February 21, 2013

Mr. Terry McKee Knoxville's Community Development Corporation Purchasing Division 901 North Broadway Knoxville, Tennessee 37917

Re: Limited Lead-Based Paint Inspection Report

Western Heights Apartments, 1621 Jourolman Avenue, Knoxville, TN 37921

Dear Mr. McKee:

Enclosed please find the Limited Lead-Based Paint Inspection Report for the Western Heights Apartments, located at 1621 Jourolman Avenue in Knoxville, Tennessee. The work was performed in support of planned mechanical upgrades to bathrooms, kitchens, and living rooms. Inspection protocol was performed in general accordance with Chapter 7 of the 1995 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, 1997 revision, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 CFR part 745. If you have any comments, questions, or need additional copies, please feel free to contact Terry Davis or me at 689-1395.

Sincerely,

Jessica Lindbom

Mora Prisley

Tennessee Certified Lead-Based Paint Inspector

License No. TNLBP2010-2277-3278-I

c: QE² File 501040

LIMITED LEAD-BASED PAINT INSPECTION REPORT

for:

Western Heights Apartments 1621 Jourolman Avenue Knoxville, Tennessee 37921

Prepared for:

Mr. Terry McKee Knoxville's Community Development Corporation 901 North Broadway Knoxville, Tennessee 37917

Prepared by:

Quantum Environmental & Engineering Services, LLC
126 Dante Road
Knoxville, Tennessee 37918
QE² Project No. 501040

February 21, 2013



LIMITED LEAD-BASED PAINT INSPECTION REPORT

WESTERN HEIGHTS APARTMENTS 1621 JOUROLMAN AVENUE KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRO	ONYM	1S	ii
EXEC	CUTIV	E SUMMARY	iii
1.0	INTE	RODUCTION	1
	1.1		
	1.2	Building Descriptions	
2.0	LEA	D-BASED PAINT SURVEY	2
	2.1	Regulatory Framework	2
	2.2	Survey Methodology and Assessment Protocol	
	2.3	Lead-Based Paint Measurement Results	
3.0	CON	NCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
4.0	DISC	CLAIMER	7

APPENDICES

Appendix A. XRF Field Data Collection Forms

ACRONYMS

AL Action Limit

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DOT United States Department of Transportation

DSHWM State of Tennessee Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste Management

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

HSWA Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments

HUD United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

KCDC Knoxville's Community Development Corporation

LBP Lead-Based Paint

mg/cm² milligrams per square centimeter

mg/L milligrams per liter

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PEL Permissible Exposure Limit

ppm parts per million

QE² Quantum Environmental & Engineering Services, LLC

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

TDEC Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation

TDOT Tennessee Department of Transportation

TOSHA Tennessee Occupational Safety and Health Administration

TWA Time Weighted Average

XRF X-ray Fluorescence

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Quantum Environmental & Engineering Services, LLC (QE²) conducted a limited lead-based paint (LBP) inspection at selected locations inside dwelling units at the Western Heights Apartments located at 1621 Jourolman Avenue in Knoxville, Tennessee. The fieldwork was performed on February 18 through 20, 2013, at the request of Mr. Robert Coggins of Knoxville's Community Development Corporation (KCDC). The inspection was performed and the report was prepared in support of planned mechanical upgrades in bathrooms, kitchens, and living rooms. Inspection protocol was determined in general accordance with Chapter 7 of the 1995 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, 1997 revision,* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 CFR part 745.

The principal objective of the investigation was to determine whether LBP is present in areas where the installation of exhaust fans and air conditioners are planned in the existing dwelling units. The site includes two distinct phases of construction. A total of 244 existing units were constructed in the 1930's, and 191 existing units were constructed in the 1950's. The complex owners, managers, maintenance staff, and renovation and repair contractors may use the information reported in this report to ensure the environmentally compliant handling and/or disposal of all lead-containing materials, in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations.

QE 2 determined the number of units to test for each phase of construction based on HUD guidelines. A total 52 of the older units and 49 of the newer units were tested. A surface assessment of the potentially impacted building components was performed in the field using a portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) instrument. Lead was detected at 126 of the 529 total measurement locations. Of those, only eleven of the test locations (in eight individual units) indicated values exceeding the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC)/Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)/HUD standard for classification as LBP (\geq 1.0 mg/cm 2 by XRF).

Based on the test results, all of the air conditioner installation locations and kitchen exhaust fan locations tested were negative for LBP. According to the HUD guidelines used to select the number of units tested, the testing provides a 95% confidence that less than 5% of the units might contain LBP, which qualifies the specific test locations as LBP free according to EPA and HUD exemptions. Surfaces testing >1.0 mg/cm² by XRF were found only on the soffit, wall trim, wall, or ceiling in the bathrooms of eight of the 1930's era units. No positive test results were noted in the newer structures. Approximately 15% (8 units out of fifty-two tested) of the bathrooms in the older structures had potentially impacted LBP. If these statistics are consistent throughout the remainder of the old units in the complex, approximately 25 to 30 additional units are likely to contain LBP in bathrooms. The expected total overall (including the ones already

tested) would be approximately 35 to 40 of the 244 total older units. KCDC may choose to assume that all of the remaining untested older units (192 of them) have LBP in the potentially impacted bathrooms, or test the remaining 192 older units to limit the positive results to specific units (estimated 35 to 40 units).

Under the EPA's Renovation, Repair, and Painting (RRP) Rule, contractors performing renovation, repair and painting projects that disturb LBP in homes, multi-family complexes, child care facilities, and schools built before 1978 must be certified and must follow specific work practices to prevent lead contamination and occupant exposure, including containing lead dust and debris, and testing potential waste. Some painted surfaces contain levels of lead less than 1.0 mg/cm², which could create lead dust hazards to workers if the paint is turned into dust by abrasion, grinding, scraping, or sanding. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) standards apply to protect worker health if lead is present at any concentration.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Quantum Environmental & Engineering Services, LLC (QE²) conducted a limited lead-based paint (LBP) inspection at selected locations inside dwelling units at the Western Heights Apartments located at 1621 Jourolman Avenue in Knoxville, Tennessee. The fieldwork was performed on February 18 through 20, 2013, at the request of Mr. Robert Coggins of Knoxville's Community Development Corporation (KCDC). The inspection was performed and the report was prepared in support of planned mechanical upgrades in bathrooms, kitchens, and living rooms. Inspection protocol was determined in general accordance with Chapter 7 of the 1995 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, 1997 revision, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 CFR part 745, and Title X of the 1992 Housing and Community Development Act. The inspection was conducted by Ms. Judith Vojik and Ms. Jessica Lindbom of QE². Ms. Vojik and Ms. Lindbom are Tennessee Certified LBP Inspectors (license numbers TNLBP2010-2315-3466I and TNLBP2010-2277-3278-I, respectively). The survey was performed and the report was prepared in accordance with the Purchase Order No. 75356-9452, dated February 12, 2013.

1.1 Objectives and Scope

The principal objective of the investigation was to determine whether LBP is present in areas where the installation of exhaust fans and air conditioners are planned in the existing dwelling units. Testing was conducted in living rooms, kitchens, bathrooms containing a shower, and in a non-street facing bedroom of two-bedroom and larger units.

The scope of work for this project included conducting a surface assessment of building components in the field using a portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) instrument, and the preparation of this Limited Lead-Based Paint Inspection Report. The complex owners, managers, maintenance staff, and renovation and repair contractors may use the information in this report to ensure the environmentally compliant handling and/or disposal of all lead-containing materials, in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations.

1.2 **Building Descriptions**

Construction details of the buildings are relevant in terms of planning for renovation, and for the identification or removal of potential environmental issues. Details primarily relevant to environmental issues are addressed in the following subsections. The building descriptions are based on visual observations made during the site surveys and information obtained from construction drawings provided by the owner. The site includes two distinct phases of construction. A total of 244 existing units were constructed in the 1930's, and 191 existing units were constructed in the 1950's.

The Western Heights Apartments are located in a residential area of Knoxville within the boundaries of Vermont and Virginia Avenues to the north, McSpadden Street to the east, and Jourolman Avenue and W. Scott Street to the south. The apartment complex is located on approximately 58.75 acres, and is on rolling hills. The site has been utilized as a multi-family low income housing project since construction of the original 1939 portion of the site, which was expanded in the 1950's to include the area to the north and east. The complex contains approximately 435 apartment units and is improved with paved access roads and parking areas.

The 1939 apartment buildings are one and two-story concrete and brick buildings with sloping asphalt-shingle roofs. The interior walls are constructed of terra cotta tiles, concrete, and plaster with concrete and plaster ceilings. The doorways, windows and walls are trimmed in painted wood or concrete and the floors are finished with vinyl floor tile and linoleum. Some bathrooms have painted concrete, drywall, or fiberboard covered soffits. The kitchens have composite wood cabinetry mounted under painted drywall soffits.

The 1950's apartment buildings are one and two-story brick, block, and painted concrete buildings with sloping asphalt-shingle roofs and painted wood soffits. The interior walls are painted gypsum wallboard, drywall, and plaster. The doorways are trimmed in painted wood and the floors are finished with vinyl floor tile and linoleum. The kitchens have composite wood cabinetry.

2.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT SURVEY

Based on the date of original constructions and long history of potential renovations at Western Heights Apartments, the presence of LBP was expected to be confirmed on some interior surfaces. The following subsections present background information on Federal and State regulations for lead-based and lead-containing paint, particularly with regard to demolition and renovation; a review of the methodology used for paint analysis; and results of the LBP assessment and testing. XRF Field Data Collection Forms are provided in Appendix A.

2.1 Regulatory Framework

Most of the regulations associated with LBP inspections and hazards are related to LBP in "target housing" and "child-occupied facilities" and are administered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste Management (DSHWM) under the State's Lead-Based Paint Abatement Program. The TDEC/EPA/HUD standard for LBP indicates a positive result as any value ≥5,000 ppm total lead, ≥1.0 milligrams per square centimeter (mg/cm²) by XRF, or 0.5% by weight. Since 2009, lead-free paint has been defined as containing <90 ppm, <0.01 mg/cm² by XRF, or 0.009% by weight.

Under the EPA Renovation, Repair, and Painting (RRP) Rule, contractors performing renovation, repair and painting projects that disturb LBP in homes, multi-family complexes, child care facilities, and schools built before 1978 must be certified and must follow specific work practices to prevent lead contamination, exposure, and improper disposal. The use of firms and individuals certified with the State LBP Abatement Program may help to ensure that all appropriate State and Federal regulations associated with LBP.

The handling and disposal of materials containing LBP (e.g., LBP debris, paint chips, demolition debris, etc.) in Tennessee is regulated by the TDEC DSHWM. The state regulations mimic federal regulations under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) to RCRA. In the absence of more strict state or local regulations regarding LBP, federal regulations apply to LBP disposal activities.

The DSHWM policy is generally consistent with federal policy and regulations for LBP disposal. The presumption is that LBP means that which contains lead at concentrations ≥5,000 ppm, ≥1.0 mg/cm² by XRF, or 0.5% by weight. If the coating/paint on the building components is not LBP (<5,000 ppm or <1.0 mg/cm² by XRF), any unwanted materials can be disposed in a construction and demolition (Class IV) landfill no matter the condition. Current State regulations/policy indicate that if LBP is adhered to demolition debris surfaces and not loose or peeling, the debris can be disposed in a Class I, II, III, or IV disposal facility, and LBP removal or testing is not required to determine hazardous leaching potential before disposal. Under current State and Federal regulations, analysis by Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) is required to assess whether or not specific LBP materials (LBP dust and chips) are hazardous and whether those materials require handling and disposal as hazardous or special waste.

The determination for hazardous lead concentrations noted in the DSHWM policy involves the laboratory analysis of a representative sample of any LBP waste stream (for example, a composite sample representative of all materials to be disposed) for leachable lead according to the TCLP. The regulatory level for lead by TCLP analysis is 5.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) or ppm, which is applied to the lead concentration in the liquid extracted during the TCLP process on the LBP waste and analyzed by the laboratory. TCLP results from LBP debris that are \geq 5.0 mg/L or \geq 5.0 ppm indicate that the waste is hazardous by toxicity, and that such debris must be disposed of as hazardous waste in accordance with State and Federal regulations.

Other LBP-related rules and regulations designed to protect workers and the environment are relevant to all demolition and/or renovation, and many maintenance activities. State and Federal regulations under the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the Tennessee OSHA (TOSHA) regulate occupational exposure to lead during construction. Construction is

defined as work for construction, alteration, and/or repair, including painting and decorating. In terms of worker protection, OSHA does not recognize the LBP or lead dust standards used by TDEC/HUD/EPA for target housing and child-occupied facilities (where LBP is \geq 5,000 ppm, \geq 1.0 mg/cm² by XRF, or 0.5% by weight). OSHA considers lead detected at any concentration to be potentially hazardous to workers unless it can be demonstrated that those concentrations do not pose a hazard during work practices. In order to protect workers, OSHA established an action limit (AL) of 30 micrograms per cubic meter (μ g/m³) for an 8-hour, time-weighted average (TWA), and a permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 50 μ g/m³ (8-hour TWA) for worker exposure to lead aerosols. The PEL sets the maximum worker exposure to lead. The AL is the level at which an employer must begin certain compliance activities outlined in the standard. These standards are applicable if manual demolition of structures (e.g., walls), manual scraping, manual sanding, abrasive blasting, or use of a heat gun occurs where lead-containing coatings or paints are impacted.

2.2 Lead-Based Paint Survey Methodology and Sampling Protocol

Due to the large number of units at the Western Heights complex, a representative sample of units from each of the two construction phases was chosen randomly for assessment. The site includes two distinct phases of construction. A total of 244 existing units were constructed in the 1930's, and 191 existing units were constructed in the 1950's. Based on Table 7-3 of the 1995 HUD Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing, 1997 revision, the number of inspected units was determined to be 52 in the older structures and 49 in the newer structures. A complete list of dwelling units was obtained from site representatives and a program to generate random numbers was utilized to determine testing locations.

The assessment of LBP at the site was performed by collecting XRF measurements at 529 representative locations in the 101 units. The inspection did not include a comprehensive surface-by-surface determination of LBP employing detailed inspection protocols such as those prescribed in the HUD Guidelines (1995/1997 revisions) for target housing and child-occupied facilities. The objective was to assess LBP at a representative number of locations to facilitate the planned renovations. The inspection also included identifying potentially impacted building components, and determining substrate, finish, color, and condition. Walls were identified by designating the wall with the interior entrance doorway from the previous room as Wall A and proceeding clockwise. Field Data Collection Forms for the LBP survey are provided in Appendix A.

A Thermo-Scientific XLp 300a (Serial Number 17812) XRF instrument was utilized during the survey. This specific instrument uses a Cadmium-109 radiation source dated December 15, 2007. Radiation safety procedures were followed as required by applicable Federal, State, and

local regulations. An XRF instrument detects the lead content of paint by exposing the painted building component to x-rays, or gamma radiation, which causes lead to emit x-rays with a characteristic frequency or energy. The instrument then measures the intensity of this radiation. The calibration of the XRF instrument was verified before beginning the inspection each day, at regular intervals (at least every four hours) during the survey, and at the completion of the workday. Calibration measurements were made using known standard paint strips provided with the instrument by the manufacturer. Field calibration records are provided within the original XRF Field Data Collection Forms provided in Appendix A.

2.3 Lead-Based Paint Measurement Results

A total 52 of the older units and 49 of the newer units were tested. A surface assessment of the potentially impacted building components was performed in the field using a portable XRF instrument and lead was detected at 126 of the 529 total measurement locations. Of those, only eleven of the test locations indicated values exceeding the TDEC/EPA/HUD standard for classification as LBP (≥1.0 mg/cm² by XRF). Surfaces testing positive for LBP were found only on the soffit, wall trim, wall, or ceiling in the bathrooms of eight of the 1930's era units. Approximately 15% (8 units out of fifty-two tested) of the bathrooms in the older structures had potentially impacted LBP. No positive test results were noted in the newer structures.

All of the air conditioner installation locations and kitchen exhaust fan locations tested were negative for LBP. According to the HUD guidelines used to select the number of units tested, the testing provides a 95% confidence that less than 5% of the units might contain LBP, which qualifies the specific test locations as LBP free according to EPA and HUD exemptions. If these statistics are consistent throughout the remainder of the old units in the complex, approximately 25 to 30 additional units are likely to contain LBP in bathrooms. The expected total overall (including the ones already tested) would be approximately 35 to 40 of the 244 total older units. KCDC may choose to assume that all of the remaining untested older units (192 of them) have LBP in the potentially impacted bathrooms, or test the remaining 192 older units to limit the positive results to specific units (estimated 35 to 40 units).

Table 1 provides the locations where testing indicated LBP. XRF Field Data Collection Forms for the corresponding assay numbers are provided in Appendix A.

Table 1 - 1939 Apartment Units
Positive XRF Measurement Locations

Assay #	Sample Description & Location	Lead Content (mg/cm²)
102	Bathroom soffit – Unit 42	1.6
122	Bathroom ceiling – Unit 59	1.4
127	Bathroom soffit – Unit 63	1.1
273	Bathroom wall trim – Unit 190	3.5
274	Bathroom wall – Unit 190	2.7
275	Bathroom ceiling – Unit 190	3.2
278	Bathroom wall trim – Unit 195	2.2
297	Bathroom wall trim – Unit 213	2.5
306	Bathroom wall trim – Unit 221	2.9
307	Bathroom ceiling – Unit 221	2.9
328	Bathroom wall trim – Unit 238	2.5

 $mg/cm^2 = milligrams per square centimeter$

Note: Values exceeding the TDEC/HUD/EPA lead-based paint standard of 1.0 mg/cm²

3.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

QE² determined the number of units to test for each phase of construction based on HUD guidelines. A total 52 of the older units and 49 of the newer units were tested. Lead was detected at 126 of the 529 total measurement locations. Of those, only eleven of the test locations (in eight individual units) indicated values exceeding the TDEC/EPA/HUD standard for classification as LBP (>1.0 mg/cm² by XRF).

Based on the test results, all of the air conditioner installation locations and kitchen exhaust fan locations tested were negative for LBP. According to the HUD guidelines used to select the number of units tested, the testing provides a 95% confidence that less than 5% of the units might contain LBP, which qualifies the specific test locations as free of LBP according to EPA and HUD exemptions. Surfaces testing >1.0 mg/cm² by XRF were found only on the soffit, wall trim, wall, or ceiling in the bathrooms of eight of the 1930's era units. No positive test results were noted in the newer structures. Approximately 15% (8 units out of fifty-two tested) of the bathrooms in the older structures had potentially impacted LBP. If these statistics are consistent throughout the remainder of the old units in the complex, approximately 25 to 30 additional units are likely to contain LBP in bathrooms. The expected total overall (including the ones already tested) would be approximately 35 to 40 of the 244 total older units. KCDC may choose to assume that all of the remaining untested older units (192 of them) have LBP in the potentially

QE² Project No. 501040 Page 6

impacted bathrooms, or test the remaining 192 older units to limit the positive results to specific units (estimated 35 to 40 units).

Under the EPA's RRP Rule, contractors performing renovation, repair and painting projects that disturb LBP in homes, multi-family complexes, child care facilities, and schools built before 1978 must be certified and must follow specific work practices to prevent lead contamination and occupant exposure, including containing lead dust and debris, and testing potential waste. Some painted surfaces contain levels of lead less than 1.0 mg/cm², which could create lead dust hazards to workers if the paint is turned into dust by abrasion, grinding, scraping, or sanding. OSHA standards apply to protect worker health if lead is present at any concentration. The OSHA standard is based on a time-weighted average of exposure to lead.

For those surfaces where the coating/paint on the building components is not LBP (<5,000 ppm or <1.0 mg/cm² by XRF), any unwanted materials can be disposed in a construction and demolition (Class IV) landfill no matter the condition.

4.0 DISCLAIMER

The limited LBP survey reported herein is for the Western Heights Apartment complex site at 1621 Jourolman Avenue in Knoxville, Tennessee, and relies solely on conditions visually observed and readily accessible for sampling on February 18, 19, and 20, 2013. This report does not constitute an agreement to indemnify or insure any party against any liability of expense.

APPENDIX A XRF Field Data Collection Forms

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jossica Lindborn

Date: 2/18-19/2013

QE² Project #: 501040
Start Time/page: 9:107

Calibration Calib	B wall B wardow gum B wardow ann	Ø3 D=	3=			
0.00 0.00	B wall " B wandar amp	030	3=			
0.0 " 201-39(LE 1 25 wall 2000 " 2010 2000 " 2010 2000 " 2010 2000 " 2010 2	B wardows rum B wardows almb Cilling	030	3=			
6.0 (unit 301-39(LE) B windows graph of the color of th	B wardens ours	030	3=			
0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.00	B whole sum of the soften of t	3 0	11	1-1		
5 0.05 Balkwoon 2 & softer 1	(2) m = (2) c			= -		
0.0 Salkwoon 2 & Saft L 0.0 Kither 3 " Ciling 0.02 Kither 3 8 seft t 0.03 Kither 3 8 seft t 0.0 We believen 4 8 wall 0.0 " believen 4 8 wall 0.0 Sat-20 (10) 1 8 wall 0.0 Sat-20 (10) 1 8 wall 0.0 Sat-20 (10) 1 8 wall 0.0 Rathron 4 8 celling 0.0 Rathron 6 6 celling 0.0 Kitchen 8 6 celling	TO SOUTH		1			
5 0.0 6.0 7 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 8 0.0 9 0.0 8 0.	= CCiO	٨	_			
0.0 Kithen 3 B seff. + 0.02 Kithen 3 B seff. + 0.03 Kithen " C Wall 0.00 Unit. 304,036 A wall 0.00 Vitalian " C wall 0.00 Vitalian 4 B wall 0.01 Vitalian 4 B wall 0.02 Zat- 300 (LE) B wall 0.03 Zat- 300 (LE) B wall 0.04 Zathicom 4 B wall 0.05 Zat- 300 (LE) B wall 0.06 Zat- 300 (LE) B wall 0.07 Zat- 300 (LE) B wall	000			_		
0.02 Kithen 3 B softit 0.02 Kithen " C Wall 0.00 Unit 304-036 4 wall 0.00 Virtual " C wall 0.01 Virtual " C wall 0.02 Virtual " C wall 0.03 Virtual " C wall 0.04 304-300 (ce) B wall 0.05 Soft-300 (ce) B wall 0.06 Soft-300 (ce) B wall 0.07 Fasthroom 4 B ceiling 0.08 Kitchen 8 B call	C		11	,		
0.02 Kitchen " C Wall 0.00 Unit. 304-036 4 wall 0.00 Vitalian " C wall 0.01 Ve belown 4 B wall 0.02 Sol-300 (ce) B wall 0.03 Watchen 6 C wall 0.00 Kutchen 6 C wall 0.00 Kutchen 6 C wall		d A	3	Н		
0.0 Unit. 304-036 1 A wall abre. 0.0 Vettautes 2 B wall abre. 0.0 's belacon 4 B wall 0.0 's bath 3 E ceiling 0.0 304-30 (ie) 1 B 0.0 Tasthron 4 E ceiling 0.0 Ketcher 8 Ceiling	U	0	3	Н		
0.0 KItCheritte 2 B wall abre. 0.0 " " " C wall 0.0 " belown 4 B wall 0.0 " be bath 3 E celling 0.0 304-300 (LE) 1 B " 0.0 Tasthroom 4 E celling 0.0 Tasthroom 6 E celling		" (1	E	4		
0.0 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.06 0.07 0.07 0.08 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.08 0.09 0	B well above	1	11	*		
0.01 "6 believen 4 B wall 0.01 "6 bath 3 E ceilin 0.0 301-300 (ce) 1 B " 0.0 Bathrom 4 E ceilin 0.0 Kitchen 6 E ceilin	٥	0	1	/		
0.0 "	(2)	- 1	_			
0.0 304-340 (ce) 1 B 0.0 Parthroom 4 E ceiling 0.0 " Lutcher " C wall	D	-				
0,0 30t-300 (ce) 1 B 0,0 Pathroom 4 E ceiling 0,0 " Kitcher " C wall	2	**	_			
0.0 Tasthroom 4 & ceiling on 0.0 " c wall 0.0 Kutchen " c wall		- 11				
0.0 Kitcher " C wall	· E ceu	-	_			
0.0 Kitcher B call	J					
	ball	1.7				
1	=]	>	A	3		
Comments:	(Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete (S), Wood (W), (B), Concrete ©			100
	ĭ	Finish » Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W)	V). Stained (S),	Midew (M), Checking (E), Cracking/Flaking (C/F), Aligatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaring/Flacking (St.E., Soulon, II), Checking (B), Scaring/Flacking	g (CE), Cracking/Fl ering (B), Scaling/Fl	laking (C/F),
Page _ of	4)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	en: Intact (I), Fair	S	I Sol Bredde	

Facility Name/Addross: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindhom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

501040 GE* Project #: Start Time/page: End Time/page:

Unit No.																								ng (CA), aking (C/F), tacking	
Paint Det.																								(CE), Cracking/Fling (B), Scaling/F	pping (C-F)
Paint Cond.	14	1	/	/							_											×	0	Paint Det. = Paint Demonstram Chalong (CA), Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (CF), Aligatomg (A), Blebering (B), Scaling/Flacking	(srr), Peeing (r), Cn
Color	3	,	\	_				_	_	_		_	_						/	\	7		Vood (W),), Concrete D	Stained (S),	infact (I), Fair
Finish	a		\	/		_	_						_							-		2	e: Plaster (P), 1 all (D), Brick (B	P), Varnish (V).	aint Candison:
Sub	0	11	do	4	do	1	D	A	J	2	9	74	2	0	A	2	5	6	U	J	7	J	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Matal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete (3)	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Walpaper (W)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Inlact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)
Testing Component	Jessel	SOFIT POX	CHONOL WALL	Ceilma		wall	Codle	wall above cobinete	wall trim	redina	Lin(1)	wall term	(m)	wall	und above cab.	cull from	cedina	WALL	with When	Mac	Made	redina	7	W	
Wall	4	P	J	P	J	J	4	9	2	ها	5	J	(4	P	J	D	9	J	40	a	D			
Room	-	7	-	3		7	1	3	N	(0)	1	7	10		. 7		3	п	2	4	-	N			
Room Equivalent	301-01 (1B)	Catches	11	Pathroom	0	Bedraem	301-08 (LE)	KI+COUP	13	Dathroom of		>	Profrom	201-09	Rifelion	н	US DATE		-)	Who bedroom	30-13 (4)	Bathroom	¥ .		
>=1.0 mg/cm²																									
Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	000	0,0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0.0	0	0,06	0.00	0,0	0.14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,09	10.00	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.03			
XRF Assay #	30		70	200	24	100	96	120	200	29	is a	75(re	ecu	成	35	38		38	29	2	41	Comments:		Page Zot

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XHF Model/Sert: Niton XLp 309A/SN # 17812

LBP inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

modpon

Date: 2/18-19/2013

QE? Project #: \$01040 Start Time/page: A! 52. End Time/page:

Unit No.																								gyFlaking (C/F). gyFlacking	
Paint Det.																							38	Detenoration: Criting (CE), Crackin stering (B), Scalin	Chipping (CP)
Paint Cond.	H		\	\	_			_	_	_		_		1	٨	マア	.14	11	Н	Н	-	>		Paint Det. = Paint Detendration: Chaking (U.A.), Mildew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (C/F), Aligatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking	(S/F), Pealing (P),
Color	63		/	/		-		_	-	_						_					0		Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W). Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©), Stained (S),	(Intact (I), Fair
Finish	a	0	\	/			_	_	_					/	/			_	_	70.11		2	ate: Plaster (P), wsll (D), Brick (Finish = Paint (P), Vamish (V), Stained (S), Wallcaner (W)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition; Intact (!), Fair (F), Poor (P)
Sub.	J	W	(A	0	``		A.	C.	0	J	0	2	J	A	J	J	0	U	U	U	A	J	Sub. = Substr Metal (M). Dry	Finish = Paint	Paint Cond = (F), Poor (P)
Testing Component	uall	wall trim	wall alove cabriets	wall trim	Wern	wall	wall above cals water	wall thin	Collin	l Mach	Him	wall	hach	wall above cab.	wall trim	calina	wall)	wall train	Wall	Wall	wall above cab	WAII 4/20		© F2	
Wall	a	11	a	2	→	¥	A	S	Q	2	J	A	*	A	2	W	Ð	7	A	A	0	ں			
Room	el	- 1.	7		->	_	N	4	9	£	11	10) -	N	£.	10	1	7	4	-	4	0			
Room Equivalent	301-13 ENTER	1.0	Kitaber		7	301-16 (12)	Kitaser	11	16 bithoon	, -	シ	We bedingon	301-10 (12)	3		Up bathroom		>	1/s Bedroom	301-22 (LR)	Kitchen	Kitchen			
>=1.0 mg/cm²																						18144			
Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	10.0	60	0,0	6.02	100	0.0	80	10.0	0,0	0.0	0.06	0.0	0	0,0	0,0	0.01	0,0	0,6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.03			
XRF Assay #	26	3	(COD)	克			85	64	29	10	25		力	100	250	57	58	29	00)	10)	62	3	Comments:		Page Of

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Serit: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jowick, Jessica Lingbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

-19/2013

GE² Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 10 & 0

100000000000000000000000000000000000000	The state of the s	The section of the section of			1							
XRF Assay #	(mg/cm²)	mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
49	0.0		Kitchen	N	U	Vall	C	0	3	H		
65	0,0		1/s Bath	6	U	Ceiling	0		-	J		
99	0,04		1/5 Bath	5	J	Wall Hom	U	-	-	U		
19	0.0		τς 11	5	J	Wall	U	,	3	C/F		
89	0,0		1/5 Bed room	4	A	Wall	J	d	3	SIL		
69	0.0		301-025 (LR)	_	A	Wall	U	d	3	H		
70	0.0		Kitchen	6	8	above Cab,	A	Q	Z	_		
1/	10,0		11 11	d	U	Tolm	0	9				
73	0.0	- 17	11 11	7	U	Wall	U	_				
73	0.10		1/s Bath	~		Ce. I're	J					
74	0.01			m	J	Trim	ں	_	-			
75	0.0		>	3	ں	Wall	٥					
16	0,0		1/2 Bedroom	7	8	Wall	U	_	_			
77	0.0		301-27 (LR)		A	Wall	U					
28	0.0		Kitchen	76	D	Wall above cab.	A	_				
79	0.0		>	7	Ü	Trìm	J	_				
20	0.0		>	6	U	Wall	U					
18	0.0		1/5 Buth	N		Ceiling	J					
83	0.06			m	ں	Trim 0	7					
83	0,0		\	m	U	Wall	U					
198	0.0		1/5 Bed	4	U	Wall	J					
88	0.0		301-33 /LR	_	A	Wall	U	-	-	_		
Comments:			,				Sub. = Substrat Metal (M), Dryw	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Vood (W),			
							Finish = Paint (Wallpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W)	Stained (S),	Mildew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking Flaking (CF), Allgatoring (B), Stating Flaking (CF), Cracking Flaking (CF), Cracking Flacking (CF), Cracking (CF),	(CE), Cracking/Fl ing (B), Scaling/Fl	aking (C/F), acking
Page 4 of							Paint Cond = P (F), Poor (P)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	intact (I), Fair	Property (Log)	(Lo) finds	

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

501040 OE² Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: (045 End Time/page: [1:20

Unit No.																								alking (CA), g/Flaking (C/F), g/Flacking	
Paint Det.																								g (CE), Cracking find (B), Scaling (B), Scaling	(An) Buildiu
Paint Cond.	(-																						a.	Paint Det. = Paint Datemoration: Challing (CA), Mildew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (CF), Aliganoning (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking	(SvF), Pasing (P), Chipping (CP)
Color	3	-		_	-		-							_			_				_	_	food (W), Concrete ©	Stained (S),	ntact (II). Fair
Finish	d					_				_		_	_				_						s: Plaster (P), W all (D), Brick (B)	, Vamish (V).	aint Condition: h
Sub.	0	C	0	U	0	A	U	J	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	U	P	J	J	C	U	U	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Finish = Paint (P), Vamish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I) Fair
ponent	cab.					cabo																	K	7	
Testing Component	above		1	0		above			187	0				2		Sec.	0			_				T	
	Z	-	Čei lin	Wall	Wall	Wall	Trim	Well	Certi	100	Wall	Mall	Wall	Trim	Mall	Celling	SARIT	Trim	Mal		- Par	Trim			-0
Wall	A	U		A	4	Α.	U	U		U	U	A	A	0	J	5=6	TAC	A	P	U	V	C			
Room	8	7	Ь	7		N	8	d	٥	9	و	Ч	-	7	a	14	,	h	6	er.	-	8			
Room Equivalent	Kitchen	->	Path	->	301-37 (LR)	Kitcheo		>	V/s Bath		<u></u>	1/5 Bed	301-42 (LR	Kitchen	シ	Bath		200	->	Beal	301-54 (LR)	Kitchen			
×=1.0 mg/cm²																	×								
Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	0.0	00 03	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,03	0,0	0.15	0.03	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.04	0.0	D.0	9 1/	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0			
XRF Assay #	98	23		8	90		9	63	46	36	96	47	86	66	100	101	103	(63	104	105	901	107	Comments:		

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

QE* Project #: Start Time/page: End Time/page:

501040

Paint Det. = Paint Detendration: Chalking (CA).
Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (C/F),
Aligatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking
(SF), Peeling (P), Chioping (CP) Unit No. Paint Det, Paint Cond. Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywal (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P) Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W) Color 3 3 3 Finish 0 0 Sub. = É Testing Component Wall Him TOM Ceiling Cellina <0+8+0> Wall Celling Ceiline Nat Wall Wall UM 0 100 1000 Sal 200 Wall 0 00 1 -08 0 M T 13 N N 200 Κ 9 Room Equivalent K. tehen Kither るものと V/S Batio Bath 800 301-63 8-18 301-Bath Bath = Bed 0.00 0.99 0.05 0.02 0.0 Lead Conc. (mg/cm²) 0,0 0,00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0,0 0 0 7. 0 0 0 ċ XRF Assay # 39 128 80 123 Page 6 of 13 24 3 97 127 60 611 19 26 0

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Serv: Niton XLp 300A/Sn # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

8-19/2013

0E* Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: | 2: 09 | 1:15 End Time/page: | 14:00

0.0 20125 kithen is a coall fring c f is 12 II to 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sab	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.	
6.0 (albatha RAM 3570 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	138	0,0			7	0	nall	2	G	63	H			
6.0 Calbatha SRM 3570 0.0 Relation A wall from C P 1, V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	121	0,0		~	4	14	+ 1))		2	1			
0.0 9.0 9.0 1.1 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	132	0.0		Calibration			Z.			-				
0.0 29-68-(4) A 1024 C P 10 II V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	(33	0.0												
0.0 24-68-(4) 4 1 4 1 24 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	134	0.0		⇒			->.		2	2	>>			
0.0 Bath 3 C trim 0.01 Bed 4 C trim 0.02 Beth 2 C trim 0.01 Bed 4 C trim 0.02 Beth 2 C trim 0.02 Beth 4 C trim 0.03 Beth 4 C trim 0.04 Beth 4 C sefert 0.05 Beth 4 C sefert 0.05 Beth 4 C sefert 0.06 trim to a trim to	1001	0.0		-		4	Wall	J	Œ	Cn	+			
0.00 8ath 3 C Trim 0.01 Bed 4 C Lim 0.01 Co P W C 0.02 Lin 0.03 Collist 0.04 Co P W C 0.05 Collist 0.05 Collist 0.06 Co P W C 0.07 Collist 0.07 Collist 0.08 Collis 0.08 Collist 0.08 Collist 0.08 Collist 0.08 Collist 0.08 Co	图	0.0		Kildrer	7	J	well then	-	-	,				
0.01 6.01 6.01 6.02 6.02 6.03	123	0.0				11	Sall							
0.01 8ed 9.01 8ed 9.02 6.03 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	138	0.0		Bath	m	U	Wall	J						
0.01 Bed 4 Ceiliag 0.00 Wall 1 1 Wall 4 C Wall 0.01 1 1 Wall 4 C Wall 0.01 1 1 Wall 1 1 Wall 0.02 1 1 Wall 0.03 1 1 1 Wall 0.05 1 1 1 Wall 0.05 1 1 1 Wall 0.05 1 1 1 Wall 0.07 1 1 1 Wall 0.07 0.08 Wall 0.09 Wall 0.09 Wall 0.18 Eath 4 B Wall Frim 0.18 Eath 1 B Wall Frim 0.19 Eath 1 B Wall Frim 0.19 Eath 1 B Wall Frim 0.19 Eath 1 B Wall Frim 0.18 Eath 1 B Wall Frim Consider Cheener (N) States (B). Conceive (CE). Checking (CE). Checking (CE). Frieder (CE). Wanter (CE). Checking (CE). Frieder (CE). Wanter (CE). Checking (CE). Frieder (CE). Wanter (CE). Frieder (CE). Wanter (CE). Checking (CE). Frieder (CE). Wanter (CE). Frieder (CE). Wanter (CE). Checking (CE). Frieder (CE). Wanter (CE). Frieder (CE). Friedra (CE). Frieder (CE). Frieder (CE). Frieder (CE). Frieder (CE). Friedra (CE).	139	0.0			2	U	Trim			_				
0.01 Bed 4 C wall C C P W C 0.02 Watcher 2 C wall trim 0.03 Wall 0.03 Wall 0.04 W D Grid trim 0.05 Kiture 2 C wall trim 0.05 Kiture 2 C wall trim 0.05 Kiture 2 C wall trim 0.06 Watcher 100, the World Will Watch (W), Swaled (W), Swaled (C), Swaley (Ca),	(유	0,00			9		100		1	1	, ,			
0.0 between 2 c wish this between 2 c wish t	1	0.0		Bed	7	J	1	J	0	3	, (
0.0 Little 2 C 25ff; Wall from 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	241	0.0		7	. –	0	wed	,		/	1			
0.07 8ath 4 C Seffit 0.17 8ath 14 D Wall 0.18 0.18 8ath 25 C Wall frim 0.18 8ath 4 B Wall frim Sub = Subtrate Plaste (P), Vanial (V), Sained (S), Releasing (C), Planted (CE), Plasteng (CE), Planted (CE),	52	0.0		41 den	2	J	00360			_				
0.17 6.22 11 4 D Wall 6.23 11 4 D Wall 6.23 11 6.23 11 7 D Wall 7 D Wall 6.23 11 7 D Wall 7 D Wall 6.23 11 7 D Wall 7 D Wall 7 D Wall 6.23 11 7 D Wall 7 D Wal 7 D Wall 7	144	0		11	12		_	ゔ						
6.23 (1) 4 D Line C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	145	0.17		Bath	h	V	1	Ä						Wood
6,22 11	五	0.0		1.1	Н	P	wall	0	_	_				50 F.R.
0.05 Kiture 2 C wall thin 1 C V V V V V O.13 Bath Y B Woll trin Cond = Paint Condition: tribed (%). Paint Cond = Paint Condition: tribed (%). Fair	147	6,27			ÿ	A	Lim.	7		_	_			
0.05	148	0.0		1		0	Wass	J	>	7	>- -			
D.0 13 Bath 4 B Wall frim C U Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Finish = Paint (M), Stained (S), Walpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	1	0,05		KITCHER	d	J	_	-	-					
Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Oxywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Finish = Parrit (P), Varritsh (V), Stained (S), Walperper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair	150	0.0					2							
Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metral (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Waltpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condison: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	0	0,13		Bath	Н	8		J	-)		>			
Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Walpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	Comments:							Sub. = Substrat Metal (M), Dryws	e: Plaster (P), M all (D), Brick (B)		13			
Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Infact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)								Finish = Paint () Walpaper (W)	P), Varnish (V),		Paint Det, = Paint De Mildew (M), Chacking Aligatoring (A), Blister	HCE), Cracking/Flaking (B), Scaling/Flaking (B), Scaling/Flacing	(CA), ing (C/F), king	
	to Z offed							Paint Cond = P (F), Poor (P)	aint Condison: II		(S/P), Peeling (P), Ch	ipping (CP)		

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Sert: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindhom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

9-19/2013

QE* Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 14.00 End Time/page: 14.95

0.12	XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Dot,	Unit No.	
0.0	. 0	0,12		Bath	Н		Ceilina/	U	d	73	7			
0.0 201-77(L) C wall from wall from C wall from wall from wall from wall from wall from wall from wall	200	0.0		>	7	8	Wall of	-	\	-				
0.00 (4401e)	154	0.0		7	. –	r'	8	_	\		-			
0.00 0.05 0.08 0.00 1	15 10	6,0		Kitchen	8	U	wall		_	/	_			
0.05 0.00	156	0.01		•	=		7	_		\	_			
0.0 4 D 1/2 6/2/12 C	167	0,05		BAT	h	A	15	7		/				
0.0 1 KHAREL 3 C Wall Time C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	158	0'0			6	А	9	Plastic			_			Plactic
0.0 (2.0 (2.0) (2.	100	0.0			17	U	=	U	_)
0.0 Bed Wall Trin C C Collise C Wall Trin C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	160	0.0		00	_	K	well	J	_		_			
0.0 Earls 3 C Wall Time C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0	0.0		KHBren	જ	J	was trim	9	_		_			
0.0 Bed U B Wall C C 0.0 Bed U B Wall C C 0.0 Sol-qu(12) I A wall C C C C C C 0.0 Sol-qu(12) I A wall C C C C C C C 0.0 Sol-qu(12) I A wall C C C C C C C C 0.0 Sol-qu(12) I A wall C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	162	0,0		÷	17	11	wall	J	_		_			
0.0 8ed 4 8 wall 0.0 8ed 4 8 wall 0.0 8ed 4 8 wall 0.0 10 8ed 4 8 wall 0.0 10 8ed 4 8 wall 0.0 2 Ceiline 0.0 2 Lettine 0.0 3 Lettine	63	0.0		Bath	3	U	10	U	_					
0.0 8ed 4 (2) A male C C C C C C C C C C	1591	0,0			M	U	-	J		_				
0.0 Bed 4 (2) A mall C C Mall C C C Mall C Mall C Mall C Mall C	591	0.0		>			- 2	O		_				
0.00 State	991	0.0		Bed	H	В	Wall	S		_				
0.01 Bath 6 C wall 0.02 Bed 4 B wall 0.02 Lettine 0.03 Sub-status Plaster (P), Word (W). Sub-status Plaster (P), Peeling (P), Chipping (CP).	167	0,0		/	1	4	Mach	3		_				
0.12	891	0.0		KHOUN	2	S	March	7						No Hin
0.12 8ed 4 B Wh V 0.02 2.C WM 0.02 Letting	691.	0.01		Bath	6	U		J						
6.0 Bed 4 B Wall 6 6.0 301-97 (LR) 1 B Wall 6.02 Letting 2 C wall 8ub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete 6 Finish = Paint (M), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condison: Infact (I), Fair	170	0.13		>	a		,5	U						
0.02 Let Mark 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2	171	0.0		Bed	7	B	Walk	_			_			
Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Finish = Paint (P), Varrish (V), Stained (S), Walpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Infact (I), Fair (IP), Poor (P)	(93	0,0		1	-	0	wall	>	/	5)			
Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Inlact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	173	20.0		(crtology)	2	J	well	п	0	4	1			
Fluish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Walipaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Infact (I), Fair (IF), Poor (P)	Comments:						Í	Sub. = Substrate Metal (M), Drywe	r: Plaster (P), W # (D), Brick (B),	4	of solo	, and a second	100	
Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Inlact (I), Fair (IF), Poor (IP)								Finish = Paint (F Walipaper (W)), Varnish (V),	100	Mildew (M), Checking Alligatoring (A), Bliste	(CE), Cracking/Fla	siding (CiF).	
	Page 2 of							Paint Cond = Pa (F), Poor (P)	int Condition: It	7.5	o Carbona de Co	Look Breede		

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Sera: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

OE* Project #: \$01040 Start Time/page: 14-35 End Time/page: 1500

XRF Assay #	Lead Conc.	0,14	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Totalina Promonana	į	11.00			100	
	(mg/cm²)	mg/cm²	Wildeline by the second	Number	Number	lesting component	egig.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
7	0,03		301-97 KHELON	-6	ζ,	world House	0	U	3	4		
175	0.01		Bath	Wy	U	2,5	U	-	-	U		
176	01.0		BAHL	10	U	Wall trim	S	d	3	Н		
77	0.12		Bath	4)	Ceiline	J	0	3	H		
178	0.0		Bed	7	U	Wall	J	-	-	-		
179	0.0		201-104 (UR)	. —	9	wall	14	_				
0	0,0		Kitdeen	7	, J	Kx O	11	_				
181	0.07		11	ų	11	1000 Him						
189	0		Profly vocon	4	Θ	CUCCI	11.					
183	0.0			h	U	+4705	A					
184	0,02		>	7	A	Wall Trim	U					
185	0.0		· ->	h	D	3	U					
9.81	0,0		301-106 (12)	_	3	00000	J					
187	0.01		Litaen	d	3	war 1 trim	13					
188	0.0		n	4		(Back)	*					
681	6.03		Bath	M	8	Wall Trim	U					
140	0.0			m	8	Wall	U					
161	0.0		->	M	M	Ceiling	U			_		
192	0'0		301-118(12)	_	(" long	./4		_			
193	0.0		Krteen,	И	0	wall trini	U	_				*
194	0.0		11		1	wall	O	20	_	-		44)
195	0.03		Bath	3	ں	Wall Trim	U	d	3	Н	501	
Comments:							Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	te: Plaster (P), 1 all (D), Brick (B		With the second		
							Finish = Paint (Walpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Vamish (V), Stained (S), Waltpaper (W)	100	Paint Det = Paint Deterioration: Chalking (CA), Mildew (M), Checking (CE), Chacking-Flaking (CF), Aligatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling-Flacking	(CE), Cracking/F ring (B), Scaling/F	ng (CA), taking (C/F), tacking
Page of							Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	Paint Condition:	1000	(arr), reesing (P), Ci	(Ac) Buddi	
					1							

Facility Namo/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

ss -

QE² Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 75.07 End Time/page: 75.33

The state of the s												
XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	>=1.0 mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
961	0.01		Bath	m	U	11/0/11	(d		+		
197	0.01			m		U 10	1		*	1.		
361	0.0		Bed	7	2	Wall	_	_	1			
199	0,0		301-129 (12)	_	B	00,000		-				
900	0,0		KItcher	N	0	wall frin						
-	0.01		1.1	٤	=	Mon	7	6	1	R		
203	0.03		Bath	3	U	W/a + 500	C	9	111	1-		
203	0.0			5	U	-		,	3 -	1		
704	0.06		\Rightarrow	3	U	1 201/12						
205	0.0		Bed	4	J		×		_			
300	0.0		301-130(LB)		F	well	J					
207	0.0		Kitchen	ল্	D	wint more	\					
30%	0.02		1,	11	2	6	\		_			
500	0.0		Bath	6)	U	Wall tring		_	-			
07/0	0.0			ſΛ	U	Wall			-			
7	0.01		>	2	e	(0)		_				
2/2	0,0		Bed	h	A	10						
2/2	0,0		301-139 (12)	_	0	WA(_	-	-			
214	0.0		Lithren	7	5	wall trim	>					
n T	0,0			7	2	1xxl				.>		
210	0.0		BARNOOM	M	U	1/4 11 trim			-	C		
2/2	0.0		>	M	J)	>	>	5	10		
Comments:						100	>		2	J		
						S	Sub. = Substrab Metal (M), Dryw.	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	350	Paint Det - Paint Date	and continues (Phallippe	14.67
						1	Finish = Paint (F Walpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Walpaper (W)		Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (CF), Aligatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking	(CE), Cracking/Fla	king (C/F), cking
Page 10 of					7.5XV		Paint Cond = Paint (F), Poor (P)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)		(Sr.), Pesing (P), Chi	pping (CP)	9
					1		CONTRACTOR NO.					

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/SN# 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

-19/2013

OE' Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 15.3.5 End Time/page: 16.00

Unit No.																								ing (CA), laking (C/F), flacking	
nd. Paint Det.																								(CE), Cradung/Fring (B), Scaling/Fring (B), Scaling	de la constanta
Paint Cond.	U	I	-		8	(-1							2.	U	(4	-			2	H	-	-	C sector	Mildew (M), Checking (E), Cracking/Flaking (C/F), Alligation (R), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking	in ful Amond fuel
Color	3	Y	-		>	3	,			-								_					Nood (W),	Stained (S),	intact (I), Fair
Finish	0	1			>	9								_	_						-	S	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywell (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Walipaper (W)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)
g	U)		>	F	U	,					>	U	,	/		_			U	٠	0	Sub. = Substra Metal (M), Dryv	Finish = Paint (W)	Paint Cond = F (F), Poor (P)
Testing Component	Cillian	Wall	Sall	well trim	wall above rabinets	Ceiline	Wall Frim	Wall	Mail	well	Who from	Wall	Wall Trim	Ceilla	Wall	Wall	house	wiell trim	Maco	Wall trim	Ceiling	Wall			
Wall	O	C	9	0	B		U	S	A	9	0	5	C	U	J	A	4	2	'n	U		A			
Room	53	7	-	7	11	6	3	a	4	_	d	11	9	M	7	h		4	'n	3		6			
Room Equivalent	Bath	Bod	201-142 (D)	Kitcher	=	Bath		>	Bed	301-144 (12)	4 Acres		Bath	_	->	Bed .	301-152(UR)	Kitcher"	2	Bath	Bath	Bed			
mg/cm ²																									
Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.44	0	0.01	0.97	6,04	0.03	0.0	0.02	0,0(0.02	0.00	0.0	0,0	0,0	0,07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
XRF Assay #	318	219	g g	22	285	323	724	335	326	782	37%	1926		33	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	Comments:		Page 📙 of

XRF Data Collection Form

XRF Field Data Collection Form

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Nilon XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Javick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

518-19/2013

GE* Project #: 501040
Start Time/page: 16.00

340		Section of the sectio	The sales		300	C. STANDARD							
0.0 Set 154 (12) 1 2 wall trim 0.02 wither 3 8 wall trim 0.03 with 3 8 wall trim 0.04 set 1	# Ken	(mg/cm²)	mg/cm²		Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sab	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
0.0 both 3 & Wall trim 0.02	0	0.0		1	-	æ	Muca	2	a	3	I		
0.0		000		KIL-ORDA	4	0	was trim	-	\	,			
0.0 Litelan Lath	2	0,0		4	2	12	Wald		_				
0.01 Vitalian 0.02 Vitalian 0.03 Sath 1 D Wall frim 0.03 Sath 1 D Wall frim 0.04 0.05 Sath 1 D Wall frim 0.05 Sath 1 D Wall frim 0.06 0.00 Vitalian Vitali	N	0.1		- 1	3	B	-						
0.0	1	0,03			1.	q	.5		_				
0.05 Bath 0.05 Bath 0.06 Calibration 0.0 Shot Calibration 0	10	0.01		156	_	A	9		_				
0.03 62th 4 D Wall Trian Ceiling 0.03 62th 4 D Wall Trian Ceiling 0.00 62th 62th 7 Ceiling 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0,0		1/2	d	0	0						
0.05 Bath 4 D Wall Tim Ceiling 0.0 Calibration 0.0 Start Calibration 0.0 Co.0 Sp1-167 (LP) A wall 0.0 Litelier 0.0 Latelier 0.0 Bed 0.0 Ceiling 1	3	0.01		11	ą	4	8	_	1	A	->		
0.03 Calibration O.0 Start Calibration O.0 Start Calibration O.0 Start Calibration O.0 Eight O.0 Eight O.0 Eight O.0 Eight O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Start O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Start O.0 Early O.0 Start O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Early O.0 Start	-00	0.05		Bath	7	A	Wall Tan	U	d	3	17		
0.0 Calibration 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.07 0.08 0.07 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09	~	0		Bath	4		Certise	z`	2	Si.	13		
0.0 201/67 (LP) A WACO 0.0 201-168 (LP) A WACO 0.0 301-168 (LP) A WACOO 0.0 4 WACOO 0.0 4 WACOO 0.0 4 WACOO 0.0 5 WACOO 0.0 5 WACOO 0.0	1	0.0		Calibration			0	_	-		->		
0.0 201-167 (LP) 1 A WARD C 2 7 W 0.0 201-167 (LP) 1 A WARD C 2 7 W 0.0 201-168 (LP) 1 A WARD C 2 B WARD (P), Mood (W), Marie (W), Concrete O Finish = Pair (P), Mond (W), Status (P), S		- 0		Start Calibration			n		-				
0.0 Exterior & C wall Trin 0.0 Ext. 0.0 Critical 0.0 Critical 0.0 Sed		10.0						_	_	_			
0.0 Litelan 3 C Wall Trim 0.0 Litelan 3 C Wall Trim 0.0 Bed C Wall Trim 0.0 Bed S D Wall 0.0 Litelan 2 D Wall 0.0 Substante Plaster (P), Wood (V), Wallstein (P), Wood (V), Wallstein (P), Wood (V), Wallstein (P), Wantah (V), Stained (S), Wallstein (P), Wantah (V), Earl (P), Wantah (V)		0,0		ð			7			٥			
0.0 Buth 6 C Wall Trim C P 0.0 Sed S D Wall 0.0 Sed S D W	0	0.0		\sim	-	4	Made	0	(~	3	+		
6 C Wall Trim 6.0 Bed 7.0 CP Ind	_	0.0		Litcher	28	0	WAR! 4100		-	`	-		
6 C Wall Trim 6 C Ceiling 6 C Ceiling 6 C Ceiling 6 C Ceiling 7 D Wall 7 D		0,0		6.1	Ξ	0	Wald	_	-	_			
6.0 & CP, ling 0.0 & Bed 0.0 & Sol-168(LR) 1 A wall 0.0 Litalize 2 & Wall + rin Sub. = Substrate Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Crywall (D), Brite (B), Concrete G. Finish = Paint (P), Varrish (V), Stalned (S), Wallpaper (W) Paint Cond. = Paint Condition, Print (P), Paint Condition, Print (P), Paint Condition, Print (P), Paint Cond.	2	0.0		Bath	9	U	-	C	0				
0,0 8cd C D D Wall C C A WALL C C A WALL C C A WALL C C C C C A WALL C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		0.0		->	9	15		-					
0.0 3の1-168(LE) 1 A WA! + rin- Substrate Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brite (B), Concrete © Finish - Paint (P), Varrich (V), Stalned (S), Wallpager (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition, Infact (I), Fair	10	0,0		Bed	5	A	10	J					
O. O Litable 2 C will trive Sub. = Substrate; Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Crywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete G Finish = Paint (P), Varrish (V), Stalmed (S), Wallpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Plaster (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	0			-		¥	WK []	_					
Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Slahred (S), Wallpaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Infact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	7			Litalian	2	9	さたな		-	*	7		
Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Walipaper (W) Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Infact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	超							Sub. = Substra Metal (M), Dryv	tte: Plaster (P), vall (D), Brick (E		0.00	· ·	
Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Infact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)								Finish = Paint Walpaper (W)	(P), Varnish (V)	Tage 1	Midew (M), Checking Aligatoring (A), Bliste	(CE), Cracking/F ring (B), Scaling/F	aking (C/F).
	10							Paint Cond =) (F), Poor (P)	Paint Condition:	Current	in the second se	100 500	

Facility Name/Address: Western Helghts XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbam

Date: 2/18-19/2013

013

OE* Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 10.35 End Time/page: 10.3

Unit No.																								g (CA), king (C/F), cking	
Paint Det.																								enoration: Challon (CE), Cracking/Fla ng (B), Scaling/Fla	pping (CP)
Paint Cond.	1	-			A	H	-		->	H		Н	_	>	1-1	_	2	17	-			چ		Paint Det. = Paint Deterioration: Challding (CA), Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (C/F), Alligatoring (A), Bissering (B), Scaling/Flacking	(S/F), Peeling (P), Chi
Color	3				>	3			3	3	10	>		9	3	_	2	3	_			9	9	(Vol.)	1
Finish	9	_			>	d	1		Þ	٥	1,1	Q		4	٥	_	_	4	-			يد	:: Plaster (P), W III (D), Brick (B),	7), Vamish (V), S	ant Condition: In
Sub.	U	1		_	راحت.	A	C'	-	4	J		U		_	А	U	U	J	/	_		7	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Finish = Paint (P), Vamish (V), Stained (S), Watcomer (W)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)
Testing Component	Wall trim	(eiling	War 200	ODWIT	w.00 4115	SACR+	Wall Trim	WRED	wind drive	Wall trin	00,00	Ceiling	100mm	will frim	Saffit	Wall Trom	Wal	(eiling	0 0000	Wall Tim	Wall told	Celling/		1 2	
Wall	J	0	O	¥	ر	U	A	4	U	U	A	(0)	0	J	U	(-)	U	D	A	U	U	10		I	
Room	η	9	H	1	d	Н	Н	_	a	15)	h	5	-	N	7	4	5	Ь	_	d	5	5			
Room Equivalent	Bath	Bath	Bed	30-172 (LD)	Kitcher	Bath	Bath	201-175(12)	Kuf dren	Bath	Bed	Bath	301-190 (Lipsy	Keldner	Bath	Bath	Bath	Bath	301-195 (12)	Kitcher	Bath	Bath			
o.t=< mg/cm²																X	×	λ			×				
Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.7	3.0	0,0	0,0	2.3	0.0			
XRF Assay #	258	333	260	261	292	263	264	265	300	267	3708	269	370	118	372	373	77.75	375	276	The	3118	279	Comments:		Page 201

Facility Name/Address: Wostern Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

OE² Project #: S01040 Start Time/page: // S = 1/9//3 End Time/page: // S 5

									3.0		-	
XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	>=1.0 mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sep	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
280	0.0		Bath	5	J	Wa!	U	0	3	+		
180	0,0		Bed .	11	0	Wall	-		,	-		
383	0,0		301-196 (12)	1	*	0000		_	_			
283	0,0		Ketare	-7	J	wall from	7			2		
784	0.0		Bath	η	U	Wall Trim	U	_		H		
285	0.0		Bed	1	U	Wall	,)	_		7.		
386	0,0		301-203 (LR)	_	4	Mac/						
287	0,0		Ket Cher	4	J	Wall trim						
388	0,0		Bath	5	U	Wall Trim						
289	0.0		Bath	5		Ceiling		prima				
390	0.0		Bed ,	1	U	Wall 0						
291	0,0		301-310(12)	-	ω	0000			_	_		
292	6		1	24	,	wall (nothin)						
293	0.0		Bash	(0)	U	Woll + C/M		_		_		
794	0.0	,	Bed	5	A	Wall	and the last	_				
295	0		301-213 (LR)	_	t)	Maco						
960	00		Litcher	a		12 all this						
297	D. 5	×	Bath	17	0	Wall Him				0		
308	0,0			Н		(Cel 1700)				11		
099	0.0		<i>→</i>	4	А		_			1.		
300	0.0		301-314 (P)			well	-			1		
301	0,0		Kirk dier			waxed trivia	0	×	>	-		
Comments:							Sub. = Substrat Metal (M), Dryw	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©		-		
						0	Finish = Paint (Waltpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W)	1500	Paint Det. = Paint Detentration: Chaking (CA), Mildew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (CF), Aliganing (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking	(CE), Cracking/F ring (B), Scaling/F	ng (CA). laking (C/F). lacking
Page //of							Paint Cond = P (F), Poor (P)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	770-13	STATE STATE OF THE	(Loc) Standards	
							A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR					

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/16-19/2013

OE² Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 1.35 End Time/page: 1.2 0 ≥

Paint Det. = Paint Detentoration: Chalking (CA), Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (C/F), Aligatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking (S/F), Peeting (P), Chipping (CP) Unit No. Paint Det. J 9 Paint Cond. -> Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete © Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P) Finish = Paint (P), Vamish (V), Stained (S), Walipaper (W) Color Finish Sub. 19 5 **Testing Component** Triba 777 Tries 4005 MID wall trim Wall Trim WALL Trim 10:00 Celling Ceilina Mall Ceiling 700 CADACA) DONCH W/a.ll 000 DACI Wall Net Wal Ma 1/2 3 COa 3 Wall 0 0 0 6 1 < 0 Room 4 5 1 I d 0 2 1 46 M 50 9 (" N Room Equivalent 301 3023 301-1235 Kutoper kifeler 12+ dues たで-1元 Bath KITCHON Bath 122-100 Bath Bath Bath BAHL Bed Bed PATA -mg/cm² M Lead Conc. (mg/cm²) 0 0 0,0 00 0 0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 C ó 0 0 C 0 XRF Assay # Page 5 of 200 322 300 300 nents: 83 288 83 100 309 500 2007 3/2 316 30 3 のか 314 200 20

Paint Det. = Paint Deterioration; Chalking (CA), Midlew Mr. Chresking (CE), CrackingFlashing (CF), Astigatom (A), Bissering (B), ScaalingFlashing (SF), Peating (P), Chipping (CP)

Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©

0

Ceiling

Wall

40

304- 252/16

0

ments:

XI+Cher

(4)

Bath Bed

0.0 0.0

343

34

393 344

(IN

Sol

Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (l), Fair (F), Poor (P)

Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W)

XRF Field Data Collection Form

XRF ModeVSer#: Niton XLp 300A/SN# 17812 Facility Name/Address: Western Heights

XRF Assay #

24

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

Unit No. QE² Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 78,05 End Time/page: 1935 Paint Det. Paint Cond. 7 1 Color > 3 3 Finish 0 Sub. 1. 9 no trim **Testing Component** 1212 toler Wall trim Piline Mari Mail Cell 1000 coal 1/2/1 Wall 500 Wal 0 SOR NON 500 Wall 0 (A) 0 5 Room W 3 2 7 M N 2 0 0 N D Room Equivalent 304-349 Chos Kitchalor Bath KITCher なのか Bath SOI-340 Bath Bath Bed Bed Beh Bea 201d >=1.0 mg/cm² 0 Lead Conc. (mg/cm²) 0 000 0.0 0.0 0 0 00 0.0 0 0,0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3 Ó

0

329 330

300

0

227

220

C

334

33

335 336

333

23

338

337

339

Je SE

Page 16 of

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jassica Lindborn

Date: 2/18-19/2013

18-19/2013

OE² Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 1/3 3 6 End Time/page: 1440 2

	I need Power	7		1000	11000 E		Out months		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	afedami pin	704	
XRF Assay #	(mg/cm²)	mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Surb.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
346	10.0	40.—C	204-203 Bath	5	U	Wall	U	9	3	+		
547	0.0		Rath	4		(P) lan	0		1	-		
378	0,0		Sed	3	А	1200 d		1	_	_		
28.0	0,0		304-25g (LR)	_	A	0000		_	_	_		
330	010		Ketelres	N	J	, 4 ,		_				
Z	0,0		Calles	+	=				_			
353	0,0		perhossin	0	9	11	_					
23	0.0		204-260 (LR)	1	οA	Wash	>					
200	0,0		Litebra	K	J	wall	_			_		
3000	0,0		both	+	A	WALL						
38	0.01		304-362 (12)	·	4	Ball		_	_			
2000	0,0		KItcher,	2	ی		>	_		NA CASCARDA		
200	0.0		Sathroom	6	0	1000	A	_	-			
853	0,02			4	ω	goro	_		_			
360	0.0		301-268 (LR)	_	*	-		_				
5001	0,0		24 den	И	O	-			_			
362	0,0		ballancers	0	J				, mention			
262	0.0		ndroom	ל	0	LI LI	2	£	-			
28	0,0		204-071 (LE)	. –	*	11				-		
385	0.0		Kitchen	9	0	, and			_			
2100	0,0			3	2	1)		1	79			
307	0,0		macroport	カ	P	11	>	×	22	7		
Comments:							Sub. = Substrat	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W),	and (W).			
							Metal (M), Drywi	all (D), Brick (B),	Concrete ©	Paint Det. = Paint Deterioration: Challong (CA),	eriorstian: Challoi	ng (CA).
							Finish = Paint (F Walpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Vamish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W)		Mildew (M). Checking (CE). Cracking/Flaking (CF). Altigationing (A). Blastering (B). Scaling/Flacking (SE). Postboot (P). Changing (CD).	(CE), Cracking/Fing (B), Scaling/Finder	laking (C/F), lacking
Page Cof							Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	aint Condition: In	Tesas III	The state of the s	i so i finale	
					1		STATE OF THE STATE					

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbam

Date: 2/18-19/2013

501040 OE* Project #: 501040
Start Time/page: IU-02
End Time/page: IU-02

XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	>=1.0 mg/cm ²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
368	0,0		30423 (1Z)	7	M	00000	0	G	3	(+		
369	0.0		1CHEDON	3	<		-					
370	0.0		Daylingon	t	(P.			-	, and a			
37	0,0		304-274 (LR)	_	a	11	ď		-	_		
372	0,0	U	Kokalen	લ	2			-	, inger			
373	0,0		Bathroom	W	B	=	_		u.	a and the		
374	0,0		301-376 (10)	_	4	=	_		-			
375	0.0		Kitchen	(%	U			-				
376	0.0		Coeffinorn	3	C	u	_	2177977	-			
377	0.0		bedroon.	9	J	1.		acres ((See Lat.)			
378	0,0		304-278 (UR)	_	4	14		NA. IFF	- earning			
379	10.0		Kitchen	4	دا	1						
280	0.0		Collison	9	2	1)			-			
186	00		Charlon	7	P	11	and the second	MIT TO				
382	0.0		204-200 (UR)	-77	9	1)			-	The said		
363	0.0		KILDRON	-9	J	0		-	and the			
384	0,0		(soft	W	(20)	0	-	lesion	No.			
385	0,0		304-286(UZ)	_	A	11			-	and the second		
386	0,0		Kit-Paux	3	5			free.	in en	Sonome		
387	0,0		Bathroom	D	0	13	(45 house)	_	-	Question in		
368	0,0		COLORA	7	J	11	Marin Services	jan		0000		
889	0.0		Dedroom	O	J	10	A.	è	2	>		
Comments:							Sub. = Substra Metal (M), Dryv	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete (5)	0	of tried a ted tried	of contrasting	
							Finish = Paint Wallpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Vamish (V), Staned (S), Walpaper (W)	1	Midew (M), Chacking (E), Cracking/Flaking (C/F), Allgatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking (S/F) Pealen (P), Chindren (CP)	(CE), Cracking/Fl ring (B), Scaling/Fl point (CD)	aking (C/F).
Page Sof							Paint Cond = (F), Poor (P)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Infact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	Intact (I), Fair			

Facility Name/Address: Westorn Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom.

Date: 2/18-19/2013

GE* Project #: 501040 Start Time/page:

Unit No.																			5.=1					g (CA). sking (C/F). scking	
Paint Det.																								(CE), Cracking/Fig ing (B), Scaling/Fig	(An) Building
Paint Cond.	T									_		-		-								2		Middw (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (CF), Aligaboring (A), Bisparing (B), Scaling/Flacking	(arr), reening (rp, chipping (arr)
Color	(1)		1	1			-		a piloner					-		-		Jan	Phone	enera.	(7)	Ton	17450		ntact (I), Fair
Finish	9		/				_	_	_			_				- Dermain		7		_	- 3	>	e: Plaster (P), V all (D), Brick (B)	P), Vamish (V).	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)
Sub.	6	,	\		_	_			7		>	J	A				-	>			_	Ņ	Sub, = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Waltpaper (W)	Paint Cond = P (F), Poor (P)
Testing Component	OBac)		1.1	11	t)		1,1	=	11	11	N N	dmal andmos	())	1) WON	11	Maci,	(4)	Naca	11	11	Ceiling	wall			
Wall	+	0	<)	(女	S	J	60	4	5	2	4)	0	4	2	2	0	A	J	2)		A			
Room	-	d	9	N	7	33,	W	4	_	of	و	40	10	_	N	,	no	1	7	B	49	t			
Room Equivalent	304-288 (LE)	Litaren	bathiopen	bedroom	32-20 (42	ridgen	Salla room	bedroom	304-291(12)	Kitcher	Drythroom	bath worn	permon	34-298(12)	Kitcher	Bothroom	bedroon	304-307(12)	KHelnen	bath monn	>	DECKNO RY			
x=1.0 mg/cm²																									
Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	0,0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0,0	0,0	10,0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0,0	0.02	0.0	0.0			
XRF Assay #	390	201	392		394	385	396	387	398	399	000	104	297	603	toh	465 1	9017	407	408	400	410	411	Comments:		Page Mof

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Sert: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindhom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

Star

GE* Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 517

XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Dot.	Unit No.
N	0,0		304:314 (UB)	-	D	W. Deckl	A	9	3	4		
613	0,0		Excher	d	J	. 11	1	*	-	-		
	0,0		Bath 106m	15)	Ų	1.3	/	-		-		
415	0.0		Between	7	A	ti i	/	-	es) (Repotent			
110	0.0		Boy-315 (12)	,	0	(1)		-	art parame	Tolerone,		
417	0.0		KHTAROM	N	0	49			-	-		
418	0,0		bathroom	9	0	1)						
119	0.0		pedroom	10	e)	11		and in Street		- Arreston		
30	0,0		304-317 (UZ)	_	*	1)	_	_				
12	0,0		Witches.	N	0	11	_	and the same	-	- Andrews		
22	0,0		battarasim	9		*		_	40%			
423	0.0		Sedranin	(7)	0	11			-			
424	0.0		24-39(pt)	_	¥	А			THE PER	-		
125	0,0		Litcher	d	0	**	_		-			
126	0.0		hathroom	5	()	th.				and the same		
427	0,0		bedrasin	00)	2	11	-	Manager 1	Justin			
428	0,0		34-320(12)	-	a	1.1		Name of Street	one	and the same		
429	0,0		CATCher	D	J	ıı		-	1000	e contra		
30	0,0		bathreom	7	2			_	-			
431	0.0			00	0	1)	_	-	-	_		
N	0,0		304-321 (LP))-	0	13	171	-				
9	0.0		KI+ZDIOA	06)	h	>	>	>	2		
Comments:							Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	ie: Plaster (P), 1 vall (D), Brick (B	Wood (W), I), Concrete ©	Daine Date - Daine Datestronisces - Pholising 17 At	and confidence of the state of	9
					2		Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Waltpaper (W)	P), Vamish (V)	. Stained (S),	Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking Plaking (CF), Aligatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking (SRF), Peeling (P), Chinting (CP)	(CE), Cracking/Pl ing (B), Scaling/Pl mino (CP)	aking (C/F), lacking
Page (2) of							Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	aint Condition:	Intact (I), Fair	De la Company de	To The same	

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Ser#: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP inspector(s): Judith Joviek, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

QE* Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 5 2 End Time/page: 1(0°50)

434 0.0 204321 (LONITANO) & C. 436 0.0 304-929 (UE) A 437 0.0 Vithur 2 C 439 0.0 Sodiocom 9 C 439 0.0 Sodiocom 9 C 440 0.0 Sodiocom 9 C 442 0.0 Sodiocom 9 C 442 0.0 Sodiocom 9 C 444 0.0 Sodiocom 9 C	Number Number	Testing Component	Sub.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
0.0 204-829(LE) 1 0.0 24-829(LE) 2 0.0 24-825(LE) 3 0.0 201-335(LE) 1 0.0 201-335(LE) 1 0.0 201-335(LE) 1 0.0 201-335(LE) 1	C	Wassell (6	4	8	T		
0.0 204-829(UE) 1 0.0 VAGUE 2 0.0 between 9 0.0 204-335(UP) 1 0.0 KUTCHON 2 0.0 KUTCHON 2 0.0 bathwoom 8	A	-		_		_		
0.01 Kitchen 2 0.02 bathicom 3 0.03 201-335 (UP) 1 0.03 Kitchen 3 0.04 bathicom 8	4	CONTO			_	_		
0.0 between 9 1 0.0 201-325 (UP) 1 0.0 KHThen 2 (UP) 1 0.0 battween 8 0.0 battween 8		, ,			_			
0.0 201-35 (UP) 1 0.0 201-35 (UP) 1 0.0 KHThen 2 0.0 bathreom 8	2	1.1						
0.0 201-335 (UP) 1- 0.0 Kitchen 2 (0.0 bathroom 8 0.0 bedroom 3	R							
0,0 Kitcher 2 0,0 bathreom 8 0,0 bedroom 3	P	11						
0.0 bithroom 8	(J)	=						
0.0 hedroom 5	5)	11				_		
0.0 204337 (R) 1		11						
	A	-						
445 0.0 Ritcher BC	-	TI.						
m 2 (V						
6.0 hedroom 5		Ji		_	_			
448 0.0 304-339 (LR) 1 D	A	=		_				
KHdher	3	W		_	_			
N	0	ے			_			
451 0,0 bedroom 77 D	A	9		_	_	_		
452 D.D 304-312(12) 1 B	B	11	_	_	-	_		
453 0.0 KHales 6 C	0		_					
	~	1)	_	>		>		
455 0.0 Bedroom 3 B	-	γ,	>		2			
Continents:			Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete O	aster (P), Wacc)), Brick (B), Co	7			
			Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S) Wallpaper (W)	amish (V), Stal		Paint Det, a Paint Deteroration: Chausing (CA), Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (CF), Aligadoring (A), Blatening (B), Scaling/Flacking CED, Boaling (D), Chausing (CB)	(CE), Cracking/Fl ng (B), Scaling/Fl	g (CA), sking (C/F), scking
Page 2 of			Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (!), Fair (F), Poor (P)	Candilion: Intac	188			

Facility Name/Address: Wostern Heights XRF Model/Sert: Niton XLp 300A/ SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

QE' Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 6:20 End Time/page: 6:97

	11						The second second				-	
XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	>=1.0 mg/cm ²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
	0.0		capibration (0	(Pak		SAM 2570						
	0.0											
ű.	0.0		>			¥						
	0'0		Calibration (in)			SRM 2570						
	0.0											
(517)	0.0		->			→						
456	0.0		32-347 (LR)	_	4	More CO	A	A	()	+		
てのか	0,0		Kefdeen	of	9	,	1.4	c		,		
458	0.0		bathroom	D	5)	"		_	_			
459	0.0		4 4	10	J	worklow sill	J	_		_		
400	0,0		Dedicom	w	5)	well	A					
5	0,0		304 351 (UR)	_	*	W. OD-						
	0,0		Kitan	d	9	wall	_				Ĺ	
F 630	0,00		bathroom	٩	J	1.1		_				
7.	00		bedroom	.U.	9	11				_		
12	0,0		304-357 (LR)	_	4	(1000)	_			_		
14100	0,0		Kitdeen	8	J		_					
467	0,0		porter	9	J							
400	0 * 0		bathraph	9	J	4						
409	0,0		304-350(12)		*	Buch						
25	0,0		Kitcher	И	Ð		-					
7	0,0		Call room	3	0	N	>	2	>	>		
Comments:							Sub. = Substra Metal (M), Dryv	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W). Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Nood (W).			
						3	Finish = Paint Walpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Walipaper (W)	Stained (S),	Paint Det. = Paint Deterioration: Chalking (CA), Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (C/F), Aligatoring (A), Bitstering (B), Soafing/Flacking	terioration: Chalki (CE), Cracking/Fl ring (B), Scaling/F	ng (CA), aking (C/F), scking
Page of of							Paint Cond = F (F), Poor (P)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	Intact (I), Fair	(S/F), Peeling (P), Cn	(CF)	
							Common page and					

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Sert: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

QE² Project 4: 501040 Start Time/page: 9.557 End Time/page: 9.29

Unit No.																							8	2 (C/F).	
Paint Det. Un																								noration: Chanting (1) 2E), Cracking/Flakin g (B), Scaling/Flacki	ong (cr.)
Paint Cond.	+	`	_																	_	1 1	>		Malew (M), Checking (CE), Crecking Flaking (CP), Alligation (A), Bistering (B), Scaling Flacking	(avr.), reeing (r.), cripp
Color	3	S		_								_										>>			120
Finish	d	~	/			_		_	_	-											-	>	e; Plaster (P), V alt (D), Brick (B)	P), Vamish (V).	aint Condition: I
Sub	G	\	_																_	/	//	A	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywaf (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Wallpaper (W)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (f), Fair (F), Poor (P)
Testing Component	Wall	Ce: 1:28	Coall	, ,,	-0	//	1000	=	Mach		=	=	11		=	11	Ξ	.,	7	4		43		7 7	
Wall	8		4	2	J	Ø	∢	J	O	(A	2	14	0	9	B	¥	J	0	0	4	9	J			
Room	7	2	1	26	9	7	_	4	w	7	10	_	9	n	17	_	6	D	و	_	7	10			
Room Equivalent	204 358 /6d)	Bath C	304-364 (D)	KHChan	bushicom.	bedroom	304 365 (P.)	KITChen	Dedroem	Ledraem	hatareem	304 m1 (12)	Kildha	Costroom	bedroom	204-373(LE)	KUROLL	habitan	Calvoon	304-375(12)	Kuthun	Bathroom			
mg/cm²																									
Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	0.01	0.01	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0.05	0,0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0.0	0,0			
XRF Assay #	472	473	44	から	475	2	2	22	480	481	-	684	fish	485	987	487	987	409	abh	141	750		Comments:		Page Sof

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Sere: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindborn

Date: 2/18-19/2013

19/2013

GE² Project #: 501040 Start Time/page: 0.2.9 End Time/page: 0.0

XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	>=1.0 mg/cm²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Det.	Unit No.
144	0.0		301-375 (bed)	7	A	Madel	A	A	3	H		
495	0.0		304-384 (RE)	1	B	,	-		_	33		
964	9		Litcher	N	2	1030CM		_				
43	000		bashroom	4	J	=				_		
35h	0,0		teducan	3	9	()	_					
499	0,0		204-395 (UZ)	-	A	40000	_					
200	0,0		Kit de ex	d	0		_	_				
100	0.0		bathroom	8	5							
502	0,0		pedraom	4	5		_		_	_		
503	0		(21) 985-hos	_	+	1)	_	-	_	glegelet		
204	0,0		Kitdron	N	J	11	_		_			
505	0,0		Calleroom	8	0		_		_	_		
506	0.0		Bath	00	D	Ceiline	_					
507	0,0		bedroom.	N	O	cocell o	_					
508	0,0		304-389 (LZ)		PO	10x(
500	0,0		4+chex	7	2	1,1	_					
510	0,0		hethroom.	ũ	9	11						
SI	0,0		304-406 (LZ))_	4	11	_	_				
212	0.0		LHOVEN	N	V	-						
5/2	0.0		sallyoum.	20	0	11		_		_		
5/1	Oio		(るりしかし-かる)	_	4	1	-					
10 10	100		Ketcher	7	J	11	A.	7	2	2		
Comments:							Sub. = Substra Metal (M), Dryv	Sub. = Substrate: Plester (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywal (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Vood (W).	of trice and sping	on the state of	(0.0)
							Finish = Paint (Walpaper (W)	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Starned (S), Walpaper (W)	Stained (S),	Midew (M), Checking (CE), CrackingFlaking (CF), Aligatowing (A), Bistering (B), ScalingFlacking	(CE), Cracking/Fit ing (B), Scaling/Fit	king (C/F).
Page of							Paint Cond = (F), Poor (P)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	ntact (I), Fair	(an) further of the section (and	thuil (ch.)	

Facility Name/Address: Western Heights XRF Model/Serf: Niton XLp 300A/SN # 17812

LBP Inspector(s): Judith Jovick, Jessica Lindbom

Date: 2/18-19/2013

501040 OE² Project #: Start Time/page: End Time/page:

										SCALE TO SERVICE SAFETY		
XRF Assay #	Lead Conc. (mg/cm²)	>=1.0 mg/cm ²	Room Equivalent	Room	Wall	Testing Component	Sub.	Finish	Color	Paint Cond.	Paint Dot.	Unit No.
010	0.0		Sup-447 (ball)	S	2	1,000	P	a	3	H		
517	0.0		bedicorn	m)	w	<u></u>	-	_		-		
810	0.0		3445 (LL)	_	20	=	_					
519	0.0		KHOW	17.	O	1						
525	0.0		Callacorn	7	C	II.						
is Si	0,0		Deduonm	9	A	11	_					
523	0,0		304-452 (LE)	-	4		_					
523	0,0		Kitcher	6	0							
523	0.0		DASKI DOM	W	2	11						
500	o O			5	0	l)						
336	0,0		304-497 (LE)	_	0	4						
527	0,0		Litaren	~	2	-						
528	o o		bachicon	24	U	ti i						
No.	0.0		Dedroom	4	60		->	ړ	7	>		
	0.0		collorate (out)			SAM SETO						
	0,0)))))			1.1						
	0.0		η			0						
												() <u>—</u>
Comments:							Sub. = Substra Metal (M), Dryv	Sub. = Substrate: Plaster (P), Wood (W), Metal (M), Drywall (D), Brick (B), Concrete ©	Wood (W). 8), Concrete ©			
						7	Finish = Paint	Finish = Paint (P), Varnish (V), Stained (S), Waltonber (W)	, Stained (S),	Paint Det. = Paint Desendation: Challotog (CR), Midew (M), Checking (CE), Cracking/Flaking (CF), Allgatoring (A), Bistering (B), Scaling/Flacking	renoration: Challon (CE), Cracking/Fit ring (B), Scaling/Fit	king (C/F), cking
Page 5 of							Paint Cond = (F), Poor (P)	Paint Cond = Paint Condition: Intact (I), Fair (F), Poor (P)	Intact (I), Fair	(S/F), Peeling (P), Chipping (CP)	(CP) (phing (CP)	
							The constitution of					