## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM



City of Leesburg | Purchasing Division 204 N. 5th Street | PO Box 4906030

Leesburg, FL 34749-6030

Phone: (352) 728-9880 | purch@leesburgflorida.gov

## ADDENDUM NO. 2

Date Issued: September 21, 2017

Solicitation: 170461 - Venetian Gardens Phase II - Infrastructure Improvements

## **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

The following are answers to all questions received to date. Information shall be considered by proposers when preparing their response.

- Q1. Why would their not be pay items for the demucking and replacement, also, the contract has a 150 days and the geo calls for a 90 day 3' surcharge of the area, don't see a time frame of 150 days being doable.
- The City has selected the "Do Nothing" mitigation alternative from the Geotechnical Report. A1. Roadway shall be prepared in accordance with the plans with no surcharging or demucking.
- Q2. How does the Engineer propose to hold the surcharge area on the lake side, looks to me the displaced mat'l below the surcharge will move to the lake and be unending?
- Surcharging will not be performed on this project. A2.
- Will the boat ramp area need to have a sheet wall installed and dewatered prior to placement of the 6' Stone? If not, how will it be determined if all organic material is Q3. removed prior to placing stone?
- It is our opinion that this question pertains to construction Means & Methods and is up to the A3. discretion of the Contractor and how they feel is the best approach to achieve the intended design.
- Detail of placement of #57 has no containment barrier, will this not cause the existing water to sluff the slope and cause a future failure of the design? I would Q4. request that #57 Stone be paid for on a unit price delivered (TN), as the quantity needed will be much larger than it calculates.
- The riprap surrounding the boat ramp is intended to serve as a containment barrier for the coarse A4. aggregate fill (stone size no. 57).
- It appears to me that the concrete precast planks for the ramp are not tied to the crushed base. Will these planks not slide over a short period of time due to Q5. traffic? What responsibility has the Contractor in this design?
- The PCPS planks are joined together by a grouted tongue & groove connection and anchored to the A5. cast-in-place slab on the uplands. This is a DOT standard design for similar structures.

All other elements of the Solicitation Document remain unchanged.

Please direct any questions related to this addendum to the Purchasing Office.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

It is the vendor's responsibility to ensure their receipt of all addenda, and to clearly acknowledge all addenda within their initial bid or proposal response in the space provided on the Certification/Addendum Acknowledgement Form included in the original solicitation document. Failure to do so may subject the bidder to disqualification.

## ADDENDUM NO. 2

Date Issued: March 17, 2017

Solicitation: 170271 - Roof Replacement - Headworks & 3<sup>rd</sup> Street Restroom

# **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS** (Continued)

- Not being able to determine how muck and other unsuitable material will need to be Q6. removed and replaced prior to construction, would it not be best to provide a unit price pay item for this work. (This would apply to the Ramp also)
- A6. The City will keep the unit of measure as lump sum on the Schedule of Bid Items.
  - This project as shown is LS, how will the contractor determine how much off site borrow will be required? Construction equipment will cause the existing site
- Q7. conditions to settle and thus require more off site material. I would ask that this item also be based on actual CY delivered to the site to provide an equitable basis for cost to the Owner as well as the Contractor.
- A7. The City will keep the unit of measure as lump sum on the Schedule of Bid Items.