



Tradition. Excellence. Innovation.

**ADDENDUM #1 RFP#20-21-10
Architectural & Engineering Contracted Services**

This Addendum #1 is intended to address questions or clarify District requirements on the above referenced project. This and all Amendments (Addenda) should be acknowledged on page 2 of the Request for Proposal (RFP) OR should be acknowledged by returning this form along with bid or proposal.

QUESTION #1:

Is Standard Federal Form 330 acceptable in lieu of Standard Federal Form 254/255?

ANSWER #1:

Yes, Federal Standard Form 330 is acceptable.

QUESTION #2:

This RFP is structured for an architect to be the prime designer. Will this RFP allow an engineering firm to be the “prime” designer for a renovation project, who can include their own selections for other sub consultants... engineering disciplines, and/or the architects.

ANSWER #2:

The District wants the Architect to be able to select whatever engineering firms are needed/required for projects with the input from the District.

QUESTION #3:

Does the District have the desire to have a prime contract with an engineering firm instead of an architectural firm?

ANSWER #3

In some cases the District would have a prime contract with an engineering firm, roof engineering for example.

QUESTION #4:

Will individual engineering firms need to submit for each discipline, i.e. mechanical, electrical, civil, structural, etc.?

ANSWER #4:

No, this will not be necessary.

QUESTION #5:

Since, by law, architects are not allowed to state fees/pricing in statements of qualification, would you like a digital copy without this information?

ANSWER #5:

Yes, please still send a digital copy.

QUESTION #6:

Will the District consider selecting engineers separate from architects for this?

ANSWER #6:

The District will select engineers as needed, but expects the selected Architectural firm to have a cadre of engineering firms available.

Addendum #1

Authorized Signature Patricia Batten Company SSD7 Date 4/26/21