

RFP 2024-834 Audit Services

Addendum 1

#

Please see below for additional information that you may need to successfully respond to this RFP.

Question 1)

On page 31 of the RFP it states that the organizational reference questionnaire is to be included with our response to the proposal. However, I am used to the client sending them directly to the Government we are proposing on. Should we have the client providing the reference sent it to us to include in our proposal or should they send them directly to you?

Answer 1)

Please include the organization references in your bid packet, in a sealed envelope addressed to the City of Aztec in reference to RFP 2024-834 Audit Services.

Question 2)

As the FYE 2022 was the 1st year with a new auditor, what is the reason for a change in auditors for the upcoming period?

Answer 2)

The FY22 audit firm did not feel they were a good fit and chose not to audit the City in FY23 due to timing and staff turnover.

Question 3)

Will a Single Audit be required for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2023?

Answer 3)

No

Question 4)

What is the status of the implementation of GASB 96 for SBITA?

Answer 4)

The IT Director is working on GASB 96. We expect guidance from our FY23 auditor also.

Question 5)

Where there any significant changes from the PY (changes in operations, change in staffing, new leases, significant capital assets, software, etc.)?

Answer 5)

Changes in staff which have been resolved.

Question 6)

In 2021 and prior years, the City did prepare statistical information but not a full ACFR and in 2022, the City didn't include statistical information. What is the financial statement expectation as the scope of work references 'CAFR'. Does the City intend to complete a full ACFR for submission to the GFOA?

Answer 6)

No

Question 7)

Does the City want to include statistical information again?

Answer 7)

Perhaps at a later date. This would be discussed with the FY23 audit firm.