



Addendum No. 2
SCOTT ROAD EXTENSION
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES

Date of Addendum Issuance: November 26th.2018

Subject: Scott Road Extension Design Services

Please refer to the county responses to the questions received from potential bidders on the referenced project:

Question #1: In Exhibit E of the RFP under Comments there is a statement that there will be potential EPD permitting for stream buffer encroachment; Nationwide permitting is anticipated. Can the County clarify, for the purpose of cost effective proposals, if this anticipation is based on prior "Waters of the US" delineations or do you require submissions to be separated out that incorporate delineation, EPD and USACE permitting, and mitigation cost?

Response: This statement is entirely based on field observations by the county. The county will require that submitted proposals include a determination of stream buffer encroachment and delineation based on surveying and field investigations once the footprint of the extension is identified in the conceptual phase. All permitting associated with EPD and USACE to be included in the proposal.

As stated in the proposal, man-hours and fees associated with each Phase to be shown.

The county will prepare a scope of services and contract with either a firm (TBD) if mitigation is required.

Question #2: Can you provide the name of the property owner, the total acreage and an exhibit of the existing property?

Response: Property tax information as follows and also attached to this addendum:

Parcel ID	102B032	Owner	LODI ENTERPRISES C/O CYNTHIA GAIL
Class Code	Commercial		CLACK GOODMAN & EMMETT GOODMAN
Acres	10		5014 WESLEYAN WOODS DRIVE
			MACON GA 31210

Question #3: Can you please answer the following

FORMAT:

1. Can we have 2 or 3 max pages that are 11x17? **Yes**
2. Is GDOT prequalification a requirement for all professional services? **Given that this project is 100% local funded, GDOT pre-qualification is not required. It should be noted that the selected firm is required to provide project names and references where plans and permitting occurred within state ROW.**

SURVEYING:

1. As GDOT's Plan Development Process is not required, is GDOT's electronic format for the drawings required? **Given that a portion of this project will be permitted by GDOT (at ST 44), for fee and scope purposes the county assumes that GDOT will require DGN plan formatting (Inroads and MicroStation). Once the firm is selected, the county will ask the state if AutoCad is permissible for construction and ROW plan development. If the state concurs the county will require that the fees for plan development be reduced.**
2. Will the title commitment be made available to the surveyor or is the consultant expected to provide one in the scope? **The county will select a Right-of-Way acquisition firm to negotiate all acquisitions. The Acquisition firm's scope of work will include all title work**
3. What level of Subsurface Utility Engineering is the consultant to provide level A, B, C or D? **SUE level B.**
4. Are as built of the road required? **Yes**

ENVIRONMENTAL

1. Is a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment required? **Yes**
2. Without a Phase I ESA, we cannot determine if a Phase II (highly unlikely) will be required. Can we, therefore, exclude the cost of Phase II? **Yes – exclude Phase II**
3. Are cultural, historic, or archeological resource documentation required? **No**
4. Are the costs of mitigation credits for the stream buffer and wetlands excluded from the consultant's fee proposal? **Yes - excluded**
5. Are all permit application fees excluded from the consultant's fee proposal? **For ease of invoicing, the county will ask the selected firm to pay for all application fees and include said fees in the invoicing submitted to the county**

GEOTECHNICAL

1. Has the County completed the geotechnical analysis or is the consultant to include it in the scope? **The County will procure the services of a geotechnical firm for all subsurface investigations and provide the analysis to the selected design professional.**

STORMWATER POND

1. The RFP calls for a pond to provide water quality, is this to satisfy MS4 requirements? **No – it's due to the proximity of this pond to Lake Oconee**
2. If so, is the pond to meet all the other MS4 requirements like post-construction runoff control? this affects the size and in turn costs. **The county desires to provide pollutant reduction (TSS removal at 80%, etc.) due to the location of this project to Lake Oconee. Although not associated with any MS4 permit, the county will still desire a design that manages post construction runoff reduction to protect state waters downstream. The design parameters for the pond will be discussed with the county during plan development**

SCHEDULE

1. Given the RFP recognizes timeframe for the Signal Permit and the buffer variance could vary from 3-9 months, can the consultant exclude the permitting timeframe from the

schedule? **No. The county will adjust the project schedule accordingly if the permitting process must be extended due to state or federal review time**

Response: Please refer to the responses in **red** above.

=====

Question #4: Section III.A.5 Statement of Project Understanding and Approach – Can this section maximum page limit be increased?

Response: Yes, to a maximum of 4 pages