

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**  
Meetings Second Tuesday

MARTIN H. MOSELEY, JR  
Chairman

WALTER SMITH  
Vice-Chairman

BETTY C. HILL

ROY LEWIS

WADE YODER

MARCIA W. JOHNSON  
County Administrator

# Peach County

213 Persons Street  
Fort Valley, Georgia 31030  
Phone 478-825-2535  
Fax 478-825-2678

**COUNTY OFFICIALS**

KIM WILSON  
Probate Judge

JOE WILDER  
Clerk of Superior Court

TERRY DEESE  
Sheriff

W. L. BROWN  
Tax Commissioner

KERRY ROOKS  
Coroner

LAURENS C. LEE  
Magistrate

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL No. 17-021**  
**Addendum No. 2**  
7 November 2017

**PEACH COUNTY**  
**GEORGIA**

**New IP-Based NG9-1-1 Telephone System and IP-Based Radio System**

---

**PURPOSE: Answer Questions from Contractors**

**ADDENDUM 2:**

1. **Question:** The RFP states that proposals are due on Tuesday, October 31, 2017 at 2:30 pm however, on Peach County's website ([www.peachcounty.net](http://www.peachcounty.net)), it is listed as due at 10:00 am on the same date. Can you please confirm which time is expected?

**Answer:** In accordance with Addendum No. 1 Dated 20 October 2017 the closing date of RFB 17-021 is December 12, 2017 @ 2:30 p.m. Bids will be opened in public without discussion December 12, 2017 @ 2:45 p.m. at the Peach County Board of Commissioners meeting room, 213 Persons Street, Fort Valley, GA 31030. Bid amounts will not be released until the Board of Commissioners has awarded the project to the winning contractor.

2. **Question:** What is your timeline for this project (projected award date, project start date and expected completion date)?

**Answer:** At this time, the time line cannot be set. Please note that the RFP requests a Delivery Schedule and an Installation Schedule as part of the proposal response. This is an item that each responding proposal will be evaluated on.

3. **Question:** In Section 1.03, "NEW NG9-1-1 SYSTEM", the "system" is described as an "IP Capable E911 System in a 'single site/Multi-site geo-diverse' configuration". We presume the

County expects a single site; if geo-diverse is required, can you advise the number of sites and locations and number of consoles per location?

**Answer:** There is only one on-site

4. **Question:** Section 2.1.9 (Technical Requirements), item i: Can the proposer consider costs for remediation associated with the comprehensive grounding review as Out of Scope for this project?

**Answer:** Yes; Disregard Section 2.1.9 (Technical Requirements), item i. Grounding review and grounding remediation has been removed from the scope of this project.

5. **Question:** Section 2.1.9, item k: providing and maintaining UPS power devices for “all equipment at all facilities”. If this is considered to be in the Scope of the Project, can you provide a list of current equipment and power for equipment to be included in the UPS loading?

**Answer:** Disregard Section 2.1.9, item k: providing and maintaining UPS power devices for “all equipment at all facilities”. {each County E911 currently has UPS which is sufficient for this equipment. All equipment must be connected to the existing UPS.

6. **Question:** Section 2.1.9, item p: Are you requiring IP Back-up Phones for each position to be in the Scope of the Project?

**Answer:** Yes

7. **Question:** Section 2.2.1, items b and c mention “emergency administrative” and “non-emergency administrative” calls; can you describe what type calls are received on each of these lines, if they are expected to be in different queues and which of the (4) administrative lines handle each of these?

**Answer:** Emergency Administrative calls are calls that rolls over from the 911 trunk line. Non-Emergency Administrative Calls are calls that are non-life-threatening incident. They are received on the admin. line.

8. **Question:** Section 2.2.1, item e: Does the County require a mapping solution with the specific capabilities listed to be implemented as a Scope of this project?

**Answer:** No; Disregard Section 2.2.1, item e. A mapping solution has been removed from the scope of this project.

9. **Question:** Section 2.2.8 (Overflow Capability): Do you require the proposed system to be integrated into a hosted or shared environment? If so, who is the host system, what other PSAPs are part of that system and what system manufacturer will we be interfacing into?

**Answer:** No

10. **Question:** Section 2.2.16: SMS Text to 9-1-1. Do you require SMS Text to be implemented as Scope of this project?

**Answer:** Yes

11. **Question:** Will the County be responsible for procuring the TCC vendor? (Text Control Center)? If so, what date do you plan to have the TCC circuits ready to interface with our equipment?

**Answer:** Yes; No schedule has been set. The County will evaluate the schedule submitted by the accepted proposer to determine dates of this work.

12. **Question:** Section 3.0, IP Based, P25 Compliant Radio Dispatch Console System Solution. How many control stations are currently at each position (console) and what are their make/model/frequencies?

**Answer:**

- Dispatch Console Operator Position – DC Tone at each.
- 15 Control Stations
- Kenwood NEXEDGE, Model – NX-700, NX-800 VHF/UHF, Motorola Moto Turbo

13. **Question:** Are there any PRI lines involved in any of the administrative lines or are they PBX?

**Answer:** PBX

14. **Question:** Page 25, Instructions for Submitting Proposals: Is there a specific date and time that questions need to be received? Are the (5) days mentioned business or calendar days?

**Answer:** five (5) Calendar Days

15. **Question:** Page 25, all original and copies of proposals shall be “permanently bound”. Is a 3-ring binder acceptable as “permanently bound”?

**Answer:** Yes

16. **Question:** Regarding the request regarding “Permanently Bound” responses: Would the county be willing to accept Combed binding for submission?

**Answer:** Yes

17. **Question:** Page 28, item t: this line was left blank – was there any intended language for this item?

**Answer:** No

18. **Question:** Who should the Bond be made payable to, Peach County or the Commissioner?

**Answer:** Peach County

19. **Question:** Regarding the Peach County Contract Agreement “Draft” Contract # C -021-17, will the county accept a Red-lined version with exceptions to the contract at time of RFP Submission?

**Answer:** No; Contract negotiations will be undertaken with the contractor whose proposal is accepted by the Board of Commissioners. If a contract cannot be agreed upon with the winning contractor, the Board has the right to choose another contractor’s proposal from the proposals submitted or re-advertise the RFB.

20. **Question:** Is there a separate “Pricing Schedule of Unit Items Prices” in an Excel or Word format?

**Answer:** No

END