



Terry McKee, IT & Procurement Director

901 N. Broadway • Knoxville, TN 37917-6699
 865.403.1133 • Fax 865.594.8858
 purchasinginfo@kcdc.org
 www.kcdc.org

Addendum

Solicitation Name	Redevelopment of Property on Gay Street C20001	Addendum Number	4	Date	01/07/2020
--------------------------	--	------------------------	---	-------------	------------

This addendum answers questions raised about this solicitation. To aid in readability, the questions are in black, the answers are in **bolded blue**, and the answers follow immediately below.

Q1	Solicitation Document A requires that we acknowledge that addenda have been issued. We can only confirm that we have received the addenda, not that they have been issued. To date (1/6/2020), I would check the boxes for Addenda 1, 2 and 3 based on the addenda that I see on the website. Can you confirm if this is accurate?
	KCDC posts addenda to its webpage-that is its only legal notification of changes to solicitations. Including this one, KCDC has issued 4 addenda. Please check our webpage for addenda before finalizing your proposal but we do not anticipate any additional addendum.
Q2	We have used the Word document as the basis for our response. However, we have modified the format to accommodate the content requested in the RFP. For example, the Word doc provided is 8.5x11, single page, using a specific font (typeface and size). If we follow this requirement, certain required content—like the 1 year or 10-year operating pro formas—would be difficult for your review committee to read, as they would have to be turned 90 degrees and the type would be very small, or they would have to be broken across multiple pages. Our proposal uses "facing pages" to create double-page spreads, allowing multi-month or multi-year spreadsheets to span the spread. Is this acceptable? We have taken great effort to create a custom, branded proposal, and I don't want our team to be rejected on a technicality.
	This is acceptable.

