# LANEY COLLEGE TITLE IX LOCKER ROOM RENOVATION PROJECT

## ADDENDUM THREE

### RFQ NO. 19-20/11

### Peralta Community College District

### LANEY COLLEGE TITLE IX LOCKER ROOM RENOVATION PROJECT Laney College 900 Fallon Street, Oakland CA 94607

### **Revision**

Not Used.

### **Information**

#### 1. Reminders:

Deadline for submissions for RFQ is July 24<sup>th</sup>, 2020 at 2:00 PM (per Addendum #2). All SOQs must be submitted electronically using the Peralta Community College website thru the Purchasing Department thru the link listed on the RFQ document.

https://vrapp.vendorregistry.com/Bids/View/BidsList?BuyerId=4d041f6c-7568-4c8a-8878c82684292a3c

### 2. PCCD COVID-19 Policy Statement:

All vendors (firm/company/contractor) should follow the Alameda County Health Department's mandated COVID-19 workplace safety and health guidelines. Workers working under the Contract shall comply with all applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and lawful orders of public authorities bearing on the safety of persons or property, or their protection from damage, injury or loss. Vendors shall be solely responsible for initiating, maintaining, and supervising all safety precautions and programs in connection with the performance of the Work.

## Questions

### 1. Can the District please provide a copy of the PLA?

The PLA can be accessed at the Build Peralta site <u>https://build.peralta.edu/doing-business</u>. It was also listed in item #4 under "Information" in Addendum 2.

### 2. Information on acceptable financial statements (Audited Vs. Reviewed)?

The District has authorized the submittal of Audited or CPA Reviewed and signed Financial Statements as acceptable for submittal to meet RFQ/SOQ requirements.

3. Please review the response to RFI question #39 regarding reviewed vs. audited financial statements and the response in question #7. Most building contractors pursuing projects of this size routinely have reviewed financial statements signed by a CPA as opposed to audited financial statements. Reviewed financial statements signed by a CPA are usually accepted when an audited financial statement has been requested on small project qualifications. If reviewed financial statements signed by a CPA are not accepted, this will limit the responses to this pre-questionnaire to large contractors. Will the District reconsider this requirement and will RFQ with Reviewed Financial signed by a CPA be considered?

See response to question #2 about Financial Statements.

4. Can District please provide a copy of the PLA that is mentioned if we need to sign a certification for the PLA? Our trade partners are asking to see it prior to signing page 20 from the RFQ.

See response to question #1 about PLA.

5. Page 20 of the RFQ mentions a Skilled and Trained Workforce compliance with note that certification is on the next page. The certification that follows on the same page isn't for PLA. Is there a certification we need to complete for Skilled and Trained Workforce compliance?

In Addendum #2, we issued a new "Skilled and Trained Workforce Compliance" form that is to be filled out by the DBE only, and a new "Certification" page that is to be filled out by each of the DBE Team members. Both were listed in item #4 and #5 under "Revision" in Addendum 2.

6. Will we be penalized or disqualified if we are unable to provide every single contact listed below for every project experience sheet we include? Let us know your thoughts. We will of course be providing all (6) requested design-build and university project references for your team to contact.

The intent for listing the project team contacts is to verify the project parameters and working relationships of those DBE team members working on the project. We understand that those team members may have moved to other companies, retired or no longer be available as references. We are looking for a good faith effort to provide as much information as possible and not to disqualify a project if some information is not available at this time.

END OF DOCUMENT