

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502 Ron DeSantis Governor

Jeanette Nuñez Lt. Governor

Shawn Hamilton Secretary

July 19, 2022

Mr. Tim Bolduc, City Manager City of Crestview 198 N Wilson Ave Crestview, Florida, 32536 TimBolduc@CityofCrestview.org

RE: Crestview WWTP

Facility ID: FLA01013 Okaloosa County

Dear Mr. Bolduc:

Department personnel conducted a compliance evaluation inspection (CEI) at Crestview WWTP on July 6, 2022. Based on the information provided during the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your compliance efforts. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Michael Mucci at 850-595-0569, or via e-mail at: Michael.Mucci@FloridaDEP.gov.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Bacewicz

Environmental Manager

Compliance Assurance Program

Northwest District

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Enclosures: Inspection Report

cc: Ernest Nolan, Project Manager (<u>Ernest.Nolan@Jacobs.com</u>)

Michael Criddle, Public Services Director (Criddlem@CityofCresview.org



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION WASTEWATER COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT

Facility Details

Facility Name	Crestview WWTP		WAFR ID	FLA010193		
Physical Address	5101 Arena	Road		City, State, Zip	Crestview,	Florida, 32536
County	Okaloosa			Facility Phone #	850-682-08	329
Permit Issued:	2/27/2015		Permit Expiration:	5/25/2025		
Facility Type	Domestic Wastewater			Is the Facility NPDES	S (Y/N)	No
Latitude	Degrees °	30	Minutes '	44	Seconds	" 12.11 N
Longitude	Degrees °	86	Minutes '	35	Seconds	" 41.06 W

Inspection Details

Inspection Type	Entry Date	Exit Date	
CEI	7/6/2022	7/6/2022	
	Entry Time (HH:MM AM/PM) Exit Time (HH:MM AM/PM)		
	09:55 AM	11:20 AM	
Sampling Taken (Y/N) No	RQ# N/A	QA Conducted (Y/N)	No
Name(s) and Title of Field	Operator Certification	Email	Phone Number
Representatives(s)			
Ernest Nolan, Project Manager	B21379	Ernest.Nolan@Jacobs.com	850-682-0829
Michael Criddle, Public Services Director	N/A	Criddlem@CityofCrestview.org	850-682-1560
Name(s) and address of Permittee /	Title	Email	Phone Number
Designated Rep.			
Tim Bolduc	City Manager	TimBolduc@CityofCrestview.org	850-682-3812
198 N Wilson Ave, Crestview, FL 32536			

Inspector Information

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspectors(s)		District Office/Phone Number	Date
Michael Mucci	michel Mucci	NWD/850-595-0569	7/14/2022
Name and Signature of l	Reviewer	District Office/Phone Number	Date
Rebecca Bacewicz	Mh M	NWD/850-595-0612	7/19/2022

Facility Compliance Eval Areas

IC = In Compliance; MC = Minor Out of Compliance; NC = Out of Compliance; SC = Significant Out of Compliance; NA = Not Applicable; NE = Not EvaluatedSignificant Non-Compliance Criteria Should be Reviewed when Out of Compliance Ratings Are Given in Areas Marked by a "*" **Overall Compliance Determination** In-Compliance IC *Permit IC Laboratory IC **Facility Site Review** MC *Effluent Quality IC IC Sampling IC *Compliance Schedules Flow Measurement *Effluent Disposal IC IC IC *Records & Reports **Biosolids** *Operation & Maintenance MC *Groundwater NA Other NA **Nutrient Management Plan** NA **Access Control** SSO Survey Site Restrictions & Setbacks Odor/Nuisance NA Site Monitoring NA **MLPW** Disposal NA **Manure Solids**

Clear Report

Hide/Unhide Placeholders Generate Blank Rows (for field paper setup)

Generate Deficiency & Observation Rows

Finish Inspection Report Form

Single Event Violations ("*" SNC SEVs)

Check	Evaluation	Description	Finding Description	Finding
for Yes	Area			ID

Permit	Effluent Violations - Unapproved Bypass	Wastewater was diverted from a portion of the treatment process without department approval.	UNBY
*Permit	Permit Violations - Discharge Without a Valid Permit	The facility was operating without a permit or with an expired permit.	UPHI
Permit	Permit Violations - Failure to Submit Timely Permit Renewal Application	The permittee failed to submit an application to renew the existing permit at least 180 days prior to expiration.	PFSA
Laboratory	Management Practice Violations - Laboratory Not Certified	The laboratory was not certified by the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC).	LNCE
Sampling	Monitoring Violations - Analysis not Conducted	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze samples as required by permit or enforcement action.	ANCV
Sampling	Monitoring Violations - Failure to Monitor for Toxicity Requirements	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze routine or follow-up toxicity samples.	FTOX
Records and Reports	Management Practice Violations - Failure to Develop Adequate SPCC Plan	The facility failed to develop or maintain their Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) plan.	FSPC
Records and Reports	Management Practice Violations - Failure to Maintain Records	The facility failed to maintain records for the required retention period.	FMRR
Records and Reports	Reporting Violations - Failure to Notify	The permittee failed to notify the department of any event or activity that requires notification as required by permit or rule.	RSWP
Records and Reports	Reporting Violations - Failure to Submit DMRs	The permittee failed to submit any DMR required by rule, permit, or enforcement action in a timely manner.	FDMR
Records and Reports	Reporting Violations - Failure to submit required report (non-DMR, non-pretreatment)	The facility failed to submit any report required by rule, permit, enforcement action or inspection activity except for DMRs.	FRPT
Facility Site Review	Management Practice Violations - Improper Land Application (non-503, non- CAFO)	The land application system was not being maintained.	LASN
Flow Measurement	Monitoring Violations - No Flow Measurement Device	The facility failed to install a flow measurement device, an approved flow measurement device, or a working flow measurement device.	NOFL
Operation and Maintenance	Management Practice Violations - Improper Operation and Maintenance	The facility failed to follow their operation and maintenance plan/manual.	IONM
Operation and Maintenance	Management Practice Violations - Inflow/Infiltration (I/I)	The facility had an inflow and infiltration problem causing collection system issues and/or operational issues.	ININ
Operation and Maintenance	Management Practice Violations - No Licensed/Certified Operator	The facility was being operated without a certified operator or by an operator that is not licensed for the size of plant.	ONCO
*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent acute toxicity has been documented through follow-up tests.	EATX
*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent chronic toxicity has been documented through follow-up tests.	ECTX
*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent acute or chronic toxicity has been documented in the effluent through the use of routine and follow-up tests.	ETOX
Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Narrative Effluent Violation	The facility violated a permit or enforcement narrative effluent limit.	XNEV
Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Reported Fish Kill	The facility had a discharge of wastewater that resulted in a fish kill.	XFSH
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Discharge to Waters	A sewage spill from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch.	SSO1
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to Maintain Records or Meet Record Keeping Requirements	The facility failed to keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system.	SSO2
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to monitor	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters.	SSO3
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to report violation that may endanger public health 122.41(I)(7)	The facility failed to report a sewage spill within 24 hours of discovery.	SSO4
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Improper Operation and Maintenance	The facility failed to perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order.	SSO5
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Overflow to Dry Land	A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake.	SSO6

Permit

Compliance Rating	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	O No		
	Questions				
*Is the permit current?			Yes		
Is a copy of the permit available onsite?			Yes		
Is the facility operated in accordance with the perr	nit?		Yes		
*Was the facility constructed or modified with an	appropriate or valid permit issued by the	е	Yes		
Department?					
Has the facility submitted the permit renewal appl	ication 180 days prior to the expiration	date?	N/A		
If the permittee for the facility has changed did the	e department receive notification of this	;	N/A		
change?					
If the permit is accompanied by a Consent Order o	r Administrative Order are, they abiding	by by	N/A		
the conditions of the order?					
Is wastewater from a portion of the treatment process diverted with Department approval?			N/A		
$\ensuremath{^{*}\text{Is}}$ the facility discharging to waters of the state $\ensuremath{\mathbf{w}}$	ith an appropriate FDEP permit?		N/A		
*Was the facility free from unpermitted discharge	• • •	with a	Yes		
high potential for water quality or health impacts?					
Is the facility free from any Permit violation not lis			Yes		
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program	Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Rev	riewed v	vhen Checklist Items		
Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"					
Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencie					
• Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the	e facility				
Observations:					
1.1 Observation: Current permit has been effective since May 26, 2015 and expires on May 25, 2025.					
1.2 Observation: A minor permit revision was issue	1.2 Observation: A minor permit revision was issued on March 2, 2021. The revision reduced reuse capacity and				
removed two monitoring wells, for a proposed roa					

Compliance Schedule

Compliance Rating	In Compliance		
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	O No
Questions			
If the facility has a compliance schedule in a permi	t, Administrative Order or Enforcement		Yes
Action are they in compliance with the schedule?			
*Are the Compliance Date(s), Construction Milesto	one(s), Enforcement Order Schedule(s)	or	N/A
Final Compliance Date started/completed within 90 days of the due date?			
Has the facility completed construction and submitted a Notification of Completion of			N/A
Construction for Wastewater Facilities or Activities (Form 62-620.910(12)), if required?			
Has the Notification of Availability of Record Drawings and Final Operation and Maintenance			N/A
Manuals (Form 62-620.910(13)) been submitted as required?			
If the facility is under a Toxicity Corrective Action Plan, are they in compliance with the plan?			N/A
Is the facility free from any Compliance Schedule	violation not listed above that needs to l	be	Yes
addressed?			
Cignificant Non Compliance Cuitoria non Program	Guidanaa Mama OWM 00 01 Should ba Pa	iou.od.	when Checklist Items

Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"

- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

Observations:

2.1 <u>Observation</u>: Facility has a schedule for construction of RIB No. 6, two additional aerobic digesters, and new 1.375 MGD treatment train. The completion date for these actions is TBD. Facility manager advised that construction of two new aerobic digesters is underway.

Laboratory

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	⊙ Ye	s 🔘 No		
Questions				
Is there a current copy of the laboratory certificati	on onsite?	N/A		
If the facility has an onsite laboratory does it have Environmental Laboratory Certification Program of	•	N/A		
Facility DOH Certification #		N/A N/A		
Contract Lab Name and DOH Certification #		Future Laboratories E81304 and The Water Spigot E81105 Yes		
Does the onsite laboratory use sample analysis me method that has gone through the EPA alternative	·	st N/A		
Does the facility have standard operating procedu 620.10(18) F.A.C. including 40 CFR Part 136; including the cleaning, reagent/standard use, and troubleshooting the cleaning of the cleaning	N/A			
Does the facility have a QA/QC program with a written QA/QC manual as required by 40 CFR 122.41 that is up to date and available for review?		N/A		
Does the facility follow the procedures set in the QA/QC manual; including instrument calibration/maintenance, checks on standard solutions, sample analysis precision/accuracy limits on a prescribed bases and QC samples (duplicate, spiked, blank in at least 10%)?		N/A		
Is the detailed record complete and available for review for each set of analyses performed including the order of calibration, QA/QC, bracketing, and samples analyzed?		N/A		
Does the facility have QA/QC records on the reagent preparation, instrument calibration/maintenance, incubator temperature and purchase of laboratory supplies?		N/A		
Does the facility's laboratory documentation of the sample QA/QC fall outside acceptable precision ar	e sample results use qualifier codes when	N/A		
Does the facility's laboratory take and record corrective actions or trouble shooting steps when data falls out of the precision and accuracy limits?				
Are records of standard(s) and reagent(s) preparation maintained at the laboratory?		N/A		
Is the laboratory maintaining adequate records for reagent preparation(s)?		N/A		
Does the laboratory have a system for uniformly redata; including formulas, significant figures, round	0, 1	<u> </u>		
Is the facility's laboratory adequate for analyzing s space for instrument use/storage free of contamir area, ventilation, humidity and temperature contr	amples; including pure water, clean bench nation, necessary equipment, vibration free	N/A		

Does the Laboratory meet NELAC and EPA standards including; dry and clean sample storage	N/A
locations, sample custodian(s) to ensure upon receipt of samples, proper sample storage,	
preservation and custody documentation?	
Does the facility use appropriate standards that are prepared in volumetric glassware, checked	N/A
against reliable primary standards, labeled properly, stored in clean containers, and discarded	
when expired or degraded?	
Does the facility's laboratory analyst(s) demonstrate competency and appropriate training;	N/A
including ability to follow procedures, ability to meet precision and accuracy limits, knowledge	
of equipment and analytical methods.	
If the facility test requires temperature measurement, is there a thermometer present that is	N/A
routinely calibrated against NIST thermometer within calibration date range?	
Is the sample refrigerator temperature correct to meet the preservation requirements for the	N/A
samples stored within?	
Is the facility free from any Laboratory violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
• Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed w	hen Checklist Items
Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"	
• Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies	
• Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Observations:	
3.1 Observation: Facility contracts with The Water Spigot for groundwater sampling. The facility of	ontracts with Future
	oncidets with ratare
Laboratories for all other testing.	onerades with ratare
Laboratories for all other testing.	oncludes ment acare

Sampling

Compliance Rating		
Does this section apply to the facility?	⊙ Yes	C No
In facility log books or other documentation, are the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes
including composite sampler or other temperature	es, and daily calibration of meters.	
Does the facility maintain records of their daily cal	ibration of their pH meter, chlorine meter,	Yes
dissolved oxygen meter?		
Does the facility maintain records of their daily che	ecks of their in-line meter(s) with their field	Yes
meter(s)?		
Do field sheets document that the collection and a	inalysis of field tests were analyzed within the	Yes
15-minute holding time.		
Are meters calibrated and sample analysis conduc	Yes	
DEP SOP and NELAC guidelines? (calibration frequency		
residual chlorine (TRC), turbidity, DO)		
Are all the primary and secondary standards used	Yes	
expiration dates and verified against primary stand		
Are the inline meters reading within established li	mits compared to the bench meters? (TRC ≤	Yes
20%, Turbidity ≤ 20%, pH 0.2 SU)		
Were safe access points for obtaining representati	Yes	
Are influent sampling points put prior to internal f	acility return lines including supernatant,	Yes
filter backwash and return activated sludge (RAS)?		
Are samples being collected and analyzed as requi	red by the permit or enforcement action;	Yes
including location, type (grab/composite), time, ar	nd frequency?	

Are samples being collected in the proper containers, preserved and analyzed in appropriate	Yes
hold times in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136, Table II?	
If the facility has a composite sampler with cooling system at the influent/effluent sampling	N/A
location is there a thermometer present in the sampler that is annually checked against NIST	
thermometers?	
Is composite sampling being conducted appropriately; including purging, sampling velocity at	Yes
least 2fps, clean tubing, individual sample volume of at least 100 mL, sample storage of <6°C	
preservation, hold times and representative samples?	
Did the facility have their Chain of Custody records?	Yes
If sampling was conducted and observed during the inspection did the sampling follow DEP SOP	N/A
requirements?	
Did the facility collect and/or analyze routine or follow-up toxicity samples as required by	N/A
permit or enforcement action?	
Is the facility free from any Sampling violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
• Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed was Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"	hen Checklist Items
• Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies	
• Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Observations:	
4.1 Observation: Facility has a spigot that dispenses effluent for sampling. The spigot is safely acc	essible.
4.2 Observation: Facility had chain of custody records readily available for inspection.	
4.3 <u>Observation</u> : The facility pH probe was properly storage in solution. See Photo #15.	
4.3 <u>Observation</u> : The facility pH probe was properly storage in solution. See Photo #15.	
4.3 <u>Observation</u>: The facility pH probe was properly storage in solution. See Photo #15.4.4 <u>Observation</u>: The pH calibration standards were not past their expiration date. See Photo #16	

Records and Reports

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	C No	
	Questions			
Are the entries in the operator logbook clear, cond	ise, informative and relevant?		Yes	
Was copy of the current O&M manual available at	the time of the inspection?		Yes	
Is there a current operator license?			Yes	
Is there a current RPZ certification?			Yes	
Is there a copy of the current Operating Protocol for	or Part 3 Reuse?		Yes	
Does the facility have and maintain their Spill Prevention Control and Counter measurement (SPCC) Plan?			Yes	
Are all required documents and reports available a	at the plant well organized and complete	e?	Yes	
Does the facility maintain the records onsite for th	e required retention period?		Yes	
Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) Review Period		07/01/2019 - 05/31/2022 Yes		
Are the discharge monitoring reports completed properly?			Yes	
Are the DMRs submitted on the proper form?			Yes	
Is an authorized representative signing the DMRs?			Yes	
Has the permittee submitted an annual Reclaimed	Water and/or Effluent Analysis Report?	?	Yes	

Does the facility submit their monitoring results for Giardia and Cryptosporidium in a timely	N/A
manner?	
A review of the last toxicity test did not reveal any deficiencies?	N/A
Has the facility submitted all report(s) during the review period that are required by rule,	Yes
permit, enforcement action or inspection activity, other than DMRs?	
*Has the facility timely submitted DMRs as required by rule, permit, or enforcement action? (If	Yes
either reports are >30 days late meets SNC criteria)	
Has the facility submitted all final compliance schedule reports as required by rule, permit, or	Yes
enforcement action?	
Has the permittee notified the Department of any event or activity that requires notification as	Yes
required by permit or rule?	
*Are records or reports free from falsified data?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Records and Reports violation not listed above that needs to be	Yes
addressed?	

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

Observations:

- 5.1 Observation: The DMRs are submitted by Ernest Nolan, who is the project manager.
- 5.2 <u>Observation</u>: The permit requires giardia and cryptosporidium tests every 5 years from monitoring site EFF-03. Facility had not discharged from that site, hence why tests were not completed.
- 5.3 <u>Observation:</u> A records review for the March 2022, effluent monitoring event indicated that information and data on chain of custody sheets, laboratory reports, and Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR) were consistent. The facility is utilizing the EzDMR reporting system for DMR submittal.

Facility Site Review

Compliance Rating	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	O No		
	Questions				
The headworks was free from excessive corrosion.			Yes		
The headwork is free from evidence of recent over	rflows.		Yes		
Is the odor control system operational at the head	works?		N/A		
Is the comminutor operational at the headworks?			N/A		
Is the grit separator operational at the headworks	?		Yes		
Is the bar screen cleaned on a routine basis?			Yes		
Is the mechanical bar screen functioning as intended?			Yes		
Are screenings and grit being collected from the headworks in suitable containers?		Yes			
Rags, grit and/or screening are being disposed of properly.		Yes			
Are screenings and grit from the headworks being disposed at a Class I Landfill?		Yes			
Are records of the disposal of the screenings and grit collected at the headworks available?		Yes			
The leachate from the screening dumpster(s) is piped to the headworks and not onto the		N/A			
ground.					
Is the clarifier free from solids discharging over the weir(s)?			Yes		
Is the clarifier free from excessive sand and/or grit accumulation?		Yes			
Is the clarifier free from excessive scum, algae and/or trash overflowing the weir?		Yes			
Does the skimmer appear to be functional in the c	larifier?		Yes		

Is the sludge collector and pump functional in the clarifier?	Yes
Are the clarifier weir(s) level?	Yes
Is the clarifier free from short circuiting with loss over the weir?	Yes
Are the aeration basins diffusers free from clogs and providing adequate mixing?	N/A
Was the time clock or manual controls for the aeration system operational at the time of the	Yes
inspection?	
Is the RAS line properly located?	Yes
The RAS line was free from excessive splashing that could cause solids to be discharged outside	Yes
the tank.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
The mixed liquor (MLSS) in the oxidation ditch was appropriately colored with no black coloring.	Yes
Is even distribution of air observed in the aeration basin?	Yes
Are the air line(s) to the aeration basin(s) free from leaks?	N/A
The brushes and paddles in the oxidation ditch were all in good working order.	Yes
Is the velocity in the oxidation ditch sufficient to prevent settling of solids?	Yes
Are the blower motors present as required by rule?	N/A
Are the blower motors equipped with belt guards? The blower motors are free from excessive noise.	N/A N/A
	N/A
Are all the blower motors present and operational at the time of the inspection?	N/A
Are spare parts and a second standby blower motors stored onsite? Is the electrical box wiring for the blower motors adequately protected?	N/A
Were the tank contents in the aerobic digester(s) well mixed?	Yes
Are the digester(s) free from excessive odors and/or foaming?	Yes
Is the digester at the appropriate operational capacity?	Yes
Are there two functioning pumps in the surge tank(s)?	N/A
Are there two functioning pumps in the surge tank(s):	N/A
What was the biomass color of the trickling filter at the time of the inspection?	N/A
Is trickling filter media free from excessive ponding?	N/A
Are center columns and distribution arms of the trickling filter free from leaks?	N/A
Are the distribution arm orifices free from clogs, trash and/or scum resulting in uneven	N/A
distribution of flow on the trickling filter media?	
Is the RBC free from black biomass indicating solids and/or BOD loading?	N/A
Is the RBC free from white biomass indicating the presence of bacteria, which feed on sulfur	N/A
compounds?	
Is the RBC free from excessive grinding/whining noise(s) from the motor, drive shaft, and	N/A
bearings?	
Are all RBC rotating disks and/or paddles present and in good working?	N/A
Is the RBC unit drive shaft free from excessive vibration?	N/A
Are all the aerators in the lagoon operational at the time of the inspection?	N/A
Is the base of the lagoon free from lateral seepage at the time of the inspection?	N/A
Does the treatment lagoon have adequate freeboard space?	N/A
Is the treatment lagoon properly secured to prevent unauthorized access?	N/A
Is the treatment lagoon free from excessive foaming?	N/A
Are the treatment lagoon berms properly stabilized?	N/A
Is the Chlorine Contact Chamber (CCC) effluent clear and free from scum, solids accumulation	Yes
and debris?	Voc
Are the baffles in the CCC functioning as intended?	Yes
Does the chlorine injection point provide optimal mixing to occur in the CCC?	Yes

Is the CCC chlorine pump operational, providing adequate chlorine supply for disinfection and	Yes
at the permitted location?	
Is the chlorine storage area protected from the elements?	Yes
Is the alarm indicator for the chlorine system operational?	Yes
Is the chlorine supply covered in frost indicating an issue with the system?	N/A
Is the fan inside the chlorine room operational?	N/A
Are the chlorine scales operational?	N/A
Is an operational Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) available for the chlorine room?	N/A
Are the chlorine gas cylinders properly secured?	N/A
Is a fresh supply of ammonia available to test for leaks in the gas chlorination system?	N/A
Do the UV ballast control boxes have adequate ventilation?	N/A
Does the plant staff have access to UV protective eyeglasses?	N/A
Is the facility maintaining adequate records of UV lamp operating hours?	N/A
Are the UV lamps and ballast being cleaned in accordance with the manufacturer's	N/A
recommendation?	
Does the facility have an adequate inventory of spare parts for the UV system?	N/A
Is the facility conducting routine performance checks on the UV system?	N/A
Is the UV intensity monitoring equipment operational?	N/A
Is the stilling well free from a thick layer of sludge and/or trash?	N/A
Is the chlorine contact chamber providing a minimum contact time of 15 minutes?	Yes
Chlorine and SO2 cylinders marked with empty/full tags?	N/A
Is the automatic SO2 feed operational within de-chlorination process?	N/A
Is the SO2 system free from frost within de-chlorination process?	N/A
Are the bisulfite (SO2) gas cylinders properly secured for de-chlorination?	N/A
Was there adequate ventilation in the SO2 room?	N/A
Is the filter media free from solids that could cause plugging and/or overflow?	N/A
Is the land application system being maintained?	N/A
If an injection well was plugged or abandoned, was it completed appropriately with DEP	N/A
approval?	
If a well was constructed, was it permitted prior to beginning construction and constructed as	N/A
required by permit?	21/2
Is the injection well Operation and Maintenance done satisfactorily?	N/A
Is there adequate access to all monitoring locations?	Yes
Is the exterior of the tanks, wall, and/or pipes of the facility free from leaks?	Yes
Are the facility grounds clean and well maintained?	Yes
Is the required signage adequate?	Yes
The facility was free from odors emanating from the facility.	Yes
The facility was free from excessive noise which could be heard beyond the boundaries of the	Yes
facility.	Vac
Is the facility providing safety measures at all times including adequate lighting?	Yes
The facility is disposing of sludge appropriately, with no sludge being disposed of on the facility grounds.	Yes
Was an alternative power source available at the facility?	Yes
Is the onsite generator tested under load on a monthly basis?	Yes
Are records available for the testing of the generator?	Yes
Is the area around the lift station(s) maintained?	Yes
Are there warning signs with emergency contact information on and/or around the lift	Yes
station(s)?	

Does the facility have a fence around their lift station(s)?	Yes
Is the gate around the lift station and the cover to the lift station locked?	Yes
Is the cover to the lift station(s) free from safety hazards?	Yes
Are there two functioning pumps that alternate?	Yes
Is the electrical panel in good working order and free from needed repair and/or replacement?	Yes
Was the collection system or lift station free from offsite objectionable odors?	Yes
The lift station visual and audio alarm operating satisfactory?	Yes
Are the potable water supply lines and the facility free from cross connections?	Yes
Is an RPZ in place and free of leaks on all potable water supply lines?	Yes
Is there a record of testing available on the RPZ?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Facility Site Review violation not listed above that needs to be	Yes
addressed?	

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- *Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility*

Observations:

- 6.1 Observation: The aeration in the oxidation ditch is generated by mechanical means and does not use a blower.
- 6.2 Observation: Disinfection occurs by liquid sodium hypochlorite (see Photo Log #9).

Flow Measurement

Compliance Rating	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	C No		
Questions					
Is there easy access to flow meter?			Yes		
Is the flow meter in the correct location?			Yes		
Is the flow measuring device installed properly?			Yes		
Is the flow meter calibrated at least annually and i	s it current?		Yes		
When was the flow meter last calibrated?			12/27/2021 Yes		
Is the flow measurement device operating within +/- 10% of the actual flow?			Yes		
Is the flow meter operating properly at the time of the inspection?			Yes		
The chart recorder and/or totalizer for the flow meter was operational at the time of the inspection.		Yes			
The elapsed time meters on the lift station pumps were functioning.			Yes		
The flow entering the convergence section of the turbulence.	Parshall Flume was free of excessive		Yes		
Is the facility free from any Flow Measurement vic addressed?	plation not listed above that needs to be		Yes		

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

Observations:

7.1 Observation: Flow meters were easily accessible and covered by protective housing.			

Operations and Maintenance

Compliance Rating	pliance Rating In Compliance		
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	O No
	Questions	-	
Does the facility have adequate plant staffing?			Yes
Is a certified operator operating the wastewater tr level for the size of the plant?	eatment facility with the appropriate lice	ense	Yes
Is the operator performing treatment plant operat and professional manner?	ion and maintenance duties in a respons	ible	Yes
Is the plant O&M log maintained in a hard-bound be another approved format?	book with consecutive page numbering,	or	Yes
Does the facility have an O&M manual, and does to configuration of the facility?	he facility's O&M manual reflect the curr	ent	Yes
*Is the facility operated in accordance with the O & M Manual? (If there is a high potential for water quality or health impacts meets SNC criteria)			Yes
Is the facility maintaining a log that documents rou			Yes
Is the plant free of any treatment components that unsafe operation?	t are in disrepair that would provide for		Yes
Is the facility without an inflow and infiltration problem which would cause collection system and/or operational issues?		Yes	
*Does the facility replace malfunctioning equipment, which can result in a high potential for water supply quality or health impacts?		Yes	
Dike berms appeared to be in satisfactory conditio	n.		Yes
Hand rails/catwalks/ladders were in good working	order providing for safe conditions.		Yes
The liner(s) in the containment pond(s) appeared t	to be functioning as intended.		Yes
The plant operator is fulfilling the minimum site requirements as required by the Permit.			Yes
Preventative maintenance is being performed in the accordance with the manufacturer's			Yes
recommendations.			
The facility maintains an adequate spare parts inve	entory.		Yes
Swales were being maintained.			Yes
Is the facility free from any Operations and Mainte to be addressed?	nance violation not listed above that nee	eds	Yes

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

Observations:

- 8.1 <u>Observation</u>: Staffing requirement is a Class C of higher operator 16 hours/day for 7 days/week. This requirement is being met.
- 8.2 Observation: The lead operator is Milan Jurkovic (B8509).

Effluent Quality

Compliance Rating		Minor Out of Compliance		
Does this section apply t	to the facility?	•	Yes	O No
Questions				
DMR review period:				07/01/2019- 05/31/2022 Yes
A review of the Discharge	e Monitoring Reports reve	ealed the following effluent exceedance	e(s).	See Below No
*Did the effluent limits e	xceed the Technical Revie	w Criteria less than two times in six mo	onths?	Yes
*Are the effluent limits v	vithout exceedances four	out of six months (chronic criteria)?		Yes
	nlorine levels meet disinfe rolling 6 month period, mo	ction limits? (If below required minimu eets SNC criteria)	m 10%	Yes
Was the facility free of a	discharge of wastewater t	that resulted in a fish kill?		Yes
*Is persistent acute toxic	ity documented through f	follow-up tests?		N/A
*Is persistent chronic tox	cicity documented through	n follow-up tests?		N/A
*Is persistent acute or ch and follow-up tests?	nronic toxicity documented	d in the effluent through the use of rou	itine	N/A
Does the facility meet the permit or enforcement narrative effluent limitation(s)? (Non-DMR visible sheen defined as iridescence present so as to cause taste or odor, or otherwise interfere with the beneficial use of the receiving water)				Yes
Is the effluent free from excessive (suspended solids, foam, grease, scum) in the discharge stream?				Yes
*Was the facility free from any other violation with a high potential for water quality or health impacts?				Yes
•	ny Effluent Quality violatio	on not listed above that needs to be		Yes
 Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility 				
Deficiencies & Corrective		<i>y</i>		
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the Deficiency: (Narrative) For monitoring period 09/2020, there was a coliform, fecal monthly geometric mean effluer exceedance at EFF-01. The limit was 200 (#/100 mL) and the result was 225/100 mL.				
following effluent				
Observations:				
9.1 <u>Observation</u> : Facility experienced an abnormal event on 06/16/2022, causing severe foaming at the plant. Event was reported to the Department in a timely fashion. DMR results from this event have not been reviewed for this inspection, because they not yet been reported and are not due at the time this report was written.				

Effluent Disposal

Compliance Rating	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	0	No	

Questions			
Are discharge location(s) as per permit?	Yes		
What type of reuse is the facility approved for?	Part II, Part III, Part IV, and Part VII Yes		
Has a cross connection control program been implemented within the areas where reclaimed water is provided for use (Part III, VII)?	Yes		
Is all reclaimed water piping and equipment color-coded Pantone purple (522C)?	Yes		
Hose bibbs met access restrictions and other requirements.	Yes		
Reclaimed water valves and outlets were appropriately tagged and /or labeled.	Yes		
Are advisory signs posted in English and Spanish in areas where non-potable Public Access Reuse water is being applied (Part III, VII)?	N/A		
Is the reclaimed water retained on the application site?	Yes		
No significant ponding was observed on the reclaimed water application site.	Yes		
There was no aerosol mist leaving the boundaries of the land application?	Yes		
There was no evidence of solids loss in the treatment process or from the plant?	Yes		
The RIBs and/or percolation ponds were free from excessive vegetation and sludge?	Yes		
The percolation ponds were free from accumulated sludge.	N/A		
Does the percolation ponds have at least 3 ft of freeboard?	N/A		
The absorption field was free from excessive vegetation.	N/A		
Do the reclaimed water storage ponds have adequate freeboard?	Yes		
Are RIBs well maintained and free from excessive vegetation?	Yes		
There was no evidence of a bypass or failure at the effluent storage and/or disposal site(s)?	Yes		
Are all effluent disposal areas such as RIBs, ponds, and sprayfields being loaded and rested per permit conditions?	Yes		
The disposal pond berms were free from excessive growth or vegetation.	N/A		
There was no evidence of a bypass or failure from the storage ponds observed during the inspection.	Yes		
No unauthorized discharge to waters of the state was observed during the inspection.	Yes		
Are the sprinklers functioning as intended for the absorption field(s) or sprayfield(s)?	Yes		
The facility is meeting the minimum setback distances.	Yes		
Does the facility maintain a supply of spare parts for the absorption field(s) or sprayfield(s)?	Yes		
The effluent disposal and/or storage area was free from sinkholes.	Yes		
Are the sprayfields free from grazing dairy cattle?	Yes		
The sprayfield was free from ponding.	Yes		
The sprayfield was free from excessive vegetation.	Yes		
Edible food crops were being properly irrigated with reclaimed water.	N/A		
What cover crop and/or vegetation is planted on the reclaimed water area(s)?	Hay Yes		
*The disposal system was being operated as designed with a low potential for water quality or health impacts.	Yes		
*There was no unauthorized operation or modification of the disposal system.	Yes		
Fencing around the effluent disposal site for access control was complete and in good repair.	Yes		
There were no dead animals observed in the discharge stream.	Yes		
Is the facility free from any Effluent Disposal violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes		
Cignificant Non Countings Cuitaria non Duogram Cuidana Maria OWM 00 01 Should be Davinued	1 61 11: . 1.		

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies

• Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

Observations:

10.1 <u>Observation</u>: Facility is permitted for Part III Public Access Reuse; however, the facility has not discharged from the Part III system. Since the facility has not discharged and does not plan to at this time, there is no Part III signage needed at this time. Type VII does not require signage in Spanish, but the required signs in English were at the facility (see Photo Log #2).

Biosolids

Compliance Rating	In Compliance		
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	O No
	Questions		
Does the facility's method of biosolids use or disponential (i.e., landfill, land application, distribution a	•	•	Yes
biofuel/bioenergy)?			
Does the permittee keep records of biosolids quar generated, received from source facilities, treated			Yes
as a biofuel or for bioenergy, transferred to anoth	er facility, or landfilled?		
Are biosolids quantities reported on the facility RN	ИР-Q DMR?		Yes
Are the biosolids quantities reported on the RMP	Q DMRs correct and accurate?		Yes
The facility's treatment, management, transportat biosolids does not result in objectionable odors, i.e. prohibition in subsection 62-296.320(2)			Yes
If there is an objectionable odor, please describe t	he odors characteristics, frequency, dur	ration,	N/A
and migration, etc.			N/A
Is the storage of biosolids or other solids at this factorage Plan?	cility in accordance with the Facility Bio	solids	Yes
Does the treatment facility ensure no biosolids are spilled from or tracked off the treatment facility property by the hauling vehicle?			Yes
The biosolids for this facility are classified as	: (AA, A, and/or B)		Class B Yes
Does the class of biosolids produced for beneficial permit (Class AA, A, or B)?	l use match the authorized class in the f	facility	Yes
Does the facility use the biosolids pathogen reduce permit?	tion alternative option identified in the	!	Yes
Are all the operational and process parameters m pathogen reduction?	onitored to demonstrate compliance fo	or	Yes
Do the biosolids meet the treatment requirement	s for pathogen reduction option used?		Yes
Does the facility use the biosolids vector attraction		rmit?	Yes
Are all the operational and process parameters m vector attraction reduction?	onitored to demonstrate compliance fo	or	Yes
Do the biosolids satisfactorily meet the treatment reduction?	requirements for vector attraction		Yes
If the Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate (SOUR) test is conducted within 15 minutes of sample collection direction of an operator certified in accordance wi	by a certified laboratory or under the	it	N/A
Does treatment of biosolids or septage for the puvector attraction reduction requirements take platank of a hauling vehicle)?			Yes
Are the biosolids monitored at the frequency req	uired by the permit?		Yes

Are the biosolids monitoring results reported on the facility DMR (RMP-AA, RMP-A, or RMP-B)?	Yes
Are the biosolids monitored for all the required parameters for the class of biosolids?	Yes
Do the Class AA, A, or B biosolids comply with the ceiling pollutant limits?	Yes
Are the correct analysis methods used for biosolids?	Yes
Is a certified laboratory used for the analysis of the biosolids?	Yes
Are all biosolids samples representative and taken after final treatment of the biosolids but	Yes
before land application or distribution and marketing, unless otherwise approved?	163
Are all biosolids samples taken at the location specified in the facility permit?	Yes
Are the correct sample types properly taken for the type of biosolids (POTW Sludge Sampling	Yes
Manual)?	
Are the Class AA biosolids monitored monthly?	N/A
Do the Class AA biosolids meet the Class AA parameter limits?	N/A
Are Class AA biosolids that are stored for more than 45 days re-sampled for fecal coliform or	N/A
Salmonella sp. at the frequency specified in the permit, if required?	
For distribution and marketing, does the facility have a fertilizer license, sell or given-away to	N/A
someone with a fertilizer license, or is enrolled in the US Composting Council's Seal to Testing	
Assurance program (USCC STA program does not apply in the Lake Okeechobee, St. Lucie River,	
and Caloosahatchee River watersheds)?	
If the facility discovered that distributed and marketed biosolids did not meet Class AA	N/A
standards, did the facility notify, within 24 hours, the Department and all persons to whom they	
delivered or distributed and marketed the Class AA biosolids?	
Does the facility make available to users by product labels or other means the following	N/A
information - fertilizer label or equivalent information; name and address of the facility;	
statement that the biosolids meet subsection 62-640.700(5), F.A.C.; recommend application	
rates; and, recommendations for storage (including the more than one dry ton/seven-day	
provision)?	N1/A
Are all the sites where biosolids are land applied listed on the Treatment Facility Biosolids Plan form (DEP Form 62-640.219(2)(a))?	N/A
If a permitted site not listed in the Treatment Facility Biosolids Plan was used, did the permittee	N/A
notify DEP at least 24 hours prior to land application at the site and submit a revised form	IV/A
within 30 days after using the site?	
Did the facility only used permitted sites - i.e., no unpermitted sites were used for land	N/A
application (i.e., the site did not have a valid DEP permit)?	
Does the permittee maintain hauling records for shipments to land application sites and do they	Yes
contain the required information?	
Does the permittee provide a copy of the hauling records to the biosolids site manager, were	Yes
records free of any discrepancies regarding the quantities delivered, and any discrepancies	
were reported to DEP within 24 hours of discovery?	
Did all biosolids sent to sites meet pathogen reduction, vector attraction reduction, and	Yes
pollutant limits?	
If biosolids not meeting standards were sent to a site, did the permittee notify DEP, the site	N/A
manager, the site permittee within 24 hours of discovery?	
Does the permittee maintain copies for each site used of the Biosolids Application Site Annual	Yes
Summary forms received from the site permittees indefinitely?	
Has the permittee submitted Treatment Facility Biosolids Annual Summary reports to DEP by	Yes
February 19 each year?	W
Was the information in the Treatment Facility Biosolids Annual Summary accurate?	Yes
Is any incineration or use of biosolids as a biofuel or for bioenergy in accordance with DEP's air	N/A
regulations and RCRA?	

Does the permittee keep the required hauling records to track transport of biosolids between	Yes
facilities?	
If the facility receives biosolids from a source facility, did the permittee report any discrepancies	N/A
in the quantities of biosolids to DEP within 24 hours of discovery?	
If the facility is a source facility and sends biosolids to another facility, does the permittee	Yes
provide a copy of their hauling records for each shipment to the receiving facility?	
If the facility receives biosolids from a source facility, does the receiving facility permit allow	N/A
receipt of biosolids from other facilities?	
Does the facility have copies of the required written agreement(s) between the receiving and	Yes
source facility?	
Did the permittee (source or receiving facility) submit all new written agreements to DEP within	N/A
30 days before transporting biosolids (unless approval given otherwise)?	
Is operator staffing requirements met?	Yes
is operator starring requirements mee.	
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory?	Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory?	Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory? Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill? Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed? • Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v	Yes Yes Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory? Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill? Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed? • Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"	Yes Yes Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory? Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill? Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed? • Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v	Yes Yes Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory? Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill? Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed? • Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"	Yes Yes Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory? Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill? Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed? • Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" • Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies	Yes Yes Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory? Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill? Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed? • Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" • Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies • Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	Yes Yes Yes
Are the biosolids receiving and handling operations satisfactory? Are grit and screenings, etc., from the headworks properly disposed of in a landfill? Is the facility free from any Biosolids violation not listed above that needs to be addressed? • Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" • Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies • Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility Observations:	Yes Yes Yes

Groundwater

Compliance Rating Minor Out of Compliance					
Does this section apply to the facility?	•	Yes	0	No	
	Questions				
DMRs review period			/01/20 /31/20 s		
Are the groundwater monitoring results sent to the Department on Discharge Monitoring Report, Form 62-620.910(10), F.A.C. and submitted by the DMR due date?				5	
After a review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports, are the compliance well parameters meeting the groundwater standards in the time period reviewed (12 months or greater)?				S	
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent exceedance(s).			See No	e Belo	W
Do the facilities purging logs on DEP Form FD 9000-24 indicate that purging was done properly; including sufficient volume, purge rate, depth to water, and stability criteria (pH, Temperature, Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity)?			Yes	5	
Is the groundwater monitoring report complete and accurate, including analysis method, laboratory method detection limits, static water level, purging logs, sample collection procedures and treatment?			Yes	S	
Do the groundwater monitoring wells meet DEP requirements including; tamper-proof locks, unique well label(s), concrete well pad with protective bumpers not containing numerous cracks, and is free of clutter for sampling purposes?		Yes	5		

-	mit Manitaring wall complation Danart Form 67 F70 000/21 to DED	
within 60 days?	omit Monitoring well completion Report, Form 62-520.900(3) to DEP	
f a monitoring well beca	ame damaged or inoperable was maintenance conducted and	N/A
	within 2 days of discovery?	.,
	cility is sampling at part of the approved groundwater monitoring plan?	Yes
	s operable to the extent that sampling is possible?	Yes
•	es being collected and analyzed as required by the permit or uding location, well type, sample type (grab/composite), time, and	Yes
	d were the sample collection activities being performed in accordance	Yes
f sampling was observed	d was equipment in satisfactory condition?	N/A
f sampling was not obse	erved is the description of sample collection activities being performed SOP FS 2200?	N/A
s the facility free from a	ny Groundwater violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
 Significant Non-Compa Deficiencies are Noted 	liance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed w I and Marked by a "*"	hen Checklist Items
• Questions with "No" r	responses indicate deficiencies	
	responses do not apply to the facility	
Deficiencies & Corrective	e Actions:	
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent	Deficiency: (Narrative) For monitoring period Quarter 1 2022, there was a Nitrogen, Nitrate, To exceedance at MWC-8. The limit was 10 mg/L and the result was 11.6 m	
exceedance(s).	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Exceedance was commented on and was not a chronic issue. No further	r action is required
Observations:	t revision in 2021 eliminated MWB-7 and MWC-10.	

12.2 Observation: The observed monitoring wells were secured and in good condition (see Photo Log #13).

SSO Survey

Compliance Rating	Not Applicable				
Does this section apply to the facility?	0	Yes	•	No	
	Questions				
Does the facility have an Operation and Maintena	nce Manual for their collection system?	?	N	/A	
How often is the Operation and Maintenance Ma	nual undated?		N	/A	
How often is the Operation and Maintenance Manual updated? N/A					
Does the O&M manual reflect the current collection system configuration?			N	/A	
Are procedures available for minimizing spills in either the Operation and Maintenance Manual		l N	/A		
or in a separate document?					
Does the facility have a Sewer Overflow Response Plan/procedures for minimizing spills?			N	/A	
Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples as outlined in their SORP?		N	/A		
Does the facility have a map of its collection system?		N	/A		

Are the SORP and collection system maps immediately available to SSO response staff,	N/A
including during power failures?	
Did the facility collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached	N/A
surface waters?	
Does the facility perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission	N/A
system in good working order?	
Does the facility maintain a ready-to-use supply of equipment, tools and materials for	N/A
responding to SSOs?	
How many lift stations have normanent emergency back up newer generators?	N/A
How many lift stations have permanent emergency back-up power generators?	N/A
In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal event from any part	N/A
of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that discharged to the ground or did not	
make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or	
lake?	
Does the facility report the spill(s) to the Department within 24 hours of discovery?	N/A
Does the facility follow up on spills?	N/A
Does the facility keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation	N/A
and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system(s)?	
In the last 12 months, was the facility free from sewage spills or abnormal events from any	N/A
components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant that reached	
surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch?	
Is the facility free from any SSO violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	N/A
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Output Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed v Description: Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Criteria per Pr	when Checklist Items

- Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items
 Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*"
- Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies
- Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility

Observations:

13.1 <u>Comment</u>: Jacobs Engineering is responsible for the wastewater plant. The City of Crestview is responsible for the collection system and spills. The City of Crestview will be evaluated in a separate report.

Other

Compliance Rating	Not Applicable			
Does this section apply to the facility?	0	Yes	\odot	No
	Questions		_	
*Is the facility free from any violation not listed above, or pattern of noncompliance, resulting in a high potential for water quality or health impacts (Any violations considered significant by the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Director of District Management, or the Division Director meet SNC criteria)				A
Please describe any potential Non-wastewater violations (i.e. hazardous waste, stormwater, SLERP, Air and Storage Tanks) that were referred. N/A				A
 Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility 				
Observations:	V			

N/A		

Deficiencies Summary

Evaluation Area: Effluent Quality				
A review of the	Deficiency: (Narrative)			
Discharge Monitoring	For monitoring period 09/2020, there was a coliform, fecal monthly geometric mean effluent			
Reports revealed the	exceedance at EFF-01. The limit was 200 (#/100 mL) and the result was 225/100 mL.			
following effluent	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)			
exceedance(s).	Exceedance was commented on and was not a chronic issue. No further action is required.			

Evaluation Area: G	roundwater
A review of the	Deficiency: (Narrative)
Discharge Monitoring	For monitoring period Quarter 1 2022, there was a Nitrogen, Nitrate, Total (as N) effluent
Reports revealed the	exceedance at MWC-8. The limit was 10 mg/L and the result was 11.6 mg/L
following effluent	
exceedance(s).	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)
	Exceedance was commented on and was not a chronic issue. No further action is required



Florida Department of Environmental Protection



Inspection Photo Log

Photo Log

Date: 07/06/2022

Facility Name: Crestview WWTP Facility ID: FLA010193

Facility Location: 5101 Arena Road, Crestview, Florida, 32536

Camera Used: FujiFilm/Finepix XP130, Serial Number: 8SB23726

Photographer: Michael Mucci

Department Representatives Present: Michael Mucci

Facility Representatives Present: Ernest Nolan and Michael Criddle

Photo #: 1



Description: Influent Bar Screens





Photo #: 2



Description: Signage for Type VII Reuse

Photo #: 3



Description: Anoxic Basins





Photo #: 4



Description: Inflow to Anoxic Basin

Photo #: 5



Description: Oxidation Ditch #1





Photo #: 6



Description: Oxidation Ditch #2

Photo #: 7



Description: Clarifier #1





Photo #: 8



Description: Clarifier #2

Photo #: 9



Description: Sodium Hypochlorite Storage Tank and Emergency Shower



Florida Department of Environmental Protection



Inspection Photo Log

Photo #: 10



Description: Meter Reading Residual Chlorine, Temperature and pH of the Effluent

Photo #: 11



Description: Biosolid Belt Press





Photo #: 12



Description: Digester #1

Photo #: 13



Description: MWC-8





Photo #: 14



Description: Sprayfield Retention Pond

Photo #: 15



Description: pH Probe





Photo #: 16



Description: pH Standards