



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Andersen Andre Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	30
References (Section 2)	25	24
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>98</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>1</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature

E. Urena



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	30
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	9
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>97</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>2</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature *E. Lien W*



**RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services**

Firm Name: Ardaman & Associates, Inc

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	29
References (Section 2)	25	24
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	23
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>96</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>3</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature *J. Leiman*



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Professional Services Industries, Inc. (PSI)

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	29
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	9
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>95</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>4</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature

*J. Cisneros*



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Tierra South Florida, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	29
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	9
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	9
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>94</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>5</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature 



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: GFA International, Inc. dba Universal Engineering Sciences

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	28
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	9
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	9
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>6</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature \_\_\_\_\_

*D. Liendo*



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Madrid Engineering Group dba Madrid CPWG

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	29
References (Section 2)	25	22
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	9
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	9
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>6</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature \_\_\_\_\_

*D. Urena*



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: HighSpans Engineering, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	28
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	7
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>92</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>8</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature \_\_\_\_\_





## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Andersen Andre Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	27
References (Section 2)	25	24
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	23
Approach (Section 4)	10	9
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>1</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Ardaman & Associates, Inc

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	28
References (Section 2)	25	22
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	8
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	9
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>91</b>
	<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>	<b>2</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature \_\_\_\_\_



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	26
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	21
Approach (Section 4)	10	8
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	9
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>87</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>3</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Tierra South Florida, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	27
References (Section 2)	25	22
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	21
Approach (Section 4)	10	7
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	8
TOTAL		85
Overall Rank out of 8		4

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: GFA International, Inc. dba Universal Engineering Sciences

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	26
References (Section 2)	25	20
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	20
Approach (Section 4)	10	7
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	8
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>81</b>
	<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>	<b>5</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature



# RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Professional Services Industries, Inc. (PSI)

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	25
References (Section 2)	25	20
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	22
Approach (Section 4)	10	7
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	6
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>80</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>6</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Madrid Engineering Group dba Madrid CPWG

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	25
References (Section 2)	25	19
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	18
Approach (Section 4)	10	7
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	6
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>75</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>7</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature \_\_\_\_\_



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: HighSpans Engineering, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	24
References (Section 2)	25	18
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	19
Approach (Section 4)	10	6
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	5
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>72</b>
	<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>	<b>8</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

Evaluator's signature





## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Andersen Andre Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	29
References (Section 2)	25	25
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>99</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>1</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

*Have current county CSA. Always responsive on short notice. Located in Port St. Lucie*

Evaluator's signature

*Mark Wolfow*



# RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Ardaman & Associates, Inc

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	29
References (Section 2)	25	25
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	24
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	9
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>97</b>
	<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>	<b>2</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

*Have current county CSA. Sometimes can't respond on short notice. Located in Port St. Lucie.*

Evaluator's signature

*Mark Weneford*



# RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	26
References (Section 2)	25	25
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>96</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>3</b>

\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.

Comments: *Have current county CSA. Have pending litigation. Located in Port St. Lucie. Current work with Kinley, Conner, and Cone & Graham*

Evaluator's signature *Neil Welfo*



**RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services**

Firm Name: Tierra South Florida, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	28
References (Section 2)	25	25
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	7
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>95</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>4</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments: *Current county CSA. Located in West Palm Beach.*

Evaluator's signature *Mark W. [Signature]*



# RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: GFA International, Inc. dba Universal Engineering Sciences

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	<del>28</del> 27
References (Section 2)	25	25
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	7
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>94</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>5</b>

\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.

Comments: *Current County CSA. Located in Port St. Lucie. Had litigation, but dismissed*

Evaluator's signature *Mark Welford*



## RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Madrid Engineering Group dba Madrid CPWG

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	28
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	7
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>93</b>
	<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>	<b>6</b>

\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.

Comments:

Office in Baitow. Have Geophysical Services as sub-consultant. No FDOT work listed

Evaluator's signature

Mad Wesfer



# RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: Professional Services Industries, Inc. (PSI)

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	28
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	6
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>92</b>
	<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>	<b>7</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments:

*Have office in W. Palm Beach. Most all work in Dade and Broward.*

Evaluator's signature

*Mal W. [Signature]*



RFQ 2023061 – Continuing Geotechnical Consulting Services

Firm Name: HighSpans Engineering, Inc.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Awarded
Firm qualifications. Capabilities and similar projects* (Sections 1 and 2)	30	28
References (Section 2)	25	23
Staff qualifications (Section 3)	25	25
Approach (Section 4)	10	10
Projected availability and workload (Section 5)	10	5
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>91</b>
<b>Overall Rank out of 8</b>		<b>8</b>

*\*In determining whether a firm is qualified, the Committee shall consider such factors as the ability of professional personnel; whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise; past performance; willingness to meet time and budget requirements; location; recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms; and the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the agency, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.*

Comments: *Have FDOT CSA. Experience with LAP projects. Fla. west coast firm.*

Evaluator's signature *Mark W. Law*