

Oconee County Board of Commissioners Addendum 3

- DATE: November 2, 2020
- TO: All Prospective Bidders/Offerors

FROM: Finance Director

RE: Addendum 3, RFP# 21-09-004 Engineering Design & Support of the Calls Creek Reclamation Facility Upgrade to 3.0 MGD

The following items shall take precedence over the specifications for the above named project and shall become a part of the contract documents.

Where any item called for in the specifications is supplemented hereby, the original requirements shall remain in effect.

Where any original item is amended, voided, or superseded hereby the provisions of such item not specifically amended, voided, or superseded shall remain in effect.

The following changes are to be incorporated into the solicitation documents dated **September 24**, **2020.** All those receiving this addendum should modify their documents to show the below described changes.

The following questions regarding RFP# 21-09-004 Engineering Design & Support of the Calls Creek Reclamation Facility Upgrade to 3.0 MGD were received prior to the question's deadline of 10/30/20 at 5:00 PM EST:

- Q1. Section I (E)(4)(d) on page 6 calls for the submission of a copy of a Georgia drivers license. Please advise whether a valid drivers license from a state other than Georgia will be acceptable for submission with the S.A.V.E. Affidavit.
- A1. Valid licenses from other states in the United States or similar type of document are also acceptable for use as a "Verifiable Document".
- Q2. Do major and minor sub-contractors need to submit any of the forms included in Appendix A or do you just require them from the Lead Consultant?
- A2. Major and minor subcontractors are not required to submit any of the forms in Appendix A. These are mandatory only for the Lead Consultant.

- Q3. Do you require original signatures on the forms included in Appendix A, or are electronic copies acceptable?
- A3. Original signatures are required for all applicable sections of the Appendix A forms on the original unbound copy. Electronic signatures or photocopies of the original are acceptable on all other required copies of the proposal.
- Q4. Section II (LL) on page 18 (Proposers Affidavit) states : "Firm name and authorized signature must appear on the Proposal in the space provided on the pricing page. See Mandatory Forms section." There does not appear to be a "pricing page" or "Proposers Affidavit" provided with the RFP. Does the "Fee Proposal" mentioned in III (F) on page 22 of the RFP replace the "pricing page"? If so, please confirm that it is the County's intent that we format our own "pricing page"/"Fee Proposal" to convey the proposed fee for services?
- A4. The fee proposal described in Section III, Article F replaces the pricing page referred to in Section II, Article LL. There is no official form for the fee proposal, so format is up to each individual Proposer. The Proposer's fee proposal must include the name and authorized signature in accordance with Section III, Article LL.
- Q5. In section I (I) on page 8 the county states that "Each proposer shall sign the proposal and print or type his or her name on the schedule". Can you please clarify what is required per this requirement for this RFP.
- A5. A signature and typed name of the Proposer shall be included in the proposal itself and on the fee proposal form/page.
- Q6. Please confirm that the required forms, Table of Contents, section tabs, and covers are not included in the 20 page limit.
- A6. Regarding Article A.1 and elsewhere, Appendix A forms, table of contents, section tabs and covers are not included in the 20-page limits.

Scope of Work

Addendum #2: Regarding Item F for Item 9 - Dewatering Building, delete:

"Add new sludge dryer for increasing process residual solids content to meet landfilling requirements."

Add the following requirement:

Provide an evaluation of adding a new sludge dryer for increasing process residuals solids content. The evaluation should include a description of the key design parameters for the new dryer (loading rates, inlet and outlet solids contents, etc.), conceptual unit footprint with piping and associated process power and control appurtenances and required modifications or additions to the existing dewatering building structure. The evaluation should include a cost estimate for constructing the new sludge dryer and a cost/benefit comparison of the sludge dryer construction and operating costs vs. savings in sludge handling and disposal costs.

All questions shall be directed to Precision Planning Inc. contact Natalie Pifer. Email: <u>861np@ppi.us</u>

(END OF ADDENDUM 3)

Please note addendum 3 on the addenda acknowledgement form located in the RFP package.