

**DESIGN BUILD CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FOR THE LANEY COLLEGE CENTRAL UTILITY
PLANTS UPGRADE PROJECT**

ADDENDA

RFQ No. 19-20/12

**Peralta Community College District
East 8th Street Oakland, CA 94606**

August 12, 2020

ADDENDUM No. 2

This addendum supersedes items of the original contract documents wherein it is inconsistent with it. All other conditions remain unchanged. The following changes, modifications, corrections, additions or clarifications shall apply to the contract documents and shall be made a part of and subject to all of the requirements thereof as if originally specified or shown. It is the responsibility of the submitter to review the list of attachments to ensure that the addendum is full and complete. This Addendum modifies the original RFQ documents.

Reponses to Questions

1. Hello, the RFQ is silent on the structural condition of the existing building(s) that house the chillers and boilers. Should we assume that no structural evaluation or assessment of the existing structure is required for this project?

Response: A structural assessment is not required of the DBE at this time.

2. Hello, the solicitation RFQ states as a qualification for bidders the following: "In addition, the selected DBE shall have experience with both design and construction of public school facilities and in working with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office ("CCCCO"), the Division of the State Architect ("DSA"), and Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations." Are all of the above qualifiers considered necessary criteria for a DBE to be selected to submit a Design-Build proposal? If not, will an absence of these qualifiers score against the DBE in the final award selection of the Design-Build Proposal?

Response: The above listed qualifiers are preferred but not necessary for submission. Those submittals containing them will benefit in the evaluation scoring.

3. Hello - The Table of Contents located on page 2 of the RFQ indicates that Appendix B, II. Licensing and Registrations has four sub-parts: A-D. However, it appears that Section D for Mechanical, Electrical, or Plumbing Contractor(s) is missing. Please clarify if MEP contractors should also fill out Section C - Structural, Mechanical, Plumbing and Electrical Engineers (and other firms on the Design-Build Entity's team) - or if Section D will be provided.

Response: MEP Contractors should fill out Section C.

4. Is there a scoring formula/matrix being used to evaluate our statement of qualifications submittals? If so, can we see this scoring formula/matrix?

Response: A scoring matrix for the RFQ phase is being finalized. When completed it will be available upon written request.

5. Has the size and capacity of the generator been identified?

Response: It has not been sized. Anticipate in range of 200-400kw.

6. Will there be a sample contract available as there currently is none on the website?

Response: To be provided in the RFP phase.

7. What level of completeness are the bridging documents:

Response: Schematic level and are primarily performance based.

END OF DOCUMENT