ARLINGTON COUNTY GOVERNMENT

Contractor Performance Evaluation Form

Contractor Name:		_ Contract No	o.:		
Date:		_ Project/Cor	tract Name:		
Interim Evaluation Final Evaluation	I				
Scope of Work/Services Provided:					
			_		
Contract Start Date://	_ Contract End Date	:://	_ Actual Completion	on Date://	_
Please rate the effectiveness of the dimensions:	Contractor's perfor	mance on the	Contract/Project	across the following	
Evaluation Criteria: Unacceptable	Poor Satisfactory	/ Excellent			
Written comments to explain assigr or an "excellent" in any category.	ned ratings are requ	uired for any p	erformance rating	s below "satisfactory	ייי,
Evaluation Questions					
1. Quality of Workmanship					
Rate the quality of the Contractor' the Contract? Was the Contractor				kmanship problems o	on
Unacceptable	_ Poor	Satisfactory _	Excellent	N/A	
2. Problem Solving and Decision N	Иaking				
Rate the Contractor's ability to promaking on Contract/Project.	ovide effective and o	creative proble	em solving, coordi	nation and fair decisi	on
Unacceptable	Poor S	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A	

3.	Project Schedule				
	Rate the Contractor's per the contract schedule, or attributable to the Contra	the schedule as revis	_		
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
4.	Subcontractor Manageme	ent			
	Rate the Contractor's abil subcontractors rate the C resolve problems?	·		_	
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
5.	Safety				
	Rate the Contractor's safe safety accidents?	ty procedures on thi	s Contract/Project? W	ere there any OH	SA violations or serious
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
6.	Environmental Complianc	e			
	Did the Contractor comple Contract? Did the Contra and/or any Stormwater Po	ctor comply in good f	aith with local erosion		
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
7.	Change Orders				
	Did the Contractor unreas orders and extra work rea		orders or extras? We	re the Contractor	's prices on change
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
8.	Paperwork Processing				
	Rate this Contractor's per orders, submittal, drawing paperwork promptly and	gs, invoices, workford			
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A

	Supervisory Personnel				
	Rate the general perform management skills and e				ve the knowledge,
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
10.	Expertise, Knowledge an Rate this Contractor's pe		dedicated, experienced	and qualified for	the duration of project.
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
11.	Project/Contract Closeou Rate the Contractor's pe Drawings, Operation and Project on schedule; was	rformance on timelir Maintenance Manu	als, and training. Did th	e Contractor com	
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
12.	Level of Overall Performa	ance			
	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent	N/A
Plea	ed on these comments, wo Yes Se provide any comments provide any comments or	No regarding the Contra	actor's performance or t	he quality of its w	
(Pro	ject Officer or Contractor,	use additional sheet	s, if Necessary):		

Signatures and Certifications:

- 1. The information contained in this evaluation form represents, to the best of my knowledge, a true and accurate analysis of the Contractor's performance record on this Contract; and,
- 2. The contents on the evaluation form and the ratings were not negotiated with the Contractor or its representative for any reason.

Evaluator's/Project Officer (PjO) Signature:	Date:
Evaluator's (PjO) Printed Name	Evaluator's Title:
Contractor's signature below acknowledges receipt and the	e opportunity to respond:
Contractor Signature:	Date:
Contractor Printed Name:	Title

EVALUATION RATINGS DEFINITIONS

Rating	Definition	Notes
Excellent	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the County's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.	To justify an Exceptional rating, identify multiple significant events and state how they were of benefit to the County. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
Satisfactory	Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	To justify a Satisfactory rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract/order. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. A fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be evaluated with a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract/order.
Poor	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	To justify poor performance, identify a significant event in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the County. A poor rating should be supported by referencing the management tool that notified the contractor of the contractual deficiency (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency report or letter).

Unacceptable	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	To justify an Unsatisfactory rating, identify multiple significant events in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the County. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An Unsatisfactory rating should be supported by referencing the management tools used to notify the contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency reports, or letters).	
Not Applicable (N/A)	N/A (not applicable) should be used if the ratings are not going to be applied to a particular area for evaluation.		

<u>END</u>