



Terry McKee, IT & Procurement Director

901 N. Broadway • Knoxville, TN 37917-6699
 865.403.1133 • Fax 865.594.8858
 purchasinginfo@kcdc.org
 www.kcdc.org

Addendum

SOLICITATION NAME	Project-Based Vouchers Q1801	ADDENDUM NUMBER	1	DATE	07-14-17
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------	----------	-------------	-----------------

This addendum answers questions raised about this solicitation. To aid in readability, the questions are in black, the answers are in **bolded blue**, and the answers follow immediately below.

Q1	<p>We would like to use the vouchers for a property set aside for seniors and disabled households that have the ability to live independently. Under the Administrative Plan Section 3.5.B, it states that preference must be given first to “homeless disabled families who need supportive services offered at the PBV property.” If the property does not provide those services, does this apply?</p> <p>No. That part of the Administrative Plan concerns families that may be displaced due to the Project Based Voucher.</p>
Q2	<p>The property we wish to use PBV on is set aside for elderly and disabled families. It appears that we must either use the selection process outlined in Section 3.5.B, which includes non-elderly or other families, or we can set-aside units a per Section 3.3. However, in Section 3.3.B., it states that we must offer services targeting that set-aside population. We are targeting senior and disabled household that can live independently. While we plan to offer some services, it will not amount to the 20 per week referenced in 3.3.B.1. Can we still do the set aside? Or could we follow the selection criteria in Section 3.5.B, but not offer the units to 3, 5 and 6? If this matters, we can document agreements with our grant funders showing that the units can only be used for seniors and disabled households.</p> <p>This is based on HUD regulations: Units that are in one of the following categories are excluded from the 25 percent or 25 unit project cap on PBV assistance:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Units exclusively serving elderly families 2. Units housing households eligible for supportive services available to all families receiving PBV assistance in the project. The project must make supportive services available to all assisted families in the project (but the family does not have to actually accept and receive the supportive services for the exception to apply to the unit). Families eligible for supportive services under this exception to the project cap would include a disabled family. A new regulation allows “Other units that can also be excluded from the income mixing requirement are units where the project is located in a census tract with a poverty rate of 20 percent or less, as determined in the most recent American Community Survey Five-Year estimates subject to an alternative income mixing requirement that is the greater of 25 units or 40 percent of the units.”



Q3	If we submit a proposal for the July 21 st deadline and our proposal is rejected based on another proposal scoring more points; are we able to resubmit a proposal for the next quarterly evaluation round for any remaining vouchers? Or will we be considered disqualified from further evaluation rounds?
	KCDC will award the most competitive (as defined by KCDC) projects in the initial evaluation periods and the other projects that meet the minimum qualifications can remain in the queue for possible reevaluation later.
Q4	Can the projects that meet the minimum qualifications be altered if that project can claim more points as time goes on? Or will the original score stay with the project?
	Since this is a Request for Proposals, KCDC and the proposer may negotiate until award. Therefore, it is possible that the proposer could alter their proposal if it is not awarded in the first round.