

VI. EVALUATION CRITERIA (maximum points available – 180 pts)

Criteria	Points
Specialized Design and Technical Competence- problem-solving techniques, innovative practices/ideas, advantages of team and CMAR experience– Technical Proposal D	10
Capacity and Capability of the Proposing firm to perform the work – key team members, consultants, principal architect, resumes, team composition and capacity for SOW-Technical Proposal E	10
Past record of performance – documentation that schedules, budgets, program goals and final construction costs, references, change order percentages – Technical Proposal F	10
Design Experience-specialized design concepts and out-of-the-box architectural design projects. Technical Proposal G	15
Technical Approach – provide thorough documentation of understanding of the District’s vision – Technical Proposal H	15
Evidence of Understanding of SOW- Information of project site, project administration, scheduling, budge and programmatic user requirements – Technical Proposal I	20
Total Point for Submitted Proposal	80
Interview (if needed)	100

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 180

NOTE: It is the Proposer’s responsibility to provide full information in order to evaluate the criteria above

Please Note: This Award will utilize federal funds; preferences will not be awarded pursuant to [NMSA 1978 §13-1-21J](#)