

Addendum #2

Harry Simmons WTP Rehabilitation and Renewal Questions

24-005

Questions:

1. Per the RFP, page 2, bullet 2 refers to “four (4) sheets of the pricing submittal as the first pages of the response.” Was this inadvertently included in the submittal?

That is relic from a previous document.

2. Per the RFP, page 6, Section 1.5.4.3. “Names of the principal officers of the firm,” – May we provide our full-list of principal owners in Appendix section and not count toward the 10-page limit? Garver has approximately 100 owners and we’re hoping not to have this portion take up space in the 10 pages.

Yes, or you may provide a list of your chief level officers in the narrative.

3. Per the RFP, page 6, Section 1.5.1. “Submittal Cover Page. The cover page is supplied on page 11,” – We did not see the cover page in the package. We can provide our own if you prefer but wanted to confirm.

This was also a relic form a previous document. Please disregard and provide your own cover page.

4. Reference Page 2 of the RFQ, 2nd bullet, 2nd sentence states that “...and must have the four (4) sheets of the pricing submittal as the first pages of the submittal.”

Question: There are no pricing forms, so this requirement seems to be not applicable. Please confirm.

Answer: You are correct. This item does not apply. It is a relic from a previous RFP.

5. General question about background of project.

Question: What other treatment plant projects has the City utilized the CMAR delivery method? What firms were the Engineer and Contractor on those projects?

Answer: The City has not utilized the CMAR method for Water/WW projects to date.

6. General question about background of project.

Question: Is any GEFA or SRF funding planned to be used for this project? What is the City's budget for the project?

Answer: The City is submitting a loan application to GEFA for funding of the rehab work to be designed by the firm selected through this RFQ process. A budget is not yet established though we do anticipate a cap of \$40,000,000.00.

7. Reference RFQ item 1.5.1 notes that the cover page is supplied on page 11.

Question: It is not clear that this form was provided as part of the RFQ. Please clarify.

Answer: This is another relic from a previous RFP. Please disregard and submit your response with you own preferred cover sheet.

8. In addition to excluding the Cover Page, resumes of assigned personnel, references, and page delineators from the proposal page limit, can the short transmittal letter also be excluded from the page count and/or can the total allowable pages be increased from 10 to 11 or 12 in order to adequately address all of the other content requested?

The transmittal letter may be excluded from the overall 10-page limit.

9. Can a copy of the attendee list from the September 29th site visit please be provided?

Please see attached.



Harry Simmons RFQ
24-005 Site Visit Sign