ARLINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA OFFICE OF THE PURCHASING AGENT

INVITATION TO BID NO. 23-DES-ITB-523

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Arlington County Invitation to Bid No. 23-DES-ITB-523 for County-Wide HVAC Maintenance, Repair and Installation Services is amended as follows:

- INVITATION TO BID 23-DES-ITB-523 IS HEREBY CHANGED TO 23-DES-ITBLW-523 IN ALL REFERENCES.
- ADD EXHIBIT C. LIVING WAGE FORMS AND D. LIVING WAGE QUARTERLY COMPLIANCE REPORT TO SECTION III. AGREEMENT AND CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS. (NOTE: EXHIBIT A SHALL BE THE SCOPE OF SERVICES AND EXHIBIT B SHALL BE THE PRICE SHEET).
- UPDATE EXHIBIT ___: CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM TO EXHIBIT E. CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM.
- SECTION III. AGREEMENT AND CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS, PARAGRAPH 63. SERVICE CONTRACT WAGE REQUIREMENTS, c. ADDITIONAL COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS IS HEREBY REPLACED WITH THE FOLLOWING:
 - c. ADDITIONAL COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

At all times during the term of the Contract, the Contractor must:

- 1. Post the current Living Wage rate, in English and Spanish, in a prominent place at its offices and at each location where its employees perform services under this Contract Go (see sample notice in Exhibit C);
- 2. Within five days of an employee's request, provide a written statement of the applicable Living Wage rate, using the same form provided in Exhibit C;
- 3. Include the provisions of this section in all subcontracts for work performed under the Contract; and
- 4. Submit to the Purchasing Agent, within five working days of the end of each quarter, certified copies of quarterly payroll reports for each employee, including subcontractor employees, working under the Contract during the quarter and a completed Arlington County Contractor Living Wage Quarterly Compliance Report (Exhibit D).
- THE COUNTY HAS RECEIVED QUESTIONS IN RESPONSE TO THIS ITB. QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS:
 - Question 1: What vendor or vendors currently have the contract?
 - Response 1: Boland Trane is the current Contractor.
 - Ouestion 2: How long have they had the contract?
 - Response 2: The contract has been in place for five years.

Question 3: What is the value of the contract and is the solicitation that is out now have the same scope that is currently in place?

<u>Response 3</u>: The current contract is valued at an estimated cost of \$1.1 Million. The current scope of work covers the entire north and south service locations and is a single award to one contractor. This solicitation scope of work divides the north and south locations with the intent to award to two separate contractors.

 Question 4: Should overtime be considered to perform PM services at any of the buildings on the RFP?

<u>Response 4</u>: Please refer to Sections 18. WORK HOURS AND 19. OVERTIME WORK in the Section II. Scope of Services.

 Question 5: On page 30 of the RFP it lists a location schedule with a list of buildings that require Water Treatment for some of the equipment. There is a building called Community Residences, 1212 S Irving Street, however I don't see that listed anywhere in Attachment A, B, C or D. Could you give more details as to this site name and address?

<u>Response 5</u>: The facility located at 1212 S. Irving Street is currently under major renovation, and the facility is not open at this time. In the future any additional locations will be added via an amendment to the contract.

Ouestion 6: Could you please provide a filter list?

<u>Response 6</u>: A filter list will be provided to the awarded Contractors within the first 90 days of the contract term.

 Question 7: What attachments are being referenced on page 59 under C. Additional Compliance Requirements?

<u>Response 7</u>: Please reference the solicitation changes made above.

O Question 8: Where do I find Addendum No. 1, 2 & 3 referenced on page 66.

<u>Response 8</u>: This is Addendum 1 any other future addenda would be posted in Vendor Registry. Once an addendum is issued, please acknowledge it on Page 66.

Question 9: What is required for Exhibit? - Contractor Performance Evaluation Form (pages 71 - 75)?

<u>Response 9</u>: The Contractor performance evaluation form will be utilized after award of the contract between the Arlington County project officer and the Contractors. The form will document the performance of the contract and will be used on an as-needed basis. Please reference Section III. Agreement and Contract Terms And Conditions, Paragraph 63 for more details.

Ouestion 10: Fire station 8 has no equipment listed at all. Will an equipment list for that site be provided?

Response 10: This facility is under major renovation. Upon completion, the HVAC equipment list will be provided to the awarded Contractor via a contract amendment.

 Question 11: The Arlington Child Care Center has equipment listed with no model or serial number, will there be a more complete list provided? What type of heat exchanger is located at Court Police and the Detention Center?

Response 11: The Arlington Child Care Center is under major renovation. Upon completion, the HVAC equipment list will be provided to the awarded Contractor via a contract amendment. The heat exchangers located at Courts Police and the Detention Center are currently being replaced, we do not have the new equipment information at this time.

The balance of the solicitation remains unchanged.

Arlington County, Virginia Javier Iturralde, CPPB Procurement Officer jiturralde1@arlingtonva.us

RETURN THIS PAGE, FULLY COMPLETED AND SIGNED, WITH YOUR BID:		
BIDDER ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM NUMBER 1.		
FIRM NAME:		
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:	DATE:	

EXHIBIT C

LIVING WAGE FORMS

WAGE NOTICE

THE HOURLY RATE FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ANY SUBCONTRACTORS WORKING ON COUNTY-OWNED, COUNTY-CONTROLLED PROPERTY, FACILITIES OWNED, OR LEASED, AND OPERATED BY A CONTRACTOR IF SERVICES PROVIDED AT THAT LOCATION ARE EXCLUSIVE TO ARLINGTON COUNTY, OR CONTRACTS FOR HOME-BASED CLIENT SERVICES MUST NOT BE LOWER THAN

\$17.00 PER HOUR

REFERENCE: ARLINGTON COUNTY PURCHASING RESOLUTION SECTION 4-103

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT:

ARLINGTON COUNTY
OFFICE OF THE PURCHASING AGENT
2100 CLARENDON BOULEVARD, SUITE 500
ARLINGTON, VA 22201
703-228-3410

AVISO de SALARIO MINIMO

LA TARIFA HORARIA DE LOS EMPLEADOS DEL CONTRATISTA, Y DE CUALQUIER SUBCONTRATISTA QUE TRABAJE EN PROPIEDADES DEL CONDADO, EN INSTALACIONES PROPIAS/ALQUILADAS Y OPERADAS POR UN CONTRATISTA SI LOS SERVICIOS PRESTADOS EN ESE LUGAR SON EXCLUSIVOS DEL CONDADO DE ARLINGTON, O EN CONTRATOS DE SERVICIOS DOMICILIARIOS A CLIENTES, NO DEBE SER INFERIOR

Α

\$17.00 POR HORA

REFERENCIA: SECCIÓN 4-103, DE LA RESOLUCIÓN DE LA OFICINA DEL AGENTE DE COMPRAS DEL CONDADO DE ARLINGTON.

(ARLINGTON COUNTY PURCHASING RESOLUTION SECTION 4-103)

PARA OBTENER MAS INFORMACIÓN, LLAME A:

LA OFICINA DEL AGENTE DE COMPRAS DEL CONDADO DE ARLINGTON.
703-228-3410.

PARA INFORMACION EN PERSONA DIRIJASE A:

2100 CLARENDON BOULEVARD, OFICINA No 500 ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXHIBIT D

LIVING WAGE QUARTERLY COMPLIANCE REPORT

Quarter:	Year:		
Company Name:			
Contract Number:	Contract Name:		
In order to audit your firm's compliance we provisions of the Arlington County Purcha report and submit to Arlington County, Off Boulevard, Suite #500, Arlington, Virginia months during the Contract Term. All emp working on County-owned, County control operated by a Contractor if services provid County, or contracts for home-based client	sing Resolution, please complice of the Purchasing Agent, 22201. This report shall be loyees of the Contractor and led property, facilities owned at that location are exclusive.	plete the following , 2100 Clarendon submitted every (3) any subcontractors ed, or leased, and	
EMPLOYEE NAME	TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY	
	THIS QUARTER	WAGE	
By signing this form, the above-listed compaccurate and complete. If unable to electron executed form for submittal by email.			
Authorized Signature	Date		

EXHIBIT E

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

ARLINGTON COUNTY GOVERNMENT

Contractor Performance Evaluation Form

Contractor Name:	Contract No.:
Date:	Project/Contract Name:
Interim Evaluation Final Evaluation	
Scope of Work/Services Provided:	
Contract Start Date:/ Cont	ract End Date:/ Actual Completion Date:/
Please rate the effectiveness of the Contra dimensions:	ctor's performance on the Contract/Project across the following
Evaluation Criteria: Unacceptable Poor	Satisfactory Excellent
Written comments to explain assigned rati or an "excellent" in any category.	ngs are required for any performance ratings below "satisfactory"
Evaluation Questions 1. Quality of Workmanship	
	manship. Were there quality-related or workmanship problems on
the Contract? Was the Contractor respon	
Unacceptable Poor	Satisfactory Excellent N/A
2. Problem Solving and Decision Making	
Rate the Contractor's ability to provide ef making on Contract/Project.	fective and creative problem solving, coordination and fair decision
Unacceptable Poor	Satisfactory Excellent N/A

3.	Project Schedule				
	Rate the Contractor's perform the contract schedule, or the attributable to the Contractor	schedule as rev	_		
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
4.	Subcontractor Management				
	Rate the Contractor's ability, subcontractors rate the Cont resolve problems?			_	
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
5.	Safety				
	Rate the Contractor's safety safety accidents?	procedures on th	nis Contract/Project? W	ere there any OHS	SA violations or serious
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
6.	Environmental Compliance				
	Did the Contractor comply w Contract? Did the Contracto and/or any Stormwater Pollu	r comply in good	I faith with local erosion		
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
7.	Change Orders				
	Did the Contractor unreason orders and extra work reason	, -	e orders or extras? We	re the Contractor's	s prices on change
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
8.	Paperwork Processing				
	Rate this Contractor's perfor orders, submittal, drawings, paperwork promptly and in p	invoices, workfo	_		-
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
9.			. —		

management skills and e	experience to run a pro		•	ve the knowledge,
Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
10. Expertise, Knowledge an Rate this Contractor's pe		dicated, experienced	and qualified for t	the duration of project.
Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
11. Project/Contract Closeo	ut			
Rate the Contractor's pe Drawings, Operation and Project on schedule; was	Maintenance Manual	s, and training. Did th	e Contractor com	
Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
12. Level of Overall Perform	ance			
Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
☐ Yes ☐ lease provide any comments or Project Officer or Contractor,	clarification on the eva	aluation in the box be		ork. The Contractor can

<u>Signatures and Certifications:</u>

- 1. The information contained in this evaluation form represents, to the best of my knowledge, a true and accurate analysis of the Contractor's performance record on this Contract; and,
- 2. The contents on the evaluation form and the ratings were not negotiated with the Contractor or its representative for any reason.

Evaluator's Signature:	Date:		
Evaluator's (PjO) Printed Name	Evaluator's Title:		
Contractor's signature below acknowledges receipt and the opportunity to respond:			
Contractor Signature:	Date:		
Contractor Printed Name:	Title:		

EVALUATION RATINGS DEFINITIONS

Rating	Definition	Notes
Excellent	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the County's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.	To justify an Exceptional rating, identify multiple significant events and state how they were of benefit to the County. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
Satisfactory	Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	To justify a Satisfactory rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract/order. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. A fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be evaluated with a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract/order.
Poor	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	To justify poor performance, identify a significant event in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the County. A poor rating should be supported by referencing the management tool that notified the contractor of the contractual deficiency (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency report or letter).

Unacceptable	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	To justify an Unsatisfactory rating, identify multiple significant events in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the County. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An Unsatisfactory rating should be supported by referencing the management tools used to notify the contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency reports, or letters).
Not Applicable (N/A)	N/A (not applicable) should be used if the ratings are not going to be applied to a particular area for evaluation.	

<u>END</u>