant state and/or local safety plan that shows project type, and attach safety study.

⁹ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): Crash reports that include bicyclists, pedestrians, and other non-drivers.

| Is there an undocumented safety issue? No |
|--|
| If yes, please answer the following questions: |
| What is the undocumented safety issue? |
| Unknown |
| |
| |
| What is the proposed countermeasure and how would the undocumented safety issue be addressed? |
| N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| BENEFIT/COST |
| Is the Project Priority Area (on page 2 of application) listed as safety? No |
| If yes, and there is a documented crash problem, what is the benefit/cost ratio?*10 |
| Safety Appendix - Crash Rate Formulas: |
| Road Segment – Total crash rate: (Number of total crashes) X 100,000,000 vehicle miles traveled (Project Length) X (Project Average Daily Traffic) X (Number of Crash Years) X 365 |
| Road Segment – Fatal and serious injury crash rate: (Number of fatal and serious injury crashes) X 100,000,000 vehicle miles traveled (Project Length) X (Project Average Daily Traffic) X (Number of Crash Years) X 365 |
| Intersection – Total crash rate: (Number of Accidents) X 100,000,000 million entering vehicles (Number of crash years) X (Entering AADT) X 365 days/year |
| Intersection – Fatal and serious injury crash rate: (Number of fatal and serious injury crashes) X 100,000,000 million entering vehicles (Number of crash years) X (Entering AADT) X 365 days/year |

 $^{^{10}}$ Include the following in the project application submittal (if applicable): Benefit/cost ratio calculation form.

Benefit/Cost ratio:

Benefit/Cost Ratio= Present Value of Benefits (PVB)/Present Value of Costs (PVC)

PVB= Annual Benefit X
$$[(1+i)^n - 1/i(1+i)^n]$$

Annual Benefit = [(Total Number of Fatal Crashes X The Cost of a Fatal Crash*) + (Total Number of Serious Injury Crashes X The Cost of a Serious Injury Crash*) + (Total Number of Minor Injury Crashes X The Cost of a Minor Injury Crash*) + (Total Number of Property Damage Only Crashes X The Cost of a Property Damage Only Crash*)] X(Crash Modification Factor*)]

$$[(1+i)^n - 1]/i(1+i)^n$$

$$i = 3\%$$
n= Lifespan of countermeasure in years *

To find the PVC use the formulas below.

PVC= {Total Cost of Project X
$$[(1+i)^n - 1]/i(1+i)^n$$
} + {Maintenance Cost X Lifespan of Countermeasure X $[(1+i)^n - 1]/i(1+i)^n$ }

Total Cost of Project = this includes all phases of the project (PE, ROW, and construction).

$$[(1+i)^n - 1]/i(1+i)^n$$

 $i = 3\%$
 $n =$ Amount of years from the current year until the construction phase.
i.e., Current year is 2017 and project will have construction in fiscal year 2021. n would equal 5

Maintenance cost = the maintenance cost of the countermeasure Lifespan of countermeasure= can be found in Appendix F of IDOT's Benefit-Cost Tool User Guide

$$[(1+i)^n - 1]/i(1+i)^n$$

$$i = 3\%$$
n= Lifespan of countermeasures in years