Roane County Purchasing Department 200 East Race Street, Suite #3 Kingston, Tennessee 37763 Phone 865-376-4317 • Fax 865-376-4318

ADDENDUM #1 RFQ 2015-25/171

ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR BRIDGE, PARKING LOT & BASIC INFRASTRUCTURE FOR TRAILHEAD FACILITY AT CANEY CREEK RECREATION AREA

TO: All Potential Firms
This addendum forms part of the RFQ Documents. It supplements and modifies them as follows:
ITEM #1 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Question: See following page.
Please return this addendum to the Purchasing Department in the RFQ envelope, facsimile, of email.
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ADDENDUM
The undersigned acknowledges receipt of Addendum #1 – RFQ 2015-25/171.
Name
Title

ADDENDUM #1 RFQ 2015-25/171

- 1. Within the scope of services the terms "construct" and "removal" are used. Is it the intent of this solicitation to select an engineering consulting firm for the development of a design plan depicting the new access, removal of the existing bridge, construction of the new bridge and associated items to the new Caney Creek Recreation area and not the actual construction of items? Yes, limited to a design plan with costing at this time
- 2. Within the bridge scope the terms "source" and "provide" are used. Is it the intent of this solicitation to provide these items, i.e. bridge, barrier, etc. or provide the design of the items? Provide the design, recommend suppliers/products and budgetary estimates
- 3. Our interpretation of item 1 of Initial Development Plan is to provide a written report, based upon a foot survey, describing the development of potential recreation activities for the property and discussing with various committees and departments. Is this a fair interpretation? YES
- 4. Our interpretation of item 2 of Initial Development Plan is to provide a conceptual plan based upon the final written report developed in item 1. Is this a fair interpretation? Yes, more specifically, is there enough space or suitable terrain for the envisioned uses, (not limited to) equestrienne, hiking, and mountain biking trail activities.
- 5. Our interpretation of item 3 of Initial Development Plan is to provide design drawings depicting phased construction to facilitate implementation by the County based upon the available funding. Is this a fair interpretation? YES, with parking and bridging together as the first phase
- 6. Our interpretation of item 4 of the Initial Development Plan is to provide bidding services for the project? Is this a fair interpretation? No, that would be an over-reach. My intention was to emphasize the phase wise approach to this project Item 3, a phased plan is our goal.
- 7. Is it correct that an estimated construction cost of the new bridge and new utilities are to be included in the submission? YES along with an analysis of the options considered and a recommendation of a supplier if it is to be a pre-engineered structure.
- 8. Is there a budget for this solicitation and for the construction of the bridge, utilities and associated items? Yes, \$200,000, however, there may be additional funds available should there be successful grant opportunities.
- Is the location of the bridge as indicated on the attached map? Yes