

ADDENDUM NO. 4

DATE: January 13, 2021

TO: All Proposers

FROM: Penny Owens, Purchasing Agent

SUBJECT: Addendum No. 4 – RFP Fort Kid Playstructure

RFP TO BE OPENED: **January 15, 2021*** (See Below), at 11:00:00 a.m. Eastern Time**

This addendum is published to respond to address the following items. This addendum becomes a part of the Contract Documents and modifies the original specifications as noted.

ITEM #1: RFP Deadline postponed. The deadline for RFP submissions for the Fort Kid Playstructure is hereby amended and postponed until **Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 11:00:00 a.m. Eastern Time.**

ITEM #2: Clarification to specifications. The City would like to clarify that any storm drainage required by the surfacing system should be provided by the playground installer. Storm systems beyond those required by the surfacing are not required.

Response to questions received from potential proposers.

Question #1: Is Bonded Rubber Mulch (www.rubberdesigns.com) for the playground surfacing acceptable?

Response: Yes, the City would accept this material as an alternant to be considered as part of a proposal.

Question #2: There is a construction fence currently surrounding the play area at Fort Kid. Will that construction fence remain on site throughout the construction, or will we need to provide a new one?

Response: For the sake of evaluating proposals, assume the fence will remain in place.

Question #3: Does the play area surface need to be level, or should it follow the contour of the existing ground? If it needs to be level, we will likely need to excavate at least 6" to 8". Is any excavation and/or grading expected?

Response: The playground needs to meet the requirements of the RFP. Proposers need to account for any grading that would be required to construct their proposal.

Question #4: *Re: 4.6: " When applicable, design, provide, and install steel reinforced concrete retaining wall to maintain less than 2% maximum slope requirements for playground surfacing grade. If retaining wall equals 4 foot in height or taller, Tennessee Stamped Engineering drawings shall be provide."* There is a proposed walkway from the road up to the playground, which we understand to be outside the RFP's scope. If that walkway, or other features outside the playground's scope, cause changes to the existing grade outside the playground perimeter (requiring say, a higher retaining wall), whose responsibility is it to accommodate the changed grade?

Response: If proposed features outside the scope of this RFP are changed in ways that affect the work included in the contract for this procurement, the contract can be amended to provide appropriate compensation. This will be negotiated with the contractor should such a situation develop.

Question #5: For low retaining walls, would you consider the use structural plastic 6x6s, installed like the landscape timbers used previously, in place of a concrete retaining wall?

Response: The low retaining walls are not part of this RFP.

Question #6: *Re: 2.2.17, roto-molded plastic features excluding slides: "Exterior surfaces shall be textured to camouflage any scratches or markings."* We have not encountered this specification before. Can you clarify "textured"?

Response: Section 2.2.17 is hereby deleted and replaced as follows: Section 2.2.17 Exterior surfaces shall camouflage any scratches or markings. Surfaces intended for passage of sliding shall be finished smooth.

Question #7: *Re: 5.1.1 Manufacturer's warranties.* The warranty times specified here are unusually long. Will you accept equipment with shorter warranties?

Response: Shorter warranty periods will be scored accordingly during proposal evaluation.

Question #8: Would you allow a free standing fabric shade structure in the area?

Response: The City encourages all creative ideas for this RFP.

Question #9: Would you allow some modular (on top of the playground) fabric shades?

Response: The City encourages all creative ideas for this RFP.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 4