

## **Addendum #1**

Project Identification:            ***Elizabeth Street Regional SCM Projects (#2023-18) RFQ***

Issue Date:                        ***May 18, 2023***

As none of the items below change the RFQ. The responses are considered minor clarifications. Acknowledgement of this Addendum is not required.

Question and Answers:

**Q1.** Is existing survey data available?

**A1.** Some existing survey data exists. This data will be shared with the selected design firm.

**Q2.** Are there land use calculations or other watershed data that is available?

**A2:** See item “4 Wilson SCM LASII Narrative Attachments”, Attachment 4. It starts on page 1,481. A preliminary desktop analysis was completed by HDR for all three measures. Documented was uploaded as part of the original RFQ.

**Q3:** Do the following forms/sheets count toward the page limit?

- Federal Uniform Guidance (UG) Contract Provisions
- HUB/Minority Business Participation Sheet
- References Sheet
- Execution of Proposal

**A3:** None of those documents count towards the 20-page limit.

**Q4:** Is there a preference for the response layout? In order of the Qualification Response Contents or the Criteria for Evaluations/Awards?

**A4.** No preference.

**Q5:** Is the 20-page count 1 sided or double sided?

**A5:** 20-pages of text/graphics are allowed. Proposals may be submitted double or 1 sided. Proposals which are entirely double sided would be limited to 10 sheets of paper (i.e. 20 pages of content).

**Q6:** Does the cover, back cover, blank pages, and cover letter count toward the 20-page count?

**A6:** No.

**Q7:** Federal U.G. Contract provisions (7-page form) – does this count toward the 20-page count and if so can we just include the last signature page?

**A7:** Please include entire document. See Q3 response.

**Q8:** Should the Execution of Proposal be signed and included as a section? Can we include it behind the cover letter? Will this count towards the 20-page count?

**A8:** It may be included wherever in your proposal you feel is appropriate. See Q3 response.