

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**  
**ADDENDUM NO. 1**

PROJECT: RFQ – 20-17-001  
Traffic Study

CONTACT: Alison Bruton, Purchasing Tech.  
912-754-2159 [abruton@effinghamcounty.org](mailto:abruton@effinghamcounty.org)

DATE ISSUED: April 20, 2020

---

RFQ 20-17-001 – Traffic Study dated April 2, 2020 is hereby amended as noted herein: BIDDER TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM BY SIGNING ON THE SIGNATURE LINE BELOW AND INCLUDING A COPY WITH SUBMITTED BID. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY, AT THE OWNER’S DISCRETION, SUBJECT THE BIDDER TO DISQUALIFICATION

1) QUESTION: Will the information in the standard GDOT ETI (Engineering and Traffic Investigation) Report suffice for the County's needs?

ANSWER: It should as long as it meets Georgia Code Section 40-6-183 and the GDOT Policy 6780-4 Establishment of Speed Zones

2) QUESTION: Will 24 hours of speed data suffice for the needs of the County?

ANSWER: DOT requires enough to establish the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile. DOT advised that 100 car check will do.

3) QUESTION: What will be the basis of selection? Is it strictly low bid?

ANSWER: Not necessarily. The County will review all aspects of the submittals to ensure that the needs of the County are met.

4) QUESTION: The RFQ does not suggest that the offeror should submit any qualifications or description of proposed methodology. Do you need any of this to make your selection?

ANSWER: **Section 1.10** defines Responsible Bidder. If you are submitting a bid, you are stated that you are a Responsible bidder as defined in that section.

***1.10 Responsible / Responsive Bidder:** Responsible Bidder means a person or entity that has the capability in all respects to perform fully and reliably the contract requirements. Responsive Bidder means a person or entity that has submitted a bid or proposal that conforms in all material respects to the requirements set forth in the request for quote.*

*---Although not specifically requested in the RFQ, it would be beneficial for us if you included any qualifications and methodology.*

5) QUESTION: When do you anticipate issuing a contract and a notice to proceed with the work?

ANSWER: The ECSO would like to move forward as soon as possible. Final scheduling will depend on Contract acceptance.

- 6) QUESTION: Are you concerned that conditions relating the corona virus will impact the results? Do you anticipate going forward with the work regardless of the COVID-19 situation?  
ANSWER: To the first question, No. To the second question, Yes, needs to be done regardless of COVID-19
- 7) QUESTION: I didn't see a schedule for the work to be completed? Did you intend to require the work to be completed within a certain amount of time?  
ANSWER: We do not have a set time frame, we just need the work completed as soon as possible. It would be beneficial for the bidder to provide their timeline for completion.
- 8) QUESTION: For some studies, GDOT does not allow traffic data collection to occur when school is not in session. Even if the COVID-19 situation gets back to normal, do you anticipate doing this work during the summer months?  
ANSWER: It can be performed anytime. The radar permit modifications do not include any school zone work.
- 9) QUESTION: The RFQ indicates one requirement of the submittal is a copy of professional licensure associated with the work. What type of license is required?  
ANSWER: The work has to be done by a certified Engineer. The professional engineer must be an agent of the local government as a qualified employee or a consultant hired by the local government for this purpose.
- 10) QUESTION: Will you require the successful bidder to be Prequalified with the Georgia DOT?  
ANSWER: They need to be a professional engineer.
- 11) QUESTION: Is data on previous studies available for old locations?  
ANSWER: This information is not readily available. It appears the last update on the permit was made in 2011.
- 12) QUESTION: Is GIS data available to show roadway centerlines, right-of-way width (parcel data), and community facilities?  
ANSWER: This information is not readily available, but can be obtained if it becomes necessary.
- 13) QUESTION: Are 24-hour volume counts needed? Are classification counts for percent trucks needed?  
ANSWER: Waiting for answer from GDOT
- 14) QUESTION: Is Lidar data or other evaluation/contour data available for the County road network for use in evaluating stopping sight distance?  
ANSWER: Should be.
- 15) QUESTION: Is crash data available from the GDOT GEARS database sufficient for the crash data review or is review of County crash reports needed?  
ANSWER: Yes, this can be discussed if it becomes necessary.
- 16) QUESTION: Are sight distances to be provided for all road intersections or only for documentation of locations where sight distance may be limited?  
ANSWER: Yes, all intersections need to be done and any limited sight distances noted.

- 17) QUESTION: What is the desired precision in the evaluation of road geometric or design features? Is identification to the tenth of a mile acceptable?  
ANSWER: Just a summary of the total roadway is needed.
- 18) QUESTION: Is there a number of speed checks desired over a distance that is desired, for example one per half mile? Or do we need to collect one representative location per road?  
ANSWER: I believe it is one location in every speed zone within the road. If the Speed changes three times on a roadway then there will need to be three studies.
- 19) QUESTION: What is the window of time allotted to collect spot speeds? We would assume 7AM to 6 PM.  
ANSWER: Yes
- 20) QUESTION: What is the sample size requirement for the spot speeds at each location? - Typically for a road with no median, the sample size is 50 vehicles per direction. For a road with a median, the typical sample size is 100 vehicles per direction. Is this acceptable?  
ANSWER: DOT suggested 100 vehicles. Need the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile. With a reason if the speed is to be lower than what the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile suggest (roadway issues or subdivision reasons)
- 21) QUESTION: The speed study locations on High Bluff Road and Conaway Road appear to be duplicated on the location list. Will these need to be removed or changed to different locations?  
ANSWER: Yes, it will be taken off the list. This was a typo.
- 22) QUESTION: What are the specific requirements for the test drive? Is this just to field confirm information? Is this with the consultant and County or just the consultant?  
ANSWER: Just to confirm information and that the roadway is safe to be driven on at the set speed.
- 23) QUESTION: Given the statewide orders to shelter in place and emergency/executive orders to close numerous institutions and businesses would the County please consider the following:  
a. Accepting emailed submittals rather than a hard copy?  
b. Remove the notary requirements on the proposal forms?  
ANSWER: The County will still request mailed copies of the submittals. There is still personnel at the office to collect the mail each day. At this time, we are not waiving the notary requirements.
- 24) QUESTION: Do you want 1 copy of the submittal?  
ANSWER: This project will be reviewed by different personnel, so we would like three (3) copies; however, this is not a requirement and because it was not clarified in the original RFQ, one copy will suffice.
- 25) QUESTION: Are the following the only required items for the submittal? Bid Sheet; Exception Sheet; Document Checklist; Copy of W-9; Copy of Business License; Copy of any professional licensure associated with the work to be performed; Sample certificate of insurance; Copy of LLC Certificate of organization and operating agreement; Copy of

INC. Certificate of incorporation and corporate resolution designating officers with authority to submit bid and sign contract; Drug Free Workplace Certification (Attachment A); Promise of Non-discrimination Statement (Attachment B); Disclosure of Responsibility Statement (Attachment C); Non Collusion Affidavit of Subconsultant (Attachment D) (if we have subconsultants); Contractor Affidavit and Agreement (Attachment E); Subcontractor Affidavit and Agreement (E-Verify) (If we have subconsultants) (Attachment F); List of Subcontractors.

**ANSWER:** We are requesting an official Certificate of Insurance, not a Sample. Yes, all other items listed are required.

26) **QUESTION:** Would the consultant be required to collect data during Covid-19 restrictions since speeds/volumes on the roadways are not consistent with normal conditions?

**ANSWER:** Yes.

27) **QUESTION:** How would future need for expert testimony to defend the conclusions of the study be handled?

**ANSWER:** Expert witness testimony will be handled and agreed to separately from this agreement.

28) **QUESTION:** Should the consultant use the traffic counter (tubes), time-measured, or radar/laser methodology to collect speed data for the study segments?

**ANSWER:** Whichever the vendor chooses as long as it can provide the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile.

29) **QUESTION:** The two segments along High Bluff Road (2 and 3) and the segment along Conaway Road (16) are repeated within the provided roadway segment list (as 35-37). Could you please confirm that the duplicate locations should be removed and there should be a total of 38 segments and not 41 as listed?

**ANSWER:** It would be 38.

30) **QUESTION:** Could you please confirm the location/limits for the Godley Road segment (31)? The segment is listed as a 3.3-mile segment; however, it appears that only about 1.5 miles of the roadway are within Effingham County.



**ANSWER:** It's probably a typo. It will only require the roadways inside the limits of Effingham County. From County line to County line.

31) QUESTION: If necessary, will County coordinate with GDOT following submittal of final traffic study for speed limit modifications, or will the consultant be expected to coordinate with GDOT for any speed limit modifications?

ANSWER: All roadways requested to be added are for off system roadways. It will be the responsibility of the county road department to modify speed zones and signs.

32) QUESTION: Would the County accept consultant qualification information to be provided in the RFQ response or would the County prefer to receive only the requested forms and quote as part of the submittal?

ANSWER: We encourage the consultant to include qualification information, although it is not required.

**INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Addendum No.1  
RFQ 20-17-001 – Traffic Study

---

**UPDATED Traffic Study Location List:**

| Road Name:               | From                    | To                       | Length in miles | Speed Limit   | New Add      | Old Fix      |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Central Ave              | Sandhill Rd             | Honey Ridge Rd           | 1.68            | 35            |              | X            |
| High Bluff Rd            | Long Acre Rd            | M.L. .70                 | .70             | 45            |              | X            |
| High Bluff Rd            | M.L.70                  | Pavement Ends            | .80             | 35            |              | X            |
| Honey Ridge Rd           | SR 119                  | SR 17                    | 2.25            | 35            |              | X            |
| Mccall Rd N              | SR 21                   | M.L. .30                 | .30             | 45            | X            |              |
| Mccall Rd N              | M.L.30                  | Blue Jay Rd              | 5.7             | 55            | X            |              |
| Mccall Rd S              | SR 21                   | Golden Rd                | .90             | 35            |              | X            |
| Mccall Rd S              | Golden Rd               | Blue Jay Rd              | 2.5             | 45            |              | X            |
| Marlow Rd                | SR 17                   | Midland Rd               | 1.1             | 35            | X            |              |
| Old Tusculum Rd          | Wallace Dr              | Brogdon Rd               | 2.45            | 50            |              | X            |
| Reedsville Rd            | SR119                   | Marion Ave               | 2.80            | 45            |              | X            |
| Shawnee Rd               | Railroad                | Old Dixie Hwy            | .85             | 45            |              | X            |
| Wylly Rd                 | Long Bridge Rd          | High Bluff Rd            | .8              | 45            |              | X            |
| Low Ground Rd            | Mccall Rd N             | Blue Jay Rd              | 6.2             | 35            | X            |              |
| Zittrouer Rd             | Midland Rd              | SR 30                    | 2.55            | 35            | X            |              |
| <del>Conaway Rd</del>    | <del>SR-30</del>        | <del>SR-17</del>         | <del>-.4</del>  | <del>35</del> | <del>X</del> |              |
| Old Dixie Rd N           | Shawnee Rd              | Clyo Kildare Rd          | 1.7             | 35            | X            |              |
| Nixon Chapel Rd          | Ardmore Okey Rd         | SR 21                    | 3.2             | 35            | X            |              |
| Patterson Rd             | Courthouse Rd           | Shirley Dr               | 1.82            | 35            | X            |              |
| Shirley Dr               | Courthouse Rd           | Pavement Ends            | 1.5             | 35            | X            |              |
| Berryville Rd            | SR 119                  | Stillwell Clyo Rd        | 2.25            | 45            |              | X            |
| Fair St                  | SR 119                  | Stillwell Clyo Rd        | 1.15            | 35            |              | X            |
| Old Augusta Rd           | SR 275                  | M.L. 2.5                 | 2.5             | 45            |              | X            |
| Old Augusta Rd           | M.L. 2.5                | SR 21                    | 6.0             | 55            |              | X            |
| Roebing Rd               | SR 17                   | M.L.5                    | .5              | 45            |              | X            |
| Roebing Rd               | M.L. .5                 | Pavement Ends            | 1.9             | 35            |              | X            |
| Mustang Dr               | SR 30                   | Dead End                 | .5              | 25            | X            |              |
| Mill Pond Rd             | SR 275                  | Old Augusta Rd           | 2.2             | 35            | X            |              |
| Scuffletown Rd           | Hodgeville Rd           | Pecan Ln                 | .7              | 25            | X            |              |
| Whitehall Ave            | Oglethorpe              | Lord Effingham           | .5              | 25            | X            |              |
| Godley Rd                | Chatham Co. Line        | Chatham Co. Line         | 3.3             | 35            | X            |              |
| Green Morgan School Rd   | Old Dixie Rd            | Sisters Ferry Rd         | 3.3             | 35            | X            |              |
| Rincon Stillwell Rd      | Rincon City Limit       | Old Augusta Road         | 2.3             | 45            |              | X            |
| Silverhill Church Rd     | Old Dixie Hwy           | Clyo Shawnee Rd          |                 |               | X            |              |
| <del>High Bluff Rd</del> | <del>Long Acre Rd</del> | <del>-.7 M.L.-</del>     | <del>-.7</del>  | <del>45</del> |              | <del>X</del> |
| <del>High Bluff Rd</del> | <del>-.7 M.L.-</del>    | <del>Pavement Ends</del> | <del>-.8</del>  | <del>35</del> |              | <del>X</del> |
| <del>Conaway Rd</del>    | <del>SR-30</del>        | <del>SR-17</del>         | <del>-.4</del>  | <del>35</del> | <del>X</del> |              |
| Hester Rd                | Nease Rd                | SR 30                    | .7              | 35            | X            |              |
| Old Louisville Rd        | SR 119                  | Gracen Rd                | 2.3             | 45            |              | X            |
| Old Louisville Rd        | Gracen Rd               | Pavement Ends            | 6.4             | 55            |              | X            |
| Griffin Lake Rd          | SR17                    | Wittkamp Rd              | 1.1             | 35            | X            |              |

